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PLANNING BOARD BOROUGH OF CLOSTER, NEW JERSEY Special Meeting<br>Thursday, December $12^{\text {th }}, 2013$

Mr. Lignos, Chairman called the Special Meeting of the Planning Board of the Borough of Closter, New Jersey held on Thursday, December 12th, 2013 in the Council Chambers of the Borough Hall to order at 8:02 PM. He stated that the meeting was being held in compliance with the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act of the State of New Jersey and had been advertised in the newspaper according to law. He advised that the Board adheres to a twelve o'clock midnight curfew and no new matters would be considered after 11:00 P.M.

Mr. Lignos invited all persons present to join the Board in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.
The following Planning Board members and professional persons were present at the meeting:
Mayor Heymann
Councilwoman Amitai
Mr. Lignos, Chair
Dr. Maddaloni, Vice-Chair
Mr. Baboo- 8:05 PM
Mr. Sinowitz -8:03PM
Mr. DiDio
Ms. Isacoff
Mr. Pialtos
Ms. Stella- (alt \# 1)
Mr. Nyfenger- (alt \# 2)
Mr. Chagaris, Board Attorney
Mr. DeNicola, Board Engineer
Rose Mitchell, Planning Board Coordinator
The following Planning Board members and professional persons were absent from the meeting: N/A

Mr. Lignos read the correspondence list and asked if any members had any comments. (Refer to transcript for comments regarding Closter Plaza correspondence(s).

## Item \# 1

Block 1607 Lot 1 (BL 1310/ L 2)
19 Ver Valen Street (7 Campbell Ave.)
Application \# P-2013-03

Applicant: Closter Marketplace (EBA), LLC
Centennial AME Zion Church
Attorney: Mr. Basralian
**Refer to attached transcript.
Motion was made by Mr. DiDio \& seconded by Dr. Maddaloni to cancel the December $26^{\text {th }}$ meeting. All present were in favor of cancellation.

Ms. Mitchell confirmed that the next meeting would take place on Monday, January $6{ }^{\text {th }}$.
Motion was made by Mr. Pialtos \& seconded by Dr. Maddaloni to adjourn meeting. Meeting was adjourned at 11:08 PM.
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CHAIR LIGNOS: I call to order this special meeting of the planning board of the Borough of Closter, New Jersey, being held on this date, Thursday December the 12 th, year 2013 in the council chambers of the borough hall. This meeting has been dually advertised in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act in the State of New Jersey. And it is commencing, according to our computer, at 8:02 p.m. The planning board adheres to a 12 o'clock midnight curfew. No new matters will be considered after 11 p.m. Please join the board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

I thank you all very much.
Ms. Mitchell would you kindly take attendance.
MS. MITCHELL: Mayor Heymann.
MS. HEYMANN: Here.
MS. MITCHELL: Councilwoman Amitai.
MS. AMITAI: Here.
MS. MITCHELL: Dr. Maddaloni.
MR. MADDALONI: Here.
MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Baboo.
Ms. Stella.
MS. STELLA: Here.

MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Lignos.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 3 \\
\hline 1 & CHAIR LIGNOS: Here. \\
\hline 2 & MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Chagaris. \\
\hline 3 & MR. CHAGARIS: Here. \\
\hline 4 & MS. MITCHELL: Mr. DeNicola. \\
\hline 5 & MR. DENICOLA: Here. \\
\hline 6 & MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Sinowitz. \\
\hline 7 & Mr. DiDio. \\
\hline 8 & MR. DIDIO: Here. \\
\hline 9 & MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Isacoff. \\
\hline 10 & MS. ISACOFF: Here. \\
\hline 11 & MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Pialtos. \\
\hline 12 & MR. PIALTOS: Here. \\
\hline 13 & MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Nyfenger. \\
\hline 14 & MR. NYFENGER: Here. \\
\hline 15 & CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you, \\
\hline 16 & Ms. Mitchell. \\
\hline 17 & Let the record show that the board \\
\hline 18 & has received the following correspondence related \\
\hline 19 & to this application which is for this special \\
\hline 20 & meeting. \\
\hline 21 & Let the record also show that \\
\hline 22 & Mr. Sinowitz just joined the board at 8:03. \\
\hline 23 & On the 5th of December, from the \\
\hline 24 & Closter Police department on the subject of \\
\hline 25 & Piermont/Vervalen accident report, on the 12th \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
from Mr. DeNicola, on the quarterly status report, on the 12 th from Mr. Nyfenger on the subject of his resignation.

Does anyone have anything, any correspondence that they would like to discuss?

MS. MITCHELL: I just wanted to clarify that that report was based on the request from someone in the audience last week.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, it was based on my request.

MS. MITCHELL: On your request, yes, the 24 month accident report.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Very good.
MS. ISACOFF: Mr. chairman, also the letter from the Closter Police Department. It's not just an accident report.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct. No, it's not just an accident report.

MS. ISACOFF: There's a discussion
of --
CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MS. ISACOFF: -- concerns or
recommendations by the police department.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. DeNicola, being
that you had forwarded part of this to me this
afternoon by email --
MR. DENICOLA: That's what I did for you, yes.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Would you be so kind as to bring the board up to date.

MR. DENICOLA: Yeah, I went -- I went through my notes at the beginning of this week, seeing what reports we had, and what we didn't have. I didn't see a report from the police. So, I called them, thinking I didn't have one. And \(I\) guess when he went through his files he didn't see one. So, I guess they did a review, you know, recently, and forwarded that to the board.

MS. ISACOFF: Okay.
MR. DENICOLA: Basically what they recommended was a change in the striping on Vervalen to allow only three lanes; two in the westbound -- eastbound direction. One left-hand turn lane and one through. And one through and right turn in the westbound. Because there's no -- there's no, you don't have to make a left, going westbound, you don't have to make a left, there's no buildings there. It's all green acres and what not.

MS. ISACOFF: Yeah. The police department indicates, "My concern is the potential of 1,500 such vehicles utilizing the main entry/egress lane onto Vervalen Street." And it was on that basis that he made that recommendation.

MR. DENICOLA: Right.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. Let the record
show --
MS. AMITAI: Could you repeat that. We couldn't hear you.

CHAIR LIGNOS: One second. Let the record show Mr . Baboo joined the board at 8:06.

MS. ISACOFF: I was quoting from the letter.

MR. DENICOLA: The police department's report.

MS. ISACOFF: The email of December 12th.

MR. NYFENGER: That's the one. It looks like that one from here.

MR. PIALTOS: That's the one.
MR. MADDALONI: I did have one clarification on that one. They said 1,500 vehicles. They didn't give a time frame. What
does that mean? A day? A week? A month? An hour?

MR. DENICOLA: Right. It wasn't put in that perspective. I don't know.

MR. MADDALONI: You know, that really is lacks context.

MR. NYFENGER: Were they told that that would be a number that they would see, from a traffic report?

MR. DENICOLA: I don't know where that got that from.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Any further
discussion on the correspondence, including this piece from the chief? Okay. I see and hear none, and, therefore, we will continue.

Item No. 1 on our agenda is block 1607, lot 1, 19 Vervalen Street. Application P2013-03. The applicant, Closter Marketplace, LLC, Centennial AME Zion Church. The attorney is Mr. Basralian. Subdivision, site plan soil movement applicant was received back on May the 16th, was deemed perfected with mentioned stipulations on -- at June 5 th work session meeting. The application was continued and received final perfection at the June 27 th regular
monthly meeting. Hearings have now taken place on July the 11th and 18th, August 7th, 8th, 29th, September 12th, October 2nd, 10th, 17th, 21st, November 14 th, December 4th. This application is here, once again, this evening at December 12th's special meeting to continue.

Mr. Basralian would you be so kind.
MR. BASRALIAN: Yes. At the --
during the course of the last meeting,
Mr. Rosenblume submitted a sheet of documents which he wanted to have -- did I give you both copies or did \(I\) just give you one?

MR. CHAGARIS: I just have one.
MR. BASRALIAN: Oh, here it is --
which he wanted to have admitted as evidence. And it's entitled, "Marked exhibits from Plaintiff Rosenblume," and constitutes a number of various "exhibits", which \(I\) have been through. And while some of them may be public documents, there's no foundation for any of the documents that he proposes to submit as exhibits. And I went through each and every one of them. And it starts with a Safeway store policy manual from 1952. What relevance it has to this application is beyond me. A mayor and council report from 1955.

No relevance to the application. A deed from Closter Plaza to Benjamin and Spino of 1961. No foundation or no relevance. A letter to the Borough of Closter dated January 8th, 1963 regarding a conversation between the borough clerk and Closter Plaza regarding High Street. No relevance to the application whatsoever. The next exhibit, 8, a letter from John Collazzo regarding corrugated metal pipe. What relevance it has to this application is beyond me. The same for a sewerage plan, portion of a sewerage plan in 1965 . No relevance. Item 12, a letter from Senator Parzecki, to Mr. Reeves, which says, for example, "Thank you for writing to me about the problems of traffic safety and parking lots of shopping centers. I agree it's a serious gap, and I'll take it up and draft a bill for submission to the legislature. It doesn't -- it doesn't relate to this shopping center, Closter Plaza. It doesn't relate to any shopping center, but shopping centers generally. We don't know what it's talking about. What relevance does this have to this matter, and why should it be a part of an exhibit? His item No. 13, a drainage layout portion, 1972. It has nothing on it to indicate
what the date is. It barely indicates where it might be. What relevance does it have whatsoever to this application. A drainage layout, item -I'm sorry, 14, drawing storm septor on Miele property, Railroad Avenue. What relevance does it have to the application? What basis for it? An agreement with Grand Union -- Grand Union, to pay some fees in connection the shopping center as it existed at that time. Item 20, a letter addressed by -- dated May 9th, 1973, by then borough attorney, Anthony Undura, to Benjamin Contracting regarding conditions in the parking lot of Closter Plaza Shopping Center. What relevance does that have to something that occurred, what, 50 years ago. Number 21, letter by Benjamin Contracting Regarding, the repair of potholes in the shopping center. That was 1974,49 years ago. What relevance does it have to this application? And so on and so forth. A report of the shade tree commission of 1976. An ordinance that was proposed but never adopted. Item 32, for example, minutes of a meeting regarding the Pathmark ordinance. What relevance does it have to the shopping center in this application. Each and every one of them, down to the very last, which is
a -- something taken from -- it's item No. 58, Whole Foods Market, something that was taken off the internet talking about Whole Foods and space it has in various shopping centers without any relevance to what's going on here. None of these. None of these, whatsoever, have any relevance. There's no foundation, and should not be admitted. All it is, is apparently something that was submitted in the litigation that Mr. Rosenblume instigated against the municipality. It has no basis. There's no discussion. There's no nothing. And \(I\) ask that it not be accepted -- be accepted as an exhibit. Exhibits require testimony. They require a rational as to why they're being presented. None of that was done here. And \(I\) respectfully request it be rejected as an exhibit in its entirety.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. Chagaris.
MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah. Mr. Rosenblume do you want to respond?

MR. ROSENBLUME: Sure. Exhibit 14
is not relevant. It was there for a different purpose. As far as Exhibits 1 to 30 , it came out of the board files. At one time they were relevant, because either the board wanted them, or
the applicant wanted them. And that's why they're in the file. So, the property was originally a swamp. Everybody that lives in Closter knows the property has potholes every year. That's relevant. Because the correspondence talks about it. And most of the correspondence talks about the abandoned -- the piping, the drainage system under the -- in the -- under the asphalt. If you went through every one of these documents you would find some connection with the project. Because there was an attempt to pass an ordinance regarding shopping centers. There's an agreement in here to keep that space between the, what is today the K-mart, and the Stop \& Shop, which used to be the Grand Union, same ownership. The Grandway, which is now the K-mart, was not built at the same time as the other stores. It was built ten years later. The Grand Union had an opportunity to put their Grand Way next to the supermarket. But they didn't. Because the borough wanted that alleyway, that 30 foot space, kept open. There's an agreement here to keep that open. The Grand Union has their hands on the property, currently, through the \(K\)-mart. I don't see how, if this board approves the Closter Plaza,

I don't see how the Closter Plaza can start building the store for Whole Foods, because that agreement is still in effect. The net effect is that phase \(I\) will have to come at the same time as phase II. So, if you're looking for the Whole Foods, it cannot be built until 2015 , because that agreement with Grand Union, keeps the K-mart open. So, there is a relevance.

MR. BASRALIAN: If I could respond. With all due respect to what Mr. Rosenblume just said, there is no relevance. The fact that documents are in the files in the municipality don't make them applicable to this application. There are, if you've had an opportunity to go through them, Mr. Chagaris, some of them don't reference the shopping center. That letter from then Senator Mr. Parzecki, has no bearing whatsoever on the shopping center. We don't know even know what it's talking about.

MR. ROSENBLUME: No. Well, I disagree.

MR. BASRALIAN: There's nothing in the letter that indicates anything at all. A possible or proposed ordinance. One that was never adopted. The fact that someone had talked
about an ordinance, how does that make it relevant to this application? What's relevant is what's before the board in proofs, under testimony, exhibits testified to, which the board has accepted as exhibits in connection with the application. And if it is meant to frustrate, obfuscate, add to, confuse any future litigation, then there's opportunity to submit it, in the event that -- it can be done, in the event that Mr. Rosenblume is a party to any future litigation regarding this application. This has nothing to do with anything we went through in the last 14 hearings. There's no foundation for it other than to say, Mr. Rosenblume to say, well, it's in the files. That doesn't make it relevant to the application.

MR. ROSENBLUME: It's relative by
the fact that it came from an application on this same property. So, there's the relevance. This is basically the prior history of the closter Plaza.

MR. CHAGARIS: Okay. Thank you. There will be no more discussion about it.

First of all, we all know about and have heard the term called "hearsay evidence,"
which is what this is. Now, I know that the rules of dealing with the planning board are relaxed in regards to terms of evidence. And sometimes things that are hearsay are permitted. However, the old saying is that you can't cross-examine a document. So, there may be documents here that were in the file, but the intentions of the parties who wrote those documents or to whom it was addressed, is not here for anybody -- there's no one here to testify about what the meaning of these documents were, what they were for. So, therefore it would be confusing to the board to read a letter, say, for example, from Mr. Senator -- then Senator Parzecki to Mr. Reeves as to what the intent or what the purpose of it is. And without testimony about what that purpose is, or what the meaning of it is, it would be misleading to the board for them to look at it, or use it in connection with their deliberations. As to, for example, the drainage situation, there was testimony and everyone was given an opportunity to present witnesses concerning drainage issues on the site, and that was all done. And a document concerning the history of the drainage is just that, history. It
may be interesting, but it's academic. It's not relevant to the proceedings that are before this board. As to the -- your -- the Exhibit 15, which is the -- you just mentioned the Grand Union agreement. Well, that, on its face, is an agreement with the mayor and council. It's not an agreement with this board. This board has no jurisdiction to do anything with that agreement. And if it's binding on the municipality then it's binding on the municipality. And it's not an issue that's before this board. So, there's no need to clutter the record or confuse the board with those contents. And as to what ordinances were proposed or may have been in effect at one time, that's not the law -- the municipal land use law. The law is that what's in effect at the time of the application. Not what was thought about. And in fact if it was thought about and used at one time, it's not being used now, we don't know why. We had no testimony as to why. And it's not really -- it is not relevant to this proceedings. Of course, newspaper articles may be interesting, but, again, they're academic in nature, and not really relevant. So, I'm going to sustain Mr. Basralian's objection to the non admissibility
of these documents. And that if they're marked for identification purposes in the event there is an appeal so that the court in Trenton or in Hackensack can review it and make its own determination. But for purposes of this proceeding tonight I'm going to sustain the objection.

MR. ROSENBLUME: One question.
MR. CHAGARIS: Yes.
MR. ROSENBLUME: Can the board ask Mr. Basralian regarding that agreement, whether that prevents them from starting construction of the Whole Foods?

MR. CHAGARIS: Really you can't because this board is not going to -- this board is only going to rule on the application one way or the other. If it rules against it, then it's moot. If it rules in favor of it, it doesn't mean that the project is going to proceed. You understand? We're just ruling on the application. MR. ROSENBLUME: Yeah, but this board was told there would be a phase I and a phase II.

MR. CHAGARIS: That was what was represented. So, this board will deal with what
was represented, and if it actually occurs, or doesn't occur, that's beyond this board's jurisdiction because it's outside the board's hand. The board can only approve or disapprove of the application. And it actually proceeds or if the mayor and council rules against it, or whatever happens in the future, we don't know. But this board only is looking at the application that is before it, the plans, the testimony of the experts, both for and against the application, and that's the only thing that this board is going to consider.

MR. ROSENBLUME: Okay.
MR. CHAGARIS: Thank you.
MR. ROSENBLUME: Thank you.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Ms. Mitchell, are there some items on the exhibit list that the board still lacks in having in its possession? MS. MITCHELL: Yes. I went thoroughly through the file today, and what \(I\) have here is the exhibit list further revised through September 12 th. And there is 18 items. Out of those 18, my file is missing Exhibit A-4, which is sheet \(A-203\), revision 2 , dated August 7th. Stated that it was brought at that August 7th meeting.

Elevation change on grade plane. I have the original from April 26 th, but \(I\) don't have the revision. Exhibit \(A-6\), sheet \(A-204\), color rendered elevations of the east side of building C. Exhibit \(A-7\), sheet \(A-204\) color rendered elevations of the east side of building \(C\), outside the fence. The other one was inside the fence. I don't seem to have those in the file. Exhibit A-11 I have the original plans, but the last part of the sentence says, colorized, and overlaid, on aerial photograph. I looked through the boards. I don't have the colorized one. And A-17 states revision of slide 40 from Exhibit 8-A. I have Exhibit \(A-8\) but \(I\) don't the revision. So, I don't have Exhibit A-17.

MR. BASRALIAN: No, A-17 -- slide -it's a revision to slide 40 , which was the exhibit -- from Exhibit 8-A. That's -- it was a -- it was a slide revision. And that was presented as part of a CD.

MS. MITCHELL: So, should it be a separate \(C D\) besides \(8-A\) ?

MR. BASRALIAN: Yeah, it should be -- there was a revised CD that included all of them as revised, including the revision to Exhibit

8-A. There was a subsequent CD.
MS. MITCHELL: Okay. I don't recall. I can double check my file but \(I\) don't recall seeing the revision. I do have the Exhibit \(8-\mathrm{A}\).

MR. BASRALIAN: Right. We presented all of the exhibits. We didn't take any back. If they're missing, I'll get duplicates and provide them to you.

MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
MR. BASRALIAN: Okay. No problem. So, that's A-4, 6, 7, 12 and 17, you're looking for the slide --

MS. MITCHELL: I have 12. I don't have 4, 6, 7 --

MR. BASRALIAN: And 17.
MS. MITCHELL: And \(I\) don't have 11 in color, but \(I\) have it on the original plan. But it states that it's in color. And then \(I\) don't have 17.

MR. BASRALIAN: I'll provide duplicates for it. Not a problem.

MS. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Now Mr. Basralian, what \(I\)-- the intention here is to open up the
meeting for final comments from the public and then \(I\) understand you have a summation. And then, Mr. Segreto, I believe you have summation comments.

Mr. Segreto?
MR. SEGRETO: No, I think I'm going
to pass.
CHAIR LIGNOS: You're going to pass. Okay.

MR. BASRALIAN: It was my understanding that you closed --

MR. CHAGARIS: There will be no evidence presented.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No evidence.
MR. CHAGARIS: And no questions
asked. It's just if anyone wants to speak in favor of the application or opposed to the application. And then you would be the last -MR. BASRALIAN: I understood that all comments were concluded last week and that there was -- that the only thing left on the agenda was summations. You opened it up to the public. It was closed to the public at that point.

MR. CHAGARIS: I think that was for
evidence.
MR. BASRALIAN: No, there were comments. I think Mr. Rosenblume changed his testimony or his presentation to comments. There was one other person here who came forward with comments. No one else at the meeting came forward. And the meeting was closed to the public.

MR. CHAGARIS: Let's just take a poll. Does anybody, any member of the public want to speak in favor of or opposed to?

Well, there's only four. So, I mean
as long as everybody keeps their comments very brief, there will be no questions. No testimony. Just comments in favor of or against.

Now, if Mr. Basralian, if you find something in the record that is --

MR. BASRALIAN: Just give me a second, please. Here's the quote up on page 121 starting at line 25, "MR. LIGNOS: Okay. Thank you very much. Any other member of the public wanting to make a comment? At this point we've heard testimony. I don't know, 13 hearings. We're going to come back next Thursday. We're going to begin with summation from Mr. Basralian."

MR. CHAGARIS: All right. Well, the record speaks for itself then. And since -- and since we did announce it at the public meeting, which was properly advertised, and properly notified, and since \(I\) believe Mr. Rosenblume did in fact comment, and we know he presented his evidence last time, and since Mr. Segreto is going to pass, then we would -- the floor would go to -belong to Mr. Basralian for his final summation. MR. BASRALIAN: Thank you.

First and foremost, we've had a number of hearings. And \(I\) do wish to thank the board and its consultants for their diligence at all the meetings, for the consultants for being responsive to every set of plans that was submitted, and their comment letters. And it's most appreciated. I'm sure this went a lot longer than anyone anticipated, but it is coming to a conclusion. So, again, my thanks on behalf of the applicant and myself, personally, for the board's diligence and having scheduled as many meetings as they did.

As I said, there's no reason to repeat all of what went on. But \(I\) do just want to summarize very briefly.

Before the applicant even started on creating its plans, it did review the master plan that was adopted by this board regarding the downtown and the development of Closter Plaza. And the master plan is replete, very unusually, to talk specifically about properties and Closter Plaza in particular, about what it believed was necessary to encourage revitalization of the downtown and of Closter Plaza. And quoting from the master plan it says, that, "The vision for revitalizing Downtown Closter is to truly capitalize upon the opportunity for Closter Plaza to become a strong regional attraction and anchor. The current \(K\)-mart and supermarket and collection of smaller retail stores and strip centers does attract shoppers from outside the community. But the attraction is weak. It's outdated physical form, the lack of reinvestment of the stores, provides a tired and somewhat depressing visual image." Clearly, a complete -- no longer a quote. I'm paraphrasing. Clearly, a complete revamping through substantial renovation and reconfiguration is needed.

It goes on to say that the strategy should be that the natural market forces are
really needed to drive this development. And that enhances the ability of the town -- of the master plan to achieve the goals that were set forth in it.

Edens, the applicant, has sought to redevelop Closter Plaza to achieve the goals of the master plan and to revitalize Closter Plaza through the plans presented to the board.

Just to quickly run through them. It sought -- seeks to add a new viable food store. It seeks to bring the entire center and all the facades into the 21 st century, to be able to attract strong national and local tenants. The plans create all new plazas for people to congregate and to meet attractive enclaves with seating, tables, landscaping, and more, to make it inclusive for all people to participate in the advantages presented by the center. It's increasing the parking spaces from 720 , the current amount, by 820. While at the same time reducing the shopping -- the shopping center in size from 211,000 square feet plus, to a little bit more than 208,000 square feet. The plans create landscaped shopping center, and you've heard testimony, with plantings throughout the
parking lots and throughout the entire site, with over a hundred new trees plus even more in shrubs. It creates -- it created a comprehensive signage plan visible to all visitors, yet restrained and consistent as to size. It sought to integrate and seeks to integrate existing and new restaurants into the shopping center experience along with the existing theater entertainment. It also seeks to encourage outdoor dining as part of a true community center. It even, in the plans, created 14 parking spaces for Centennial AME Zion Church, which heretofore didn't exist at all. It eliminates one of the buildings, thereby reducing the conformity or the nonconformity of the center. You've all heard the various aspects of what is proposed to do, and there are two exhibits from the \(C D\) which is one of the exhibits, and part of the exhibit as a reference what is being proposed.

The applicant is a national
developer with over 110 shopping centers. And it's a vastly different kind of owner. It expends enormous effort in studying market and demographics, the markets and demographics in developing its plan. It expends a great deal of
effort to attract the best retailers to its center, with the right product mix. It dedicated enormous resources to rebuild the physical facilities to achieve the goals of Closter, the master plan, and, of course, the applicant.

There are many developers who could have approached Closter Plaza with a view of slapping paint on it and making no changes and rent it up the way it is, fixing some potholes, possibly even retaining \(K\)-mart and installing an independent food market without any thought to achieving the master plan goals, which is to join this as part -- this center as part of the community, to make it an extension of the downtown as sought by the master plan.

The development sought by the
applicant was not simply to maximize the tenant area, to maximize its profit, but rather develop a strong center that enhances the community and the downtown. The developer, through its experience, knows what it needs to do to attract tenants and their customers. It wouldn't develop a plan if parking was inadequate or access was inappropriate for the center.

The board and the community all have
preferences of what they want to see, and yet as the master plan says, natural market forces are needed to drive this development. And that's exactly what Edens is doing. And that effort should be respected in conjunction with what's been presented before the board.

All of this is being done within the context of an old, very needy 60 year-old shopping center, which otherwise could not continue to exist as a viable center the way it is -- the way it is, without the changes that were proposed.

Just one other brief, two other
brief comments. The principal objector, which is also a supermarket competitor, has really only one motivation which is to stop or delay the development. Nothing said by the objector's counsel or objector's consultants, represented a legitimate attack on the application.

Our courts have determined that a shopping center is an extension of a downtown. And in this application Edens applicant achieved that with redevelopment plan.

We request that the subdivision plan, site plan, the soil movement application and all variance and waivers be granted as submitted.

I am very grateful that this board has taken the time to examine this application and has spent the time through many hearings, to hear all of the witnesses, not just the applicant, anyone else who had anything to say, including the objector's consultants, and anyone else who commented. So, I thank you for that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you very much. Fourteen public hearings ago I couldn't wait to get to this point. So -- and we are at that point. Let's begin with, I'd like to hear discussions from the board as the discussions get going, at some point the chair will entertain a motion. But let us begin with discussions first.

I would like to begin the portion of the discussions by saying that, as a board, as a board member, I've always been guided by our master plan. And \(I\) think Mr. Basralian said it pretty well. With that master plan's objective for this site, I look for a development that's going to be an improvement for the town, at the same time, as a planning board, I look to us, to you, to make that development safe, and to make that development a viable project that will last
another 50 years. I do have to tell you, and remind you, that we've been living with this plaza deteriorating over some time. And the decisions you make tonight, the comments you make, the decisions you make, ultimately the final decision that you make on the application, we're going to be living with for a very long time. So, with that, I'd like to hear your comments.

MR. MADDALONI: Where are you
starting?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Well --
MR. MADDALONI: Start over there.
CHAIR LIGNOS: You want to start with --I'm going to start with the mayor and then I'll come back around.

MS. HEYMANN: Do it the other way around.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. Nyfenger, you have the floor.

MR. NYFENGER: Thank you very much. As \(I\) have been reflecting on the last \(14,15,16\) meetings, \(I\) 'm trying to figure out why \(I\) would say no. What would be the reason. What would be even one reason to say no. And I tried really hard to find one, because \(I\) think it's important to shoot
holes in a plan, if you can. I haven't been able to find one. So, I don't have so much to discuss as far as the ideas \(I\) have. I would be happy to comment on someone else's idea. Again, I don't see anything wrong with the plan. You can argue whether something should be wood or metal, concrete or pavers.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And, by the way, as a planning board, there are issues of planning that we can discuss. But, in general, I understand that you saw the application as a -- in a favorable light.

MR. NYFENGER: Absolutely. CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. Pialtos, is there something you want to say?

MR. PIALTOS: Over the past 14 meetings \(I\) also found it very informative, made it very interesting. We have looked at almost everything, really. And back to the old plaza, it's a real detriment to this -- to this town. It's something that we really need. It looks like it's almost like a war zone really. It's something that we really need to do. We need to improve it. And with Eden's coming in and doing the work that they want to do, it's something that
the town really needs. And I don't think there's any other alternative, really. It something that's really needed by this town to move forward. CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. I'll go back and talk about specific planning issues, but \(I\) have no problem beginning with this general overview as you both have shared with us. Ms. Isacoff. MS. ISACOFF: I'm very pleased with many of the elements of the plan. The landscaping is invaluable. It's important to have a place that is inviting, as well as providing the needed services. And \(I\) was very impressed with that aspect of the plan. The amount of plaza space is sufficient for providing a community space as well. And \(I\) was happy to see that there would be some, and that there would be an encouragement of outdoor seating. The parking appears to be sufficient, from what \(I\) understand from the experts. And, although it would require a variance, it is -- I'm not sure how to say it, it is more -- it is less nonconforming because of the reduction in parking spaces, and considering the amount of space. So, although I understand there's a variance, it is moving more towards the
current ordinance requires. So, I was pleased with that.

The one area -- actually there were two areas, two areas that \(I\) have some questions about. One is, I didn't quite hear a response as to have the lighting was going to address some of the concerns that were raised. There had been some discussion about making it consistent with the current downtown, kind of the Main Street area, but also a number of us, I voiced this very strongly, are not pleased with the downtown lighting. I think that lighting is -- it looks like this. It's very esthetically unpleasing. And \(I\) believe that there was, but \(I\) wasn't sure, and that's what \(I\) kind of wanted to make sure that it was clarified.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I think we could talk about specific --

MS. ISACOFF: That there was going to be an understanding about that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: -- yeah, we could talk about specific conditions as we go along, as we start to develop.

MS. ISACOFF: Okay. And the other area \(I\) would like to discuss, is, traffic. I know
that there has been resistence by the applicant to contributing to a light, a traffic light on the corner of Vervalen and Piermont. And I do understand that that's a county road, and there would need to be, with the consent of the county. But beyond that, there's a question of financing, and we haven't heard anything to try and accommodate the concerns that a number of us have about that corner. The police department, in this letter, did not seem -- I don't know, it's a little unclear to me what they're saying. They say that that issue was discussed, but they don't have any recommendation about the light. And I acknowledge that. However, they did have a recommendation about the left turn lane, and that is something that \(I\) would like the board, itself, to discuss whether it would recommend that that be done, and if so that there be some financing for the re-striping.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Ms. Isacoff, what I have done in the last -- in the last week, is try to organize some conditions that were either brought up as stipulations from the testimony, or conditions that we made -- we may want to include, as part of an approval, if there was to be an
approval. So, we can discuss some of these and see how the board feels about them as conditions. And, specifically we'll talk about the traffic, we'll talk about the parking, and take a line-by-line item and, Mr. Chagaris, can start listing them, if in deed there even conditions that the board feels should be made a part.

Anything else?
MS. ISACOFF: That's it.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. DiDio.
MR. DIDIO: I think it's a very
positive plan. Over the 14 or so meetings that we've had, \(I\) think the testimony has really shown that this is a step in the right direction for the Borough of Closter and for the people in this northern valley. I feel that the traffic situation, with the light, was just discussed as a concern. I think that really needs to be addressed somehow. I look forward to the project. CHAIR LIGNOS: Wonderful. Mr. Sinowitz. MR. SINOWITZ: Two concerns I want -- would like to have discussed. And they were brought up, in part, by Mrs. Isacoff. The waivers that are required for parking should come
into play. I think it's important that we consider, at least a portion of the parking areas that are deficient, to be covered by the parking authority's responsibilities in planning for the future. I look forward to the success of this shopping center, this project, this plaza, to be very, very worthwhile, and very lucrative to all concerned. And, in so, the parking concerns will be greater with the greater success of Closter. And, therefore, it's incumbent upon us to concern ourselves with the needs for the expanse in future contingencies. And that's where the parking authority's actions come into play. That's one area I want to discuss.

And the second area, I would like more clarity and more definitive planning or statements or agreements that can be worked out, to tie the shopping center in with the downtown, more physically, more esthetically, and with more continuity, if not in design, but in streetscapes, and sidewalk scapes and lighting scapes. That's all I have.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you. Thank you.

Again, \(I\) have made a list, and it's
funny, because so far included in my list that \(I\) happened to make for myself, are some of the same points that you're making. So, let's keep this -we'll keep it going around in the general. And then we'll start taking specific items.

Okay, mayor.
MS. HEYMANN: Well, I agree with everything that's been said so far. And \(I\) want you to know that I'm looking forward if possible, to cutting the ribbon on that plaza someday.

MS. AMITAI: Soon.
MS. HEYMANN: Soon. That doesn't mean that this board should not really think very hard today to make sure that that only that we welcome the plaza, but we welcome the plaza, as the best possible construction that Closter can have. And I think it's incumbent upon all of us, after all these 14 times of testimony, to think of the little ways, and the big ways that you can be assured that this will last a good 50, 60 years and be up to date during all that time.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you, Mayor.
Councilwoman.
MS. AMITAI: Yes. So, first of all, I have to thank Edens and -- and Mr. Basralian,
for coming and for being here with us. It was such a professional presentation. And \(I\) was very pleased to hear all of their thoughts. So, I'm looking also, forward, like everyone else, to really a nice conclusion to a beautiful shopping center. Having said that, our master plan, and Mr. Basralian did refer to that, does say a lot of things. And it also says that the success of the revitalization effort is depend ant upon significant changes to both the old and the new section. And that we are characterized as a traditional main street retail environment. And as nice as this may look on paper, I know it's just conceptual, it looks to me more urban. It doesn't look like it's related to our downtown. And \(I\) know that some developers have molded their architecture to "Respect local vernacular -- and I'm quoting from our master plan -- "Historic architecture so that the image is not generic, but tailored to the community in which it's located." So, one can do that in may ways, through the pavers, through the lamps, trying to connect. That's another quote in our master plan. The fact that in order to be successful it must connect with one another. And then both parts will be
more successful.
The master plan actually refers to enclosing waste receptacle. That's a minor detail down the road. Master plan; continue to work with developers focusing on the quality, design, and economic and visual compatibility and its function integration with the downtown. All quotes from the master plan. To establish bike paths and pedestrian walkways that connect to the downtown.

I would like to see greater landscaping in the Homan's side. I thought that -- no, on the Homan's side I thought it was quite good. But on the Vervalen side \(I\) thought it was lacking. I also thought the size of the plazas were lacking. I think they should be greater in size. I would love to see overhangs on the buildings. I think that makes it very pedestrian friendly for families and people. The lighting we discussed. I'm really concerned about the subdivision. Because \(I\) feel as if we don't have enough information, whereas as to approve something that we don't know what's going to happen with the subdivision. And I don't feel comfortable at all with that. We didn't talk about it, but striping, maintenance, and things
like that. I mean I looked at their website and Edens looks like a great developer. And I'm not really concerned, I think they would maintain the property beautifully.

In one of our very first meetings, maybe it was a conceptual review, John Lignos mentioned things that he thought the board would be looking at, and based on the fact that we sat through another application over a very long period of time, one of the comments was about sustainability. And \(I\) didn't see any -- any thread of sustainability in the application. I did pull off the web, pages and pages of how Whole Foods, all the different stores, all the different kinds of ways they put solar panels, and they do, I don't know, all kinds of sustainable practices, but \(I\) haven't seen the developer has offered us any of those kinds of things.

I would like to see the plaza in front of the movie theater remain green for people, rather than have a building there. That's my personal preference. Pedestrian access. Down the entrance drive was another thing that was originally mentioned in our meeting. Removal of garbage Efficient solution so that it be hidden
architecturally so that Homans Avenue doesn't become the back door, and a very unpleasant street facade. We talked about the scale and respect for the community and the guaranteeing of phasing, that we will complete the project. Not that we be left with this part, phase I. The hard scape, we mentioned hard scape. The green walls, and the maintenance, of the lovely green walls that was presented. I would love to see some of that. That the mechanical systems be screened, the roof top mechanical systems. And I don't know, there might be some other things here, I have pages and pages of notes, but basically I'm very happy, and I would love to see us make a beautiful shopping center for the town for a very long time. But I think now is the time.

> CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you,

Councilwoman.
Dr. Maddaloni, an overview.
MR. MADDALONI: Yeah, a few things. I agree with just about everything that's been said by my fellow board members. I think maybe to reiterate a little, the traffic concerns on many people's minds. I almost can't help but think we are going to need a traffic light on Piermont and

Vervalen. And \(I\) know it involves the county and others, but \(I\) think what it also should involve is a commitment on the applicant's part to pay its fair share, if and when that light becomes a reality. I like the police report to recommending three lanes with a turn lane running west to east. That made a lot of sense to me. I think there's also the issue of paving Vervalen. If you drive east to west, in the right-hand lane, it's downright dangerous, the surface of the road. It's so poorly maintained. So, almost everyone has to use it as a single lane street because it's -- it's hard to -- it's hard to negotiate in the right lane, running east to west, because it's so poorly -- poorly maintained. I -- also part of the general traffic, in terms, I'm not crazy about limiting that northwest sector as an ingress only, holding in abeyance an exit, you know, prospect that it may become a future drive-thru. I'm not crazy about that at all. I, personally, would like to have seen more common areas. That's my own thought. Raise some concerns during the course of these meetings about maintenance. The design looks great. Maintaining it is also very, very important \(I\) think. It almost reminds me of a

Seinfeld episode. Anyone can take a reservation. It's the holding of the reservation. Maintaining the property in good shape is I think just probably more important than making it look nice in the first place. So, irrigation for landscaping, a plan for snow removal. We haven't really seen much in the way of that. And \(I\) think as many others articulated, I would like to see a stronger effort to integrate the shopping center into the downtown, visavee, lighting, pavers and anything else that are more architecturally -CHAIR LIGNOS: Gifted. MR. MADDALONI: -- gifted, than myself, would suggest. Thank you. I rest my case.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. Baboo.
MR. BABOO: Wow, I mean \(I\) think pretty much every issue has been discussed already. I have to say, I was impressed with the presentation. I think it was a risk to actually come up the \(3-D\) renderings, which \(I\) do appreciate, because it helps us visualize how it will look. It's risky from the point of view we can nitpick on the \(3-D\) renderings, but \(I\) think it did more good than harm. And \(I\) really do appreciate that
fact. Because it's hard to look at really very large blueprints day in and day out and try to figure out how the mall is going to look. So, I really appreciate that. You know, most of my concerns are the same concerns everyone has voiced before; pedestrian access, the mall fitting into the town. It does seem a little bit boxy like something maybe on Route 4, 17, but, you know, maybe there are constraints that it had to look like that. But \(I\) would have felt better if it was more colonial, or just designed a little bit differently so it fits better in the town. It just looks like it stands out when you look at Main Street and all the other areas of the town. I do like the overall design, the ingress, the ingress and egress. I do have concerns about the traffic, about the state of traffic going in and out of the mall. I think there's going to be more traffic than what was predicted in terms of the study. My other issue is with the subdivision. I think we could be a little more flexible with the subdivision. We don't -- we maybe shouldn't grant it now. We should at least build phase I and see what happens, how everything pans out. If everything pans out well we can then ask them to
come back and do a subdivision. If things don't pan out, maybe we can use that subdivision to address whatever issues occur during phase I. So, I think, we cou8ld do, you know, just have some flexibility. I'm just looking to protect the town. I know there are going to be other issues if we approve or don't approve this application. But if we do approve it, and then there are lawsuits, and everything else, and the moment it gets built, I'm worried that the subdivision could be used for something else that the town is not intended on being used for. So, that's why I would rather have that come later than as opposed to now.

Last week or this week. Kind of foggy. This weekend I experienced another blackout where I live. I think one of the transformers blew out. But \(I\) think it was just related to Durie Avenue. I would like to see some sort of emergency lighting. I don't know if it should be solar based, or generator based, for the parking lot. So if we lose power, at least it should be a half an hour window where the lights are on. So people could safely leave the parking lot, especially in the dark. And that's pretty
much about it.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you, Mr. Baboo. Ms. Stella.

MS. STELLA: As a representative of the historic commission, I'm pleased that the AME Zion Church seems to be protected and well cared for with this development that's going to be going on around it. And that it will have sidewalk and parking spaces. That's kind of a protection for the building too. I also had, just can't get rid of the concern about traffic and parking. But I know in retailing it's somewhat self limiting. If people drive here and they can't find a parking space, they're not going to come back so much the second time. So, I -- and I trust that the experts would not make this investment if it were going to be so much concern that it would keep people away. So, although the parking is minimal, hopefully for the success, I think we have to trust the experts on that, and the police department with the extra lane and the traffic light will help considerably. I do feel like Dr. Maddaloni, that do we really need the drive-thru on the side of that building when it could be another exit. I also would like to have
seen a little more traditional look to it, and I realize these are renderings. And it might be more traditional looking but \(I\) do want Edens to keep that in mind, that we are a little put off by the spare modern design, which is good and beautiful, but not consistent with the rest of the architecture in the neighborhood. That's it.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you. Thank
you. Members of the Board, perhaps the most organized way to do this, a lot of your concerns were also mine. So, instead of repeating them, I've kind of listed some conditions that \(I\) would, in a perfect world, would love to see. You may agree with some of them. You may not agree. But if we took them point by point, perhaps we can move this along, and at least remove any of the points that you think have not been part of the application, nor should be part, you know, as a condition.

For instance, we have heard repeatedly in testimony -- by the way, let me just begin, as an overview, that \(I\) too thank you very, very much. I thank Edens for the effort they have put. I thank the architect for his vision. I have to stand up for a more modernist. I happen
to be one. And \(I\) have to tell you that what's wonderful about very traditional colonial architecture, was that it was appropriate at the time it was designed because it was the architecture of its age. I think as a modernist we design the architecture of our age, which includes some concrete and some stone, some glass, because those were conditions that were not available 200 years ago, and are available prevalent today. And, quite frankly, what I like about this, is, even though it may not have the vernacular, it does have the scale. And quite frankly, to the trained eye, a sophistication of scale is something that goes a very, very long way in making a large project like this feel kind of residential in nature in its scale, and one that would connect, visually, through its scale to our downtown. There are other factors that we can add to make that relationship just a little bit more solid, and perhaps we can discuss them as these conditions.

First of all, we heard very clearly that the restaurant use would be limited to 20,000 square feet. And any -- any approval, for me, should maintain that clearly, so that it does not
exceed the 20,000 , since that was made as part of a stipulation. The remainder of which would be retail. And that's what was brought up.

Again, please jump in if you think a condition is -- please jump in if you think a condition wasn't warranted or was not made as part of the -- of the application.

MS. AMITAI: I have a question to you, since you just mentioned the remainder being retail, does that mean that a gym would not be a proper use?

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, under -- under
retail, \(I\) think a gym is part of its use.
MR. CHAGARIS: I'm not sure.
CHAIR LIGNOS: I believe --
MR. DENICOLA: No.
CHAIR LIGNOS: No?
MR. DENICOLA: No.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. So, therefore, that's a very good point. Therefore, you want to call it --

MR. SINOWITZ: A kickboxing school or a gym per se, no.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, let me -- let
```

me --

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 50 \\
\hline 1 & MR. NYFENGER: I don't think a gym \\
\hline 2 & is allowed in any zone. \\
\hline 3 & MR. SINOWITZ: A school. A school \\
\hline 4 & that teaches. \\
\hline 5 & MR. CHAGARIS: You don't think you \\
\hline 6 & said. \\
\hline 7 & MR. NYFENGER: I do not think. \\
\hline 8 & MR. DENICOLA: Well, if the board \\
\hline 9 & feels a gym is warranted or could be allowed, you \\
\hline 10 & can say retail and or -- \\
\hline 11 & MS. AMITAI: Gym. \\
\hline 12 & CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah. \\
\hline 13 & MR. CHAGARIS: You're restricted to \\
\hline 14 & the zone though. \\
\hline 15 & MR. NYFENGER: Mr. Chairman, with \\
\hline 16 & that stipulation, ten years from now, should an \\
\hline 17 & applicant want to put in a restaurant that would \\
\hline 18 & take it 25,000 square feet -- \\
\hline 19 & CHAIR LIGNOS: They would have to \\
\hline 20 & come back. \\
\hline 21 & MR. NYFENGER: -- they would come \\
\hline 22 & back. And, so they don't have carte blanch, so, \\
\hline 23 & that's a reasonable -- \\
\hline 24 & CHAIR LIGNOS: Now, understanding \\
\hline 25 & the reason for the 20,000 on the applicant's part, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
at least how \(I\) understood it, is because of the ration of parking, and so on and so forth. And, therefore, they made that decision -- I'm sorry -(Reporter requests a short recess.) CHAIR LIGNOS: I'm waiting for our engineer to just get a clarification on the gym. Mr. Sinowitz, I don't understand since there was a gym facility there before, why wouldn't a gym facility be allowed in the future?

MR. NYFENGER: What was there?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Max Fit.
MR. DENICOLA: Tae Kwon Do.
MR. DIDIO: There was Curves there also, wasn't there?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Curves, Max Fit,
Tiger Kim's.
MR. DIDIO: Tiger Kim's was more instructional though. Tiger Kim's --

MR. DENICOLA: True.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Max Fit is where I lost 75 pounds. So, I think it was a gym.

MR. NYFENGER: Maybe they slid in under the radar.

MR. SINOWITZ: It's just not listed.
It's just not listed and it can be quoted as a
schooling or educational institution. Then you can stretch it a little.

MR. CHAGARIS: That -- those
questions are not before the board. What is before the board, is this application, and one stipulation and a condition is that they are limiting the uses to no more than 20,000 square feet for restaurant. Other than that, whatever the zoning ordinance allows, it allows.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. That's even better. That's even better. That's even better.

MR. CHAGARIS: If it doesn't allow it, it doesn't allow it.

MR. NYFENGER: We are approving the building. Not the uses.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct, and that we are limiting the restaurant use to 20,000 square feet. The rest of which is the applicant has said, the rest of the building would be in accordance with our zoning use.

MR. SINOWITZ: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes.
MR. SINOWITZ: Let the board understand, that every single retail applicant or every -- every potential utilization of that
retail space will have to come and make application for a zoning permit, which would be reviewed on the merits of that application specifically. Not on the merits of the shopping center --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Dependent on the square footage, though.

MR. SINOWITZ: Pardon me?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Depending on the square footage.

MR. SINOWITZ: Well, of course. But I don't know of any spaces in this shopping center are going to have that excessive amount of for square footage.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, no, no, I'm
talking about up to a certain point it doesn't come here for review.

MR. CHAGARIS: No, no, no, no. He's not saying -- he's saying for a zoning per --

MR. SINOWITZ: I just -CHAIR LIGNOS: Oh, for zoning. I'm sorry.

MR. SINOWITZ: I'm speaking application for a zoning permit. Application for a zoning permit.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you. Thank you for reminding us.

The second point is that there is an existing culvert that was discussed, that required some repair under the \(K\)-mart building. And that, I would like to see, as a condition, prior to issuance of any certificates of occupancy for the phase II improvements. The rest of the culverts on the property were discussed, to be cleaned out. And, again, I'd like to make sure that that gets done. And, therefore, put on as a condition.

Speaking about the phasing, I too am concerned, as some members of the public may have said during the last 14 meetings. And, therefore, would like to see a bond that shall be posted for the demolition and construction in phase II of the project, based on any estimates prepared by the applicant and approved by our borough engineer.

MR. CHAGARIS: Let me just
illustrate on that a little bit. What that means, is that the applicant says that they are going to do it in phase \(I\) and phase II. Now, by the time this project gets under way the deadline may actually be come and gone and they may be doing phase I and phase II simultaneously. But we don't
know that. But the point is that this application is being considered in its entirety, and not just phase I, and then come back to phase II. This is what's presented, is both phases. So, in order to assure that the second phase is complied with, or accomplished, then there would be -- there is a method of requiring the applicant to post a bond. And the bond would be sufficient to -- for the cost for the municipality to demolish the portion of the \(K\)-mart, and do the repairs, as set forth in the plans, if the applicant, for whatever reason, is unable to or chooses not to. Yes.

MR. SINOWITZ: Is there going to be a time restraint on this bond?

MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah, until the second phase is completed.

MR. SINOWITZ: Yeah, but is there a time of when the second phase could be -- has to be completed by a certain time?

CHAIR LIGNOS: I think it's a very good point.

MR. DENICOLA: We can put something in.

MR. CHAGARIS: The representation was that as soon as the K-mart facility is
available in 2016 then they would proceed with phase II. And I suppose, you know a two year time period after commencement is a reasonable time period of time or less or more.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Right. I think a two year limitation following the taking back of the K-mart would be a fair time frame.

MR. NYFENGER: And if they were to fail?

MR. CHAGARIS: Then that's what the bond is used for.

MR. SINOWITZ: Then they forfeit the bond.

MR. CHAGARIS: The bond is used by the municipality.

MR. NYFENGER: If they start in the 25th month now what do we do?

MR. DENICOLA: The borough has discretion too. I mean they may exercise the bond or not.

MR. NYFENGER: Okay.
MR. CHAGARIS: And if there is a reasonable reason for not proceeding, you know, it's not --

MR. NYFENGER: So, we can waive it
based on --
MR. DENICOLA: The bond will be in place should the borough need it.

CHAIR LIGNOS: But you see here's what the bond does do; The work is done under phase I, and then we have what looks -- what is the existing \(K\)-mart building remaining, looking the way it does, and obviously it really would be a detriment, again, to the overall site. So, it gives the town some protection that that work will in deed get done, because there's money set aside for that work to be done if it doesn't get done. MR. NYFENGER: The only reason that we would anticipate that not getting down, is, if the applicant was in financial trouble, in which case, you know --

MR. DENICOLA: Or they didn't want to do it.

MR. CHAGARIS: Or they chose not to do it, for whatever reason. Maybe it's not the current applicant. Maybe it's sold to somebody else who says, you know what, I'm making a lot more money this way, I don't want to do it.

CHAIR LIGNOS: The starting point was, remember, decisions we make today, you may
have to live with for the next 50 years. And that's the kind of planning we need to do as a planning board.

MR. CHAGARIS: And long that lines of the bonding, \(I\) think the chairman talked about the culverts. I think that should be -- an amount should be set aside to make sure that that's done, the repairs.

MR. DENICOLA: Well, once we get to site improvement, absolutely.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And that would be part of site improvement.

MR. DENICOLA: Right.
CHAIR LIGNOS: There was testimony specific to the lighting at the intersection of a driveway and a public street. If -- I don't know if you caught it. I happen to know, and I did ask our engineer, who verified, that one footcandle is a standard as a minimum at an intersection between a driveway and a public street. There wasn't testimony specific that that one footcande would be maintained. So, I would like to see that one footcandle be maintained at the intersection of a driveway and a public street.

MR. NYFENGER: So, you've checked
the plans and there's no notation about it? CHAIR LIGNOS: No, we have testimony
--

MR. DENICOLA: I have, and there was letters on that issue.

MR. NYFENGER: And they were not addressed?

MR. DENICOLA: Not as of this date. MR. NYFENGER: All right.

MR. CHAGARIS: Could you explain to the rest of the board, if they don't know, what is meant by the one footcandle.

MR. DENICOLA: Basically there wasn't sufficient light at the intersection of the road and the ingress and egress drives.

MR. CHAGARIS: The one footcandle would do what?

MR. DENICOLA: Would be a minimum for the lighting of the intersection of the driveway and the roadway.

MR. NYFENGER: Would you want to see more than one footcandle?

MR. DENICOLA: It could be more than one --

CHAIR LIGNOS: But watch what
happens, if you go after -- you may have a -- you may have bright spots compared to the --

MR. NYFENGER: Especially on the residents on High Street, which we discussed that at one point.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MR. DENICOLA: I'm not saying it's got to be 1. I'm saying the minium is 1. If it's 1.2, that's fine, 1.5 is fine. One would be the minimum.

MR. NYFENGER: Aren't there lights going all the way down?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, we're going to get there. We're getting there.

MR. NYFENGER: Vervalen.
MR. DENICOLA: Right now there's
Cobra head lighting that does not produce the one footcandle in certain locations.

CHAIR LIGNOS: As far as connecting the plaza to the downtown; let's understand that it's almost physically impossible to do it architecturally. But it may not be physically impossible to do it through the maintaining of our light poles, the very traditional light poles that we have. And some of us have voiced an opinion
that the quality of the light that comes off of those light poles are less than desirable. So, leaving that open, because there is some work being done to try find what is the best way -what is best bulb and color rendition index on the bulb, to use to maintain throughout our downtown, keeping that off this discussion, at least that the pole that is used for the rest of the downtown be maintained through Vervalen.

Yes.
MR. NYFENGER: If those lights were so important when they were done downtown why weren't they continued all the way to Piermont Road?

CHAIR LIGNOS: I don't know.
MS. AMITAI: It was part of the grant just for the renaissance district. MR. DENICOLA: I think it's in the applicant's plans to do that now. So, I don't think -- it's already there so --

CHAIR LIGNOS: We're not --
MR. NYFENGER: Are you challenging the style?

MR. DENICOLA: No, to continue it.
CHAIR LIGNOS: No. What I'm saying,
is, there is certain things that have come up for discussion that \(I\) want to make sure we get memorialized in the resolution so that no one ever has a question that it wasn't discussed or it wasn't part of, and, therefore, didn't get done.

MR. NYFENGER: But are these lights in the plan or not? Aren't they in the plan?

MR. DENICOLA: They are.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes. We are
memorializing the type and the style and making sure that it's part of the resolution. Mr. --

MR. NYFENGER: Oh, I'm sorry to keep going, but isn't that the case with every single thing in the plan?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Maybe. Maybe not.
MR. NYFENGER: Well, isn't the plan
the plan, and that's what we're approving, they can't make changes to that plan, right?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MR. NYFENGER: So, I'm trying to understand why you're singling out this one item.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Because some of the things that were brought up during testimony were brought up as conceptual.

MR. NYFENGER: Oh, okay.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And \(I\) want to make sure that we differentiate between the discussion of conceptual and the one that the board believed they were -- was part of the application and expected during the time of construction.

MR. NYFENGER: So, how do we specify that --

CHAIR LIGNOS: I'm doing -- what I'm doing in the resolution.

MR. NYFENGER: Oh, okay.
CHAIR LIGNOS: If we were to approve this. And, again, if you think something that I'm saying should not be part of, then, you know, we ought to consider not having it.

Yes, Mr. Sinowitz.
MR. SINOWITZ: In the discussions earlier, in one of the earlier meetings, this was discussed, and it was, I thought, agreed upon by the applicant, or alluded to, that he would be in compliance as well as discussed with the whatever -- whatever is resolve for downtown; light posts, lighting and so forth, they would comply, to bring the continuity of that lighting system up through Vervalen Street all the way through.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct. That's exactly what \(I\) said. I didn't change anything. that's exactly what \(I\) said. Sometimes there's a difference between a condition and a stipulation. They've already stipulated to that. I'm just reiterating it as part of the resolution.

MR. SINOWITZ: And another way of visually, I know architecturally you're not going to have the continuity, but visually speaking, you can tie the two districts, let's use the word two districts, the two areas, together, by visual impact, using the same kinds of sidewalks for instance.

CHAIR LIGNOS: That was the next
thing \(I\) was getting to.
MR. SINOWITZ: Okay. Bring the
same -- bring the same sidewalks up through, all the way through Vervalen Street, as well as the streets. The roadway.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct. That was the next thing --

MR. NYFENGER: It's called pavers.
MR. SINOWITZ: Whatever it is, pavers or whatever.

CHAIR LIGNOS: A combination of the
pavers and the concrete the way it's used in our downtown, to be continued along on Vervalen.

MR. NYFENGER: When \(I\) see pavers, I see pavers that go like this everywhere.

MR. SINOWITZ: That's in
Williamsburg, Virginia.
MS. STELLA: The lights of the pavers that are downtown --

MR. NYFENGER: Correct. Eventually pavers go like that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Because the proper way to do a paver is to actually have a subsurface of concrete and then put the dust on top of that. That's actually the very proper way to do it.

MR. NYFENGER: Instead of gravel. CHAIR LIGNOS: But in maintaining the esthetic that everyone is looking to continue our downtown, I think this would go a long way. Along with that \(I\) think the police report of the striping the way the re striping, I think makes a lot of sense, and will help shoppers drive in to the center. It actually would be a benefit I think to the center itself.

MS. MITCHELL: Excuse me. Did you get that email today? I forwarded this to you
today?
MR. BASRALIAN: No, I didn't get it. MS. MITCHELL: Do you want me to make a copy?

MR. BASRALIAN: Yeah, it would be nice.

MS. MITCHELL: I just got it today and \(I\) forwarded it to you.

MR. NYFENGER: Mr. Chairman, do you want me to keep -- I feel like if I keep commenting -- is it okay to do that?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes, please.
MR. NYFENGER: So, I fully agree with you, because it's almost like a race, who is going to pass who, who is going to go behind, you know, that guy is going to make a left, everyone is going to go around him, the gullies where the drains are, as Dr. Maddaloni referred to, but the -- so, can the applicant, and I'm unaware, can the applicant be required to contribute to the improvement of the borough's street for this?

CHAIR LIGNOS: I'll leave it to Mr. --

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, the improvements -- well, the law is that if it's --
if the street is impacted, like say for example, widening, we're not talking about widening here, we're certainly -- if it has to be widened that would be an offsite improvement required as a consequence of the development. Now, if striping is required, that could be considered like a widening. In other words, it's not a maintenance, like filling in potholes or putting in, you know, concrete. But if necessary to do the striping so that the site can be better accessed, that can be an offsite improvement.

MR. NYFENGER: So, striping
includes -- would need to be paved first.
MR. CHAGARIS: Well, whatever
striping --
MR. NYFENGER: So, what do we do, you have the Bank of America, which is not their property, and you have the Closter Commons, which is not their property. So, we're just going to cut out a section?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Just that section. MR. NYFENGER: That would be ridiculous.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, it's ridiculous but he borough can do the rest.

MR. NYFENGER: Okay. Then that would make it not ridiculous.

CHAIR LIGNOS: The whole -- the whole point is that it would help shoppers be able to turn in, having a turn off lane.

MR. NYFENGER: But the paving -MS. HEYMANN: John.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, I'm sorry, yes.
MS. HEYMANN: I think that -- and I
am grateful for our \(P D\) for recommending the three lanes. Because \(I\) think that will go a long way, in my mind, to solve some of the traffic problems on that street. But \(I\) do want to point out that you can't stripe a broken up paver. And the street needs to be redone. And I think that that should be one of the issues that --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, I did say that.
I think -- but, again, just for the portion that's -- that's the plaza. Obviously, they can't go do --

MS. HEYMANN: Right. Because the borough would then be, presumably, responsible for the rest.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct. Right. No, you can't -- you can't stripe cracked up pavement,
you know, pavement. But the whole point at the same time, it's for the section that's literally in front of the plaza.

MS. HEYMANN: I think that, you know, having three lanes, with a turnoff lane on one on the right and one on the left, will facilitate the traffic. And that's, I think one of the issues that many of us have been contending with, because it is a limited area and the parking is going to be consume the entire area, and having an extra lane, which \(I\) think, incidentally, is possible with the width of Vervalen the way it is now, you asked that question before, it does demand a redone street, repaved, and \(I\) can understand that the applicant wouldn't want to pave the entire street. So, I think that Closter has to pick up somewhere along the way.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Right. I think that Closter will be very happy if the applicant is in, and the plaza has been fixed up, it becomes something I think that the borough would be happy to do it.

MR. DENICOLA: Right.
CHAIR LIGNOS: During construction I think we have to find a way to limit truck
traffic. I asked Mr. DeNicola, perhaps limiting the trucks during construction to -- limited to Homans to Piermont, to prevent the trucks from coming out to borough roads.

MR. NYFENGER: Through town.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Just during
construction.
MR. DENICOLA: That's not really changing what the applicant already stipulated to in their soil movement documentation. This is more or less memorializing that as a point of fact, to make sure it gets in the resolution.

MR. NYFENGER: Can we go back to the paving? This is more of a question to the applicant.

MR. DENICOLA: You can't. No, no, no.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, you can't ask the --

MR. NYFENGER: Then a clarification. I don't have the plans in front of me. Currently the sidewalk on Vervalen abuts the curb and the road.

MR. DENICOLA: Correct.
MR. NYFENGER: Is that modified at
all? Is that setback at all in the new plan?
MR. DENICOLA: It depends on what section you're at.

MR. NYFENGER: Because that lends more reason to reduce the lanes to three. Because right now if you're in that right lane you are -MR. DENICOLA: Correct. The sidewalk is adjacent to the curb the entire lane. MR. NYFENGER: So, by adding three lanes where there were four --

MS. AMITAI: Could you repeat that again, Nick.

MR. DENICOLA: The sidewalk is adjacent to the curb along the plaza.

MR. NYFENGER: -- you could actually have a stripe two foot, three foot area almost like where a bicycle would ride --

MR. DENICOLA: Exactly right.
MR. NYFENGER: -- to give yourself some room on the right side of the car and the people walking on the sidewalk. It's very tight. It's a little dangerous.

MR. DENICOLA: Very narrow lanes.
MR. MADDALONI: And that's also where the sewer plates are.

MR. NYFENGER: Yeah so that would kind of help that out.

MS. HEYMANN: That's why when they talk about complete streets that's exactly what we want to do.

MR. DENICOLA: That's exactly right.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Councilwoman.
MS. AMITAI: I have a question --
MR. DENICOLA: It's reference to DOT criteria in development.

MS. AMITAI: -- for our professionals, for our attorney. In Jessie Rosenblume's packet, on JR-15, that's the agreement with the old Closter Plaza, Grand Union, Citizens National Bank, and Borough of Closter. And inside there they talk about -- I want to know whether or not it puts the borough at jeopardy, since the borough is mentioned in that agreement. Do these things translate to the next agreement with the new -- there's one thing in there.

MR. CHAGARIS: That agreement, which was entered into between the then owner and the borough, has to be discussed by the mayor and council. We don't have jurisdiction to alter, amend or change that. It has to be the mayor and
council.
MS. AMITAI: So then maybe you have to give us your professional opinion as to whether or not this plays any role in getting us in trouble if we don't address it.

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, \(I\) really can't because two reasons: One is that there was no testimony. I don't know what the intention of the parties were. And, secondly, that's not a complete agreement. My perusal of it is it's only part of an agreement. And even though it was marked for identification purposes, it's not marked as an exhibit, that we can rely upon in these deliberations to base our decision on.

MS. AMITAI: So, may \(I\) just ask my question then?

MR. CHAGARIS: Go ahead.
MS. AMITAI: This -- specifically
what I'm most concerned, it says here, there shall be no road opening made allowed or constructed at the east end of the shopping center, which would open the center to vehicular traffic from Homans to Vervalen Street, through the shopping center. And this plan is opening -- making that opening. So, my question is --

MR. NYFENGER: It's actually taking away that opening. That opening exists between K-mart and Grand Union straight through to --

MR. DENICOLA: No, she said easterly
end. Burger King.
MS. AMITAI: No, I'm talking about at the Burger King end. Now you cannot pass through from the shopping center to Homans.

MR. CHAGARIS: The only way I can answer the question is this: This application that's before this board is what's before this board. If, let's say for the sake of discussion, that the application is approved, and the applicant wants to do what is prohibited by that agreement, then it would be for the mayor and council and the -- to enforce, or not enforce, that agreement. Maybe the agreement has been modified. I don't know.

MS. HEYMANN: Arthur, an agreement that's made with principals that are no longer in the picture, still hold true?

MR. DENICOLA: Depends. Depends.
MR. CHAGARIS: Sure. It depends. I mean you can have, for example, you can have restrictions on a deed that carries on after the
parties are deceased. Sometimes you can. Sometimes you can't. But, again, we weren't parties -- this board was not a party to that agreement. And we don't know what other subsequent agreements there were. Or modifications to that agreement, if any. We have no idea. And that also as \(I\) said that agreement is not the complete agreement. So, that's -- if the board, for sake of discussion, approves this application, and it is determined that that agreement does not allow this application -- this development, that doesn't effect our decision. Our decision is whether or not, at a planning basis, it can go through. Now, if there is some legal impediment that we're not aware of, then there's a legal impediment.

MS. AMITAI: Okay. So then my second question, I guess Nick or Lenny, this relates to, in our code, this particular JR-35 that I'm looking at says, landscaped open space area, this paragraph shall be changed to indicate a minimum of 15 percent of total lot area to be maintained. They're talking about landscaping. MR. DENICOLA: You're speaking to an article we don't even know what it is. Page 76

MR. CHAGARIS: Again, which item were you looking at now?

MS. AMITAI: Well, it's the old code numbers. So, my question is --

MR. DENICOLA: Right. But is that code still in effect?

MS. AMITAI: That's my question. Is the code still in effect?

MR. CHAGARIS: What's the number? What's the exhibit number?

MS. AMITAI: It's JR-35.
MR. DENICOLA: The applicant
currently is seeking a waiver in such that they're below the minimum required open space, but they are increasing what is existing today, if that answers your question.

MR. CHAGARIS: JR-35.
MS. AMITAI: So, we don't have to -even if it's in the code. JR-35F down at the bottom of the page.

MS. ISACOFF: If this is old code why is this --

MR. NYFENGER: Even if it's new code it wouldn't matter.

MS. AMITAI: No, it's the old code
number. The numbering of the code was changed.
MS. HEYMANN: Arthur, I think we discussed this, the new code, not too long ago, on another matter, and it was determined in that discussion that the wording was, this law will now supersede everything that came before it.

MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah, I just want to clarify one thing -- from what \(I^{\prime} m\) reading here, this letter of April 4, 1985, this is a letter from the then chairman of the planning board, Harold Enders, to the mayor and council, approving or recommending certain things occur or be adopted. But there's no reference that this ever was adopted. And we don't know that --

MS. AMITAI: Well, I'm saying does anybody know what our code is?

MR. CHAGARIS: Again, even if it was recommended by the board, that doesn't mean, as I said, doesn't mean it was adopted or wasn't adopted, or even if it was adopted if it was taken into account, or whether all of these items, or any of these items were ever adopted. So, I don't think -- you know, it's being misleading. Because we don't really know the whole story. What we do know, is the application, and we do know what the
ordinances are at this time. So, what percentages are required, what buffers are required, and what the ordinances are required, that's what governs for this application. Not what may have or may not have been approved in 1985.

MR. DENICOLA: Maximum impervious area is 80 percent. It's currently over 90 percent. The applicant still over 80 percent, but less than what was existing. If that answers your question.

\section*{CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay.}

MS. AMITAI: I do have one last
question. And we talked about it during the hearings, during the testimony. I never really quite got it. And it relates to the interior ceiling height. If this building, if this shopping center were to be sold to another developer, as the plan is now, could a second story be made on the interior of the buildings, making that a two-story multiuse? I don't know what.

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, they would have to come back to the planning board for that. Because what's being approved is the square footage of usable space that's on the application.

So, what you would be suggesting -- and there is two stories are permitted --

MS. AMITAI: Say it again.
MR. CHAGARIS: Two-story structures are permitted in this area on this site. But that's not what's being presented. And if in fact, a later applicant, or a later owner, wants to have a second story added, they're going to have to come back here.

MS. AMITAI: Okay.
CHAIR LIGNOS: It would have to be approved by this -- by this board. All right. Let's -- let's -- we talked about construction. Traffic. I have to agree, I believe with Dr. Maddaloni, who said, and \(I\) believe one or two, Lenny, I think you may have said it also, the only light that we have right now existing is the light on Homans and Piermont. My guess is that once people understand how the traffic flows through the plaza, that side, Homans, would become a preferred route, because at least the light allows you to make the left turn or so on and so forth. So, with that kind of planning in mind \(I\) would like to see the Homans driveways be ingress and egress for both of them. I think it would serve
the people who want to go out on Homans, and go east to utilize the light, and local traffic for us, who live in town, to go Homans west.

MS. AMITAI: Could you just repeat which driveway you're referring to. CHAIR LIGNOS: The two driveways -MS. AMITAI: Both driveways that abut Homans?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MS. AMITAI: You would like both
directions?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Both in and out.
MR. DENICOLA: Well, there's a
couple of driveways. Because it's a big parking lot. You're talking about the most eastern one and the most western one.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Thank you. That's a better way to say it. Thank you.

MR. NYFENGER: Mr. Chairman. So, that would eliminate the opportunity to have the drive-thru?

CHAIR LIGNOS: No.
MR. DENICOLA: Not necessarily.
CHAIR LIGNOS: No, I'm not telling anyone how to design it.

MR. NYFENGER: Well, you're going to have cars going at each other the wrong way like over in England.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I beg to differ.
MR. NYFENGER: This one's going to be coming in this way to have the drive-thru, and this one's going to be coming this way, and that one's going to be coming this way again.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, it's --
MR. NYFENGER: They want to go back and make a left or make a right they go to -what's this, Lewis Street, right?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Look, I certainly can design it if the applicant asked me to, but I'm not in that business or part of this application. I'm sure their professionals would know how to do that. But for the purposes of the people wanting to use the only light to control the ability to make a left, or for that matter, a right on Piermont. That is the only light.

MR. NYFENGER: Right. So, you want to be able to go in and out by -- close to Burger King?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes.
MR. NYFENGER: And you want to be
able to go in and out to the left of \(K\)-mart?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes.
MR. NYFENGER: As opposed to just going a little further and making a right onto Lewis -- it is Lewis, right? I keep saying. And making a right on to --

MR. DENICOLA: Campbell to Lewis.
MR. NYFENGER: Campbell. I'm sorry.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MR. BABOO: So, Mr. Chairman, if we make this stipulation, obviously they will have to change some thing. Will there be someone going to approve these plans?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes, obviously the --
MR. DENICOLA: Come back to the
board.
CHAIR LIGNOS: It would come back to the board for that specific -- not for the whole plaza.

MR. BABOO: Okay. Just that specific section.

CHAIR LIGNOS: -- just that specific section.

MR. DENICOLA: All the board has to do is look at it and say, yes, it complied. Done.

CHAIR LIGNOS: In other words, yes,
it comes in, it's an ingress egress.
MR. BABOO: Okay. But as
Mr. Nyfenger said, if you have a drive-thru and it becomes three lanes, or four lanes or something crazy, there's going to be someone to look it over and say, no, this is not safe.

CHAIR LIGNOS: We are.
MR. BABOO: We are.
CHAIR LIGNOS: The board.
MR. BABOO: Okay. Great.
CHAIR LIGNOS: I'm concerned about
the planting. Because if planting isn't done right, or if it dies, we have such an opportunity for something nice and green, that if we don't properly maintain it, \(I\) am -- I'm concerned. So, I respect the fact that Edens is a top notch landlord. And I think they would do a great job. So, originally \(I\) was a believer that this whole site should be irrigated. But \(I\) believe Edens will do what needs to be done to keep the irrigation, as part of, you know, like a water truck or something, that comes through and properly irrigates. But my concern is that on Homans and on Vervalen, those strips, literally
right on the roadway, that irrigation be provided for that, because it's the furthest most away from the building itself. And it's the buffer to the town. And \(I\)-- and \(I\) think by having irrigation, I know somebody may say, yeah, by having irrigation, if they don't turn it on. It doesn't make sense to put irrigation in and not have it turned on. And it's not that huge of a cost item if you limit it to those two straight runs of Homans and Vervalen. And \(I\) think that a bond needs to be, obviously maintained, or held for the unirrigated plantings for a period of two years so that those plantings take hold, and make sure that they survive.

MS. HEYMANN: I have a question about that. When you're talking irrigation, you're talking the sprinkler type?

CHAIR LIGNOS: It could be a drip. It could be a drip. It doesn't matter. As long as it's properly --

MS. HEYMANN: Because I would strongly recommend if there's irrigation, it should be drip irrigation.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, I don't want anybody to waste water by any means. I just,
properly -- they have some nice Laurel up against Homans. And, look, I'm not a horticulturist. I'm not a landscape architect. But \(I\) know that that Laurel does really well getting it's proper -MS. HEYMANN: You know on a strip like that you can easily put in drip irrigation. CHAIR LIGNOS: Just a drip. That's fine. That's fine. I love the idea of the green walls, that are displayed not only in the plans, but on those renderings. So, I just -- because words were mentioned, as you know, it's conceptual, \(I\) want to make sure that those green walls are not just conceptual, but literally become part of the final design. I'm not saying they weren't going to be, but \(I\) just want to make sure it's part of the resolution.

MR. NYFENGER: Do they need to be
irrigated?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, here's what's nice about that. I thought about that. But if the irrigation is kept to the extreme -- it's very costly then to bring it back in again. If something dies and it's up against your store, I think somebody is going to say something. Especially if they pay rent. So, I almost feel
that that would be market driven. I think deliveries for the center, some stores, I'm going to believe are going to be dropped off at the front, and come in through the front door, because I was trying to figure out how every store will get a delivery. I think it would behoove us that the deliveries would come in off of Homans. Because then we control -- it's controlled as to that's the closest route to the store, as opposed to having to go through the parking lot with a larger truck, which would be a larger truck normally for delivery.

MR. NYFENGER: What about the stores that are going north/south?

CHAIR LIGNOS: You would still use the Homans east, come in around, and up. You can. You can get there from --

MS. STELLA: From here to here from these stores?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah. You go in and around.

MS. STELLA: From here?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct. You can also stop and park in the --

MR. NYFENGER: Mr. Chairman, are we
asking the applicant to bind the tenant, to bind the vendor to drive a certain way?

CHAIR LIGNOS: No. I'm asking -I'm asking the board whether they think it's a good idea to keep deliveries off of Vervalen.

MR. NYFENGER: I'll say it again.
Are you asking the applicant the bind the tenant to bind their vendor --

MR. DENICOLA: That's the only way to do it.

CHAIR LIGNOS: That's the only way to do it.

MR. SINOWITZ: It's feasible to
think in terms of deliveries after hours, say after 11 o'clock or 12 o'clock at night, to be able to make deliveries in the front, if they wanted to, when there's no retail operations going on.

CHAIR LIGNOS: By the way, again, if the board doesn't think the delivery -- that -see, again, think about one thing. Think about one thing. There's people using the plaza. There's children crossing the main loop. To be able to take the truck traffic and control it so it's not in the front, may make a lot of sense.

If you don't think that's the case, then that stipulation is out.

MR. SINOWITZ: No, I think it would be important to control it in that sense. During the day, during the shopping hours, then the traffic, the truck traffic should come in through Homans, come in and deliveries made at the rear. But after hours, early mornings, late nights, I hardly imagine where the deliveries are made.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay but stores that go northeast, I'm sorry, that run north/south.

MR. SINOWITZ: The ones on the side.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct. Would still
have to come in and then go to the front. In most cases, I wouldn't be surprised if they then, you know, come out Homans. So, if you don't think that the deliveries are an issue then we can strike it.

MS. AMITAI: It's definitely an
issue.
MS. HEYMANN: I've made deliveries at shopping centers so \(I\) can tell you that sometimes, especially if this shopping center thrives, it will have backups in deliveries. So that \(I\) think you should pay attention to what

Lenny is saying, that you're absolutely correct, during working hours, daytime hours, even movie hours, they shouldn't be deliveries except on Homans. But \(I\) think it should be an option, which I think is probably used in the early morning hours for having it approach somewhere else as well. So, you can control --

CHAIR LIGNOS: So, you're saying while the -- while the retail --

MR. DENICOLA: While it's in
business. Business hours.

> CHAIR LIGNOS: Right.

MS. HEYMANN: While there is public
--
CHAIR LIGNOS: No. You can't say public.

> MR. DENICOLA: Just say business hours.

\section*{CHAIR LIGNOS: During normal} business hours deliveries would come in off of Homans. Any time thereafter they can come in from whichever way they wish.

MR. SINOWITZ: I wouldn't use the word, normal business hours. During retail business hours. Period.

MR. DENICOLA: Well, with the movie theater is that retail?

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, you can't do -you can't do movie theater. Because movie theaters end at 12 in the morning sometimes.

MR. DENICOLA: When kids are coming out.

MS. HEYMANN: I would include the movie theater because \(I\) think what we're talking about is much more likely to happen in the early morning hours than the late night hours.

MR. MADDALONI: Why don't you just define it by the time then. Say before eight and after ten \(p . m\) or something like that.

MR. NYFENGER: Can \(I\) ask; what kind of deliveries are we talking about? I mean a tractor trailer is going to pull up behind the whatever the grocery store is or behind --

MS. HEYMANN: Not all deliveries are
in tractor trailers.
MR. NYFENGER: I understand. But a tractor trailer -- so, are we telling the FedEx guy he has got to come in Homans to go into the parking lot and still walk in the front door?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Where does the FedEx
come in and stop?
MR. NYFENGER: Usually it goes right to the front of the store.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And you have a loop that's 24 feet wide. And you're going to park in the front of a store, and you're going to then negotiate, in order for the cars to use that same loop, you're going to negotiate around it.

MR. NYFENGER: So, where is it going to go?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, that's the
whole point. If you come in off of Homans --
MR. NYFENGER: Right.
CHAIR LIGNOS: -- there's other
place to perhaps stop. Now, do you have to walk to a store, yes. Again, if you think that this doesn't make sense we can strike it.

MR. BABOO: I don't think it's enforceable. That's the problem.

MR. NYFENGER: Maybe that's what I'm getting at. I mean it's great conceptually.

MS. HEYMANN: Paul, I'm thinking of a bakery being there, just as an example.

MR. NYFENGER: Right.
MS. HEYMANN: And a panel truck
coming. Not a trailer truck. A panel truck could be coming to make a delivery. And that's, you know, if you make it too inclusive, it would exclude smaller type of deliveries which can go to the front of the store.

MR. NYFENGER: Right. So, why are we going to put it in the --

MS. HEYMANN: Because we don't want the trailer trucks.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Can \(I\) assume for a second -- that -- could we put this point on the side and just keep going. All right. Because so far it's the only one that \(I\) think is causing us some grief.

MS. STELLA: A simple thing is a box truck over a certain size.

CHAIR LIGNOS: That's another thing we can do.

> MR. DENICOLA: Any box truck. MS. STELLA: Or any box truck. CHAIR LIGNOS: Let's come back to that one.

The crosswalk at Campbell Avenue to the plaza should be landscaped, a decorative walkway as approved by the borough engineer.

MR. NYFENGER: Where is it,
Mr. Chair?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Mr. DeNicola, you want to explain this?

MR. DENICOLA: Yeah, I think someone brought that up too, about the walkways through the plaza. I think it was Vicky. Yeah, I think -- and \(I\) think it was in one of my letters also going back over them. The crosswalk around Campbell Avenue it's a three or four-way intersection there. And just to basically highlight the fact that pedestrians are going to be there, a visual aspect, having a crosswalk being in red, or a decorative crosswalk, that's like through the rest of the plaza, would enhance the pedestrian safety at that location.

MS. AMITAI: Are you talking about the little raised brick thing?

MR. DENICOLA: It could be raised. It could be brick paver, red. Whatever. You know, whatever. Something distinguishing though.

MS. STELLA: Are you talking about the one that goes from the \(K\)-mart across to Campbell to the parking lot of the bank, or whatever that corner building is, that one?

MR. DENICOLA: That's correct.
MS. HEYMANN: There's no reason why
it can't be landscaped?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Right. Exactly.
Now, I heard a lot of you talk about a stoplight on Vervalen and Piermont. I think that if a stoplight at Vervalen and Piermont was ever to be warranted, if there was ever, if or when it was required to be installed, that a pro rata basis -that a portion of that, at a pro rata basis be by their developer. Obviously -- now, Mr. DeNicola you have to enlighten us a little bit. The county is ultimately the one that makes the decision whether --

> MR. DENICOLA: That's correct. CHAIR LIGNOS: -- whether a stoplight is warranted.

> MR. DENICOLA: Is signal is
warranted, right.
CHAIR LIGNOS: At that point the county would be in a position to say, you know, 10 percent, 20 percent of the traffic is used by the plaza, whatever that percentage is.

MR. DENICOLA: There would be a fair share analysis done by the county and you can
make, I guess, the developer responsible for their fair share.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Just for the -- just for their fair share as determined --

MR. DENICOLA: Right. As determined by the county. If it's even warranted. That's number one if it's warranted.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And, by the way, it may not be warranted.

MR. MADDALONI: That sounds like a good approach.

CHAIR LIGNOS: So, in case anyone thinks that a light may show up.

MR. PIALTOS: And how do you get the county to consider that, by sending them this report by the police chief?

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, what you do, is, you ask the county for their review, and don't be surprised if they come and say, we don't see a reason, quite frankly, because Homans has one right down the block, and then Closter Dock on the other side, and, quite frankly, they're going to be too close. So, to make us say that, oh, we think a light is, you know, necessary there. I even looked at the accident reports. Somebody in
the audience last week said that, oh, my God, there's accidents upon accidents from on that. And there weren't. I mean they were accidents. But it wasn't an unusual amount. So, I don't know if a light will ever be warranted there. But to say that it is, and we should do it now, and it should be paved, \(I\) just don't see that. So --

MR. NYFENGER: Could we recommend or could we make a motion that we recommend that the borough and council request a review by the county to do that light?

MR. DENICOLA: Well, I think the application has been sent to the county for their review.

MR. NYFENGER: It has.
MR. DENICOLA: It has.
MR. NYFENGER: Oh, okay well then we have done what we can do.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. Dr. Maddaloni has stepped out. So, I am, because I want to make sure that everybody hears this, I think he stepped out to the men's room. I'm going to take a five minute recess, if that's okay with everyone. The time now is 9:52.
(Short recess taken.)

CHAIR LIGNOS: All right, I call
this meeting back to order. The time now is 9:58. I'd like the record to show that Dr. Maddaloni had stepped away, for about a minute, during the last answer that we were going through. At that point I stopped the meeting because \(I\) wanted Dr. Maddaloni to be part of all discussions. And, therefore, he is now back. We are all back in session.

The next item that I'd like to make sure we have, as a condition, is that the name and the contact information of the contractor be supplied to the borough engineer, as is required by the soil movement application. Again, this is very straight forward, but along with that, that no soil be permitted to be imported to the site without a clean fill certificate from a testing laboratory, as to the quality submitted to, and, again, approved by the borough engineer. And, again, very straight forward. I just want to have that made as part of our conditions.
I'm not a fan of speed tables, but
there's some shown in front of the retail buildings as part of the schedule that the Omland drawings had -- were prepared and dated back on

October the 10 th. And that those speed tables be maintained because they were considered to be important speed control. And I think that that is something that \(I\) guess we do want to make sure that the safety of the users of the plaza have.

The member of the public who was very adamant about bus routes, and \(I\) respect and appreciate his comments. He's not in the -- he just stepped away. But that a bus shelter be installed in front of, or near to the movie theater, as it was shown as part of Exhibit \(C\), again, in the Omland --

MR. DENICOLA: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes.
MR. DENICOLA: Not that the bus
shelter be installed, but provisions be made. Because that, you know, they're not actually installing a bus shelter, that's the bus company. CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.

MR. DENICOLA: The provisions being made for it to maybe be there, but the applicant --

CHAIR LIGNOS: That the pad -- that the space be allotted -- allocated so that a pad can be --

MR. DENICOLA: And \(I\) think, again, in the Omland plan, one of the alternatives submitted had that. I think it's that same date letter, October the \(10 t h\).

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, October the 10th.

MR. MADDALONI: If it should come to pass.

MR. DENICOLA: Right. Exactly. If it doesn't, so be it.

MS. HEYMANN: You know, for the benefit of everybody who is listening to this discussion, the county provides those shelters for us. That is the reason why we need the space. But we don't need the shelter itself.

MR. DENICOLA: Well, transit does.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Now, I think that -I think this application, in accordance with our zoning, was way under parked. But, I also heard very, very good testimony as to why the professionals thought that our parking requirements are just too strict. And I do respect the fact that the internet has taken away some physical shoppers, and that a more realistic ratio of parking was around 4 cars per thousand.

As a matter of fact, based on the applicant's own testimony, from their own professionals, that it was set, in their minds, as a minimum. With respect to that, \(I\) believe that the application is about 14 parking spaces short of that minimum, and that our -- our parking fund be -- what's the word?

MR. NYFENGER: Compensated.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Compensated or --
MR. NYFENGER: Funded by.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Funded by, I think it's \(\$ 1,200\) an hour in our ordinance.

MR. DENICOLA: Per space.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Per space. For those 1,400 spaces.

MR. BASRALIAN: You said fourteen hundred. You said 1,400.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I thought 1,200.
MR. DENICOLA: It's 1,200.
MR. BASRALIAN: Fourteen spaces.
CHAIR LIGNOS: It's \(\$ 1,200\) per space. And there was 14 spaces, that, by admission of their own testimony, was the minimum. I think that comes out to like \(\$ 16,800\) I believe. Now, my -- as a planner, as a member
of the planning board, \(I\) think one of the most important things that we can do, is, think about what happens, not if, but when this plaza becomes the success that \(I\) believe it's going to become. I want to have the ability, in the future, to have a connection to the commons. To that end \(I\) can't force anyone to open up openings between the commons and the plaza. But \(I\) would like to see that a 24 foot wide easement be incorporated into the plans to be used for future ingress/egress into the commons, should -- and I'm not saying it ever will, but should, an agreement ever be reached at a later date with the commons concerning the use of that easement for a common driveway between the two properties.

Now, to that end, \(I\) think it would further alleviate traffic if the plaza becomes the success that \(I\) think it will become. And I also think that we can start to look at that whole development as a retail enclave in our town. I think that easement should be next to retail area D, leading from the driveway to Homans Avenue to the easterly property line. I have -- there's a dumpster there now. Nothing needs to change. Because I'm not saying that that easement would
ever been used. But in case there was an agreement, ever, we have that opportunity. And it doesn't mean --

MS. AMITAI: It's only 3 spaces wide?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, 24 would be about 3 spaces wide.

MR. NYFENGER: Is that where that small lot is?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MR. DENICOLA: Yes. That's where the driveway is actually. That's where the driveway is.

MR. NYFENGER: And then there's a liquor store.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Correct.
MR. NYFENGER: Makes a lot of sense.
That makes a lot of sense.
MS. AMITAI: Don't take away the green -- the green buffer next to the garbage.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Nothing. Nothing. Absolutely nothing. It's being designed and kept exactly the way it is. That's, if in the future, if it was ever to be an issue, we have another opportunity for planning, yeah.

MS. AMITAI: I think it's a great idea.

MR. NYFENGER: So, there's nothing currently planned for that area?

CHAIR LIGNOS: No. There's a dumpster and there's some parking spaces, and some green area. Nothing changes. Keep that exactly the way it is.

MR. DENICOLA: And then even if, I think what we want to do, if that does happen, you know, you're not going to require the applicant to come back to the board for losing those spaces. I think that should be in there too.

CHAIR LIGNOS: If -- in the future if the applicant was to lose those few spaces they would not be coming back to the board as part of the easement.

MR. MADDALONI: All right. No brainer. Let's move on.

CHAIR LIGNOS: On Black Friday this mall that everyone thinks is dysfunctional today, was packed with cars. I happened to be there. And I'm wondering where they were. Where the cars were. Where they came from, where they were shopping. So, I'm thinking about the snow that's
coming on Saturday night, and I'm wondering that if we have 4 cars per thousand, I would like to see the snow when it's -- when it's plowed, be taken offsite. Because, quite frankly, when you plow that snow into an aisle, or a finger, you are going to potentially lose somewhere around 10 to 20 percent of parking spaces, if not more. And being that the winter months also tend to probably be the ones that have the most business, at least from, you know, November to January, I think that snow removal be made a condition, that it be offsite.

MR. NYFENGER: Do we have a
threshold like more than "X" inches. I mean, you know, what happened a couple of days ago, there's no need to --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, that's -- where you gonna put -- no, you can't --

MR. NYFENGER: What I'm saying, you know, if it's going to be an enforceable. CHAIR LIGNOS: That's a fair -that's a fair question. And \(I\) think that's a very fair question.

MR. NYFENGER: It has to be a certain amount before --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Right. I mean are we talking about 6 inches to a foot. Again, the point being that if you plow it, and it takes up 20 percent of the parking spaces, we could have -we could have a condition, that, again, is dangerous.

MR. PIALTOS: That's also detrimental to them. So, I'm sure they made plans to do something anyway.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And if they don't -if they didn't, I just want them to --

MR. PIALTOS: They don't want to see snow piles all over their driveway.

MR. DIDIO: Don't they have the machines that melt them?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Oh, yeah, or melt them. It doesn't matter. Meaning that they can't give up parking spaces.

MR. NYFENGER: With all due respect to this, it's similar to if they needed more spaces than they allow, and people will just drive by and not go in. So, the tenants will then demand that the snow be removed. So, we could not -- we could consider not putting this in, because it's a natural market factor. Or we could put it.

It's jut another way of looking at it.
CHAIR LIGNOS: That's correct, we could put it in and leave it up to --

MR. DENICOLA: Good point.
MR. NYFENGER: Because, you know,
it's a strange thing to have to enforce.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Can I -- it's very simple. You can say over, you know, 8 inches or something that, you know, at least -- because 8 inches becomes --

MR. DENICOLA: That's a lot.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Even 6 could be. You
know, but something like that. I'm going to circle it as something to come back to.

MR. NYFENGER: How about, snow may not reduce the parking by 'X' number of spaces? MR. DENICOLA: How about snow can't be stockpiled on site. Leave it at that. Because where are you going to stockpile it? You're not going to stockpile where a tree is. You're not going to stockpile where the sidewalk is.

MR. NYFENGER: Again, if you have one inch, you're going to have some piles. But they're not material most likely. If it's that nasty out people don't even go out. I just don't
want to put an undue hardship on the applicant. CHAIR LIGNOS: That's fair. I'm going to put it aside so we could discuss it. We heard a lot about signage. But I just want to clarify that illuminated signs, be the channel type that are backlit. Okay. And the purpose of it, is, that we haven't really heard about what signage -- and we're about to give an approval here, about the sizes of signs and so on and so forth. I believe that signs have to be lit. Because, quite frankly, if they're not lit \(I\) can't see them at night. And the night is when I'm really shopping. So -- but at the same time I don't want to see neon. But \(I\) do respect the need to light them. And if they were channeled and backlit then they're illuminated without having, you know, the glare of neon and so and so forth. MS. AMITAI: Just refresh my memory; what does it mean to have channels? Is it separate letters that are --

CHAIR LIGNOS: The letter -- the itself is opaque and all the light comes from a hallo around it. So, it's lit without having a direct neon face.

MS. AMITAI: Thank you.

MR. BABOO: Are you talking about the signs on the stores? Above the stores? MR. DENICOLA: Anywhere. MR. BABOO: Oh, anywhere.

MR. NYFENGER: Would that be considered very common nowadays?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, most of -- most of --

MR. DENICOLA: In most towns.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Most towns that have a controlled sign ordinance usually have a channel backlit.

MR. NYFENGER: If you were the applicant would you be saying, oh, God, I got to do that? Or would you say, okay? I'm just curious. Is that a huge deal?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Most of the signs
that \(I\) see are channel backlit.
MR. NYFENGER: Okay.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Now, finally, it's
the last one. We saw some really wonderful materials, and \(I\) asked the question, specifically, are we going to see this material on the buildings. And the answer was, we're presenting this materials -- this material board as a concept
of the materials that we see for the plaza. Well, I don't know what concepts are. And I have -- I have a real tough time with the word, concept. Because I feel that for some people the word concept means we want it to look kind of like this. I want to say that all the material boards that were made as part of the evidence be the materials that will be used on this -- on this project in their totality. Meaning that they were promised, to your point, Vicky, that the dumpsters and you brought it up again today, and I'm glad you did, that they would be concrete block surrounded. They have some beautiful materials that they called for on the surrounds, that they would be those vinyl fronts, the gates -- I'm sorry, they were metal gates. That's right. They would be painted to match the building. Again, I thank you. Because that truly is a classy way of doing it. I just want Edens to know that we heard that loud and clear. And I just -- that the materials that they presented, we respect, and thank them for it, but that we are in the resolution saying that we heard it, and we expect that those are the materials that you're going to use.

MR. SINIOWITZ: I agree with you. MS. AMITAI: I think part of that was no stucco on the lower part of the building. Is that my imagination?

CHAIR LIGNOS: We had mentioned that if there was any use of stucco -- as a matter of fact, the majority of the things they were going to use, were actually not stucco.

MS. AMITAI: That's a good thing.
CHAIR LIGNOS: But if any stucco was going to be used, \(I\) understood it would have any -- the vandal resistent backing mesh that prevents anyone from taking a broken bottle and cutting it or defacing it.

Those are my points. And since I haven't heard many people object to them, other than two, I'm going to bring up those two, because we can just strike them, if you don't -- if you don't agree with them.

That deliveries be limited to Homans.

MR. DENICOLA: From Homans.
CHAIR LIGNOS: From Homans.
MS. AMITAI: I say do not strike. My opinion.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. And, again, I can hear both directions.

MR. NYFENGER: I say strike. I think it's just petty and unnecessary.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay, you know, we might want to do is just get a general show of hands. This way we can move the hearing along.

MR. NYFENGER: Because I don't think it's so much going in that way or going out that way. I think what you're trying to prevent, is people stopping and standing on the road that's in front of all the buildings.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I'm actually -- I'm also concerned about safety of kids crossing.

MR. NYFENGER: What makes you think there's more kids on Vervalen than Homans? Kids are walking on Homans to get to Hillside.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, it's not the
Homans I'm worried about. I'm concerned about the access drive around the plaza. Within, inside the plaza. Inside the site of the plaza.

MR. DENICOLA: I think what
Mr. Nyfenger is concerned about is how do you actually make deliveries to one of those stores there then? If they're not using that driveway
how are you going to do it.
MS. STELLA: There appears to be a hallway through the D. There's a narrow --

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, it's -- it's existing. It's actually existing. It's going to be filled in.

MR. PIALTOS: You don't know what type of tenants are there either.

CHAIR LIGNOS: That's fair. That's fair. Look, again, I'm sure other's have other conditions, but, that's fine. We'll strike that, if that's the case.

MR. NYFENGER: That's something the applicant maybe wants to create their own rule, if they see it fit.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And the removal of snow; \(I\) 'm going to tell you that this plaza will be successful. Not that I'm a soothsayer, fortune teller. It's going to be successful. And parking and traffic is going to be a premium. So --

MS. ISACOFF: Where does the snow get removed to?

CHAIR LIGNOS: It doesn't matter. It's offsite.

MS. AMITAI: The woods.
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CHAIR LIGNOS: You melt it and use it for irrigation. And then we take care of the sustainability issue.

MS. ISACOFF: No, but seriously, what -- how does --

MR. NYFENGER: They put it in a dump truck and they take it away.

CHAIR LIGNOS: There's two ways to do it, you either actually put it in a dump truck and take it off site, and just dump it off site.

MS. HEYMANN: How do you think they do it in New York City. They cart it away.

MR. NYFENGER: They do it at the Palisades, \(I\) mean they can't --

MR. SINIOWITZ: They dump it in the river.

CHAIR LIGNOS: We can put it in the Turnkill -- no, I'm kidding. Because you can't do that.

MR. NYFENGER: How many spots are there?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Eight hundred -- off the top of my head --

MR. NYFENGER: 840? 860?
MR. BASRALIAN: 820 .

CHAIR LIGNOS: 820 .
MR. NYFENGER: And the ratio -- so, they're down by 14.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Look, I can strike it. I'm telling you, Black Friday --

MS. ISACOFF: No, I don't think you should strike it.

MR. MADDALONI: You know, there's one other point, and I've noticed it at Heidenberg Plaza, which is generally a nice looking plaza, but after a heavy snowfall they just plow it into one corner. And after a few days that really starts to look grungy. You know, it's all dark. And people start throwing garbage on it and stuff. It's not --

CHAIR LIGNOS: And it turns yellow all by itself.

MR. MADDALONI: It's not a good esthetic, a big pile of snow that's been there for a week or two.

MR. PIALTOS: Not just Black Friday, a lot times I'll go there and the lot is filled and you wonder where all the people are also.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, I don't know where they are though. Rudy's must be great.

MR. PIALTOS: How are they going to police that also? Maybe people are leaving their cars there and carpooling into the city.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, again --
MR. DENICOLA: That's on them.
That's on the applicant.
MS. ISACOFF: I agree with the snow. I just think that there should be some reasonable aspect of it.

MR. MADDALONI: Six inches in a 24 hour period.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, we could do what Mr. Nyfenger just said --

MR. NYFENGER: I have an idea. I'm just making a number up. No more than 40 spaces, 5 percent, can become unusable due to snow.

MR. SINIOWITZ: That would be --
MR. NYFENGER: Who is going to police them taking it away?

CHAIR LIGNOS: We have trouble with people -- they are, let alone town parking spaces. Mayor.

MS. HEYMANN: I agree with Mark on this, because piles of snow are really unattractive after a few days. I would imagine
that the owners of the plaza, would, themselves, want the plaza to be attractive. So, I see nothing wrong with making a mandate of moving that snow that gives them an out, with their own clients, and it's not an impossible thing to do. It doesn't happen very often, and it's not a difficult thing to do.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Why don't we call it 6 inches.

MS. HEYMANN: No, but -- you know, Mark is so right --

MS. AMITAI: Cart it away.
MS. HEYMANN: -- if you remember, I do a lot of walking in this town, and some places, in front of our own firehouse we pile up snow, and it looks terrible after a few days.

MR. NYFENGER: So, today it snows 6 inches and tomorrow it's going to be 60 degrees. We're going to make them move the snow. It's just a little bizarre. And if it's a triple net lease we're putting the onus on the tenants to pay for that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: So, if it snows 6 inches and tomorrow we have global warming and goes to 60 --

MR. NYFENGER: Hey, it's happened before.

MR. DIDIO: Mr. Chairman, can we just take a vote?

MR. NYFENGER: On that item?
CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, let's see if there's any other way to solve it. And then if not I'll be happy to, if there's no other way.

MS. AMITAI: Let's talk about other things and come back to it.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, there is nothing else. There's no other things on this list. Let's finish this list and I'll listen to each and every one of you.

Yes.
MS. HEYMANN: All right, when you add -- I'm concerned about parking also. And there's no doubt in my mind that it's going to be tight parking, no matter how you slice it. One of the things that I'd like to recommend is that employee parking, in the busy seasons, at least in the busy seasons, if not all the time, should be offsite. And there needs to be a methodology developed --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Mayor, I thought --

MR. PIALTOS: Who's going to police that?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Hold on. I though -I was thinking of that. And, quite frankly, the only times that I -- I thought it might be beneficial to that extent would be from Thanksgiving to January 1st. And, quite frankly, not even everyday. Maybe on Saturdays.

MS. HEYMANN: You know, actually I hope you're wrong. Because \(I\) know they're going to be busy all the time. And we are now instituting on our Main Street, that employees cannot park in the regular parking area. If we institute that on Main Street, to safeguard the parking spaces for customers rather than employees, we certainly need to do it at the plaza. And I think that methodology and spaces can be made available. They're doing it in the north parking lot on Main Street for all of those who don't know about that yet.

MR. PIALTOS: It's a great idea but who is going to be there to say, this person working, let's say in Whole Foods, didn't park in a parking space, and then walk into Whole Foods. Nobody is going to be there to watch that. Who is
going to watch that?
MR. NYFENGER: We want them to take their -- we want them to take their requirements and put them on the borough?

MS. HEYMANN: Frankly, I think that the vendors need to be made aware of that. And I'm not saying it's going to get a 100 percent satisfaction, but in Main Street area we're going to be having police policing that, and making sure that nobody parks longer than two hours.

MR. NYFENGER: Well, that exists already. That exits already.

MS. HEYMANN: No, it's a new ordinance.

MR. NYFENGER: Well -- so, we can police what goes on on the street, but where are they going to park if they don't park at the plaza? Where are they going to go? Who is going to get them back and forth? Are they going to have a shuttle bus? I mean, you know, that's a little --

MS. HEYMANN: Well, that's right, you need a shuttle sometimes.

MR. PIALTOS: And on a Saturday they're probably spending more than two hours
shopping.
MR. NYFENGER: No, we're talking about employees.

MS. AMITAI: We're talking about employees --

MR. NYFENGER: Where are the employees going to park? How are they going to get back and forth? Who is going to enforce it? I think it's -- it's just not practical. I don't think it's practical.

MS. ISACOFF: I agree. I'm not in favor of it.

MR. MADDALONI: I agree with Paul on
that too.
CHAIR LIGNOS: So, the majority of you are --

MR. MADDALONI: I just think you suck it up on holidays.

MR. NYFENGER: A large tenant, it would behoove a large tenant, to say we're going to make arrangements for you to park somewhere else so that customers can still come here. But not as a law or rule or an ordinance or whatever you want to call it. I'm not even sure what you call it. A caveat to the approval.

MR. DIDIO: Well, employees can park in the back by Homans, in that back parking lot. CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, there's a lot of places you can park. I'll give you an example. There's, you know, there's two schools that have empty parking lots. I mean -- on weekends. On weekends. No, no, on weekends on Saturdays and Sundays. But, again, \(I\) hear the majority of you saying, you don't like it. Let's hear other's.

Did you have something --
MS. AMITAI: My other list, the rest of it.

\section*{CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes.}

MS. AMITAI: Okay, couple things. I notice these very lovely pictures are not representative of what we saw in the slide show, meaning that interesting roofline and also the mechanicals to hide the air conditioning duct work and things of that nature. So, is that what we're going to get?

CHAIR LIGNOS: The -- as far as I -as far as \(I\) remember that these renderings are the renderings except they're on glossy paper, that were presented before.

MR. DENICOLA: In the slide show.

CHAIR LIGNOS: In the slide show. The difference is, and even in that slide show, they did not show the mechanical screens. And the mechanical screens were put on record to be enclosures with the materials actually spelled out. So, we can -- we can remind them that the mechanicals should be screened, as provided by testimony.

MS. AMITAI: Okay. And also the mezzanine at the food store, do we have to talk about that at all? And get anything on the record?

CHAIR LIGNOS: It was presented that it was for storage.

MR. DENICOLA: Right, maybe we'll put that as a condition.

MS. AMITAI: So, put that in the record.

CHAIR LIGNOS: It was office and storage. It was not going to be used for retail. MS. AMITAI: So, you'll put that in the record.

CHAIR LIGNOS: We certainly can.
MS. AMITAI: And also we talked about the different kinds of trees. We talked
about the possibility of London Plane trees or holly, and other kinds of landscape material --

CHAIR LIGNOS: There's Mt. Laurel -there's Mt. Laurel that will -- a Laurel, and, again I'm not a landscape architect, a Laurel hedge along Vervalen.

MR. DENICOLA: And Homans I thought.
CHAIR LIGNOS: And Homans.
MS. HEYMANN: I'm glad Vicky brought
that up about the London Plane trees because London Plane trees have roots that rise up all around the tree. They are -- they have really very large horizontal roots.

MS. AMITAI: That's a problem.
MS. HEYMANN: That's a real problem. And I'm surprised that the landscape specialist who recommended that, would have put in London Plane trees. Because all over Closter, London Plane trees are raising sidewalks. It's not a good tree to plant.

MR. DENICOLA: Are those actually planned in a parking lot area or are they planned on a grass area.

MS. HEYMANN: It doesn't matter where you plant them because the roots come up
room size.
MR. DENICOLA: If it's in the back of \(K\)-mart in the grass area it wouldn't matter. MS. AMITAI: Ten foot wide would that be big enough for a London Plane tree? MS. HEYMANN: Why use a tree that becomes a problem when there are lots of other trees.

MS. AMITAI: So, do we know what the trees are?

CHAIR LIGNOS: They were -- they were reviewed by the environmental commission.

MR. DENICOLA: Do we have that
report?
MS. MITCHELL: I have it.
MR. DENICOLA: I'm pretty sure they approved the plantings but \(I\) mean \(I\) can't --

MS. HEYMANN: You know when they were presenting that \(I\) made myself a note. I think it's right on here, that \(I\) thought that was a real mistake.

MS. AMITAI: Well, you recommended -- oh, I thought you recommended it because --

MS. HEYMANN: No, no, no, I wrote it down.

MS. AMITAI: Shade tree.
Consideration should be given to increasing soil volumes for plantings along Vervalen. Consider using structural soil under adjacent sidewalks to planting beds to allow tree roots to exist under walks with reduced interference. They didn't say what to use. That's not very helpful.

MR. MADDALONI: I would like to ask to expand on one of the items that the governing body over here was referring to, and that is the trees on Vervalen, \(I\) recall in the application, they had proposed keeping a couple of them and then filling in with new ones. And \(I\) just thought it would be better if they put all new ones in for consistency sake. I didn't think they were that attractive to be worth preserving.

MR. NYFENGER: How many are there?
MR. MADDALONI: I think there were 3 or 4 on Vervalen.

MR. NYFENGER: Well, if we were to put that in, \(I\) would say that we grant them the approval to take the trees down and waive the fee.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, that's not an issue. I don't think that's an issue.

MR. NYFENGER: NO, I'm just saying
if you really feel that strongly, we're not going to penalize them for doing what we want to them do.

MS. AMITAI: Does anybody remember what the landscape architect was suggesting they were going to use?

MS. HEYMANN: They said London Planes.

MR. BASRALIAN: London Planes.
MR. DENICOLA: London Planes, no, she's saying she doesn't want them there.

MS. AMITAI: What else is on the -CHAIR LIGNOS: No, you can't ask them. Don't ask them please.

MR. MADDALONI: Make it a condition.
MS. AMITAI: There are all kinds of wonderful evergreens that last through the summer months.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Can \(I\) ask you this. Can we ask for three alternate trees that the board, the stipulation be that they provide us with three alternates so that we can --

MS. AMITAI: Tress, shrubs and --
CHAIR LIGNOS: No, not tress and shrubs. Just trees. The shrubs I'm fine with.

MR. DENICOLA: The applicant shall -- the applicant shall propose three trees to be utilized in plaza area, which trees shall be approved by the environmental commission. Period. End of discussion.

MS. AMITAI: What about the -- there were some shrubs that they were using also.

CHAIR LIGNOS: They look fine. MS. AMITAI: I know but what are they?

CHAIR LIGNOS: The Laurel? If look at the front it says, boxwood and Laurel. Look at the drawings.

MS. HEYMANN: I just want to point out, in our back parking lot we have Oak trees, two different kinds, and they look lovely. They have long roots instead of horizontal roots and they would make a much better choice.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Can I just ask so that, again, it's now approaching 10:30, that we ask them to overturn this and let our board --

MR. MADDALONI: Let the experts --
CHAIR LIGNOS: Let our board experts tell us. And that's only for the trees. Again, I think the rest are landscape. I don't want to
reinvent, you know, their application.
MR. MADDALONI: Right. Yes. That's fine. Let's just move on.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Anybody else?
MR. NYFENGER: Things to eliminate?
CHAIR LIGNOS: To eliminate? No, any other stipulations or conditions you want to make.

MR. NYFENGER: Well, I want to go back to your No. 8, eliminating the drive-thru. My understanding is the drive-thru was in conjunction with possibly a pharmacy-style-tenant. I see that drive-thru's are becoming more regular. There is one in Northvale.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I'm not telling you to get rid of the drive-thru.

MR. NYFENGER: I thought you were. CHAIR LIGNOS: No. I never said get rid of the drive-thru. I said --

MR. NYFENGER: Oh, you want to have it too -- okay.

CHAIR LIGNOS: In and out.
MR. NYFENGER: So, then in my ability to envision things, I don't envision it working.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And \(I\)-- and \(I\)
envision it working. As a matter of fact, to such an extent, that \(I\) would be inclined to not approve this application if \(I\) don't have -- if \(I\) don't see two means of egress out of -- out of --

MR. NYFENGER: Deal killer.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, for me that would be a deal killer. I am telling you that people will need a stoplight to get to, to go north on Piermont. And Homans will be the only place that will provide that.

MR. DENICOLA: As they testified to. CHAIR LIGNOS: As their own testimony said that that's how -- they would count on that light to get north of --

MR. MADDALONI: The point is he wants to have as much egress onto Homans as possible, and cutting that one out --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Again, that's just me. It doesn't mean that the rest of the board should agree with me.

MR. NYFENGER: With all due respect, you're a professional architect --

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, it doesn't mean anything.

MR. NYFENGER: No, but I'm saying that you reviewed the plans and you believe it's possible.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, but as a member of the planning board. Not as an architect, nor as a professional planner. I'm just a member like you are.

MR. NYFENGER: I understand. I'm trying to hold onto the drive-thru.

CHAIR LIGNOS: But it could be done. MR. NYFENGER: So, that's what I'm saying, if you say it can be done and it will work then I don't need to --

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, I'm saying there's a way to do it. Now whether they like the way that \(I\) do it, is, again it's up to them. We don't design it for them.

MR. BABOO: I feel as long as it comes back to us, that specific portion, and we look at it, and if it's okay, then \(I\) think it's good.

MR. NYFENGER: I'll agree.
MR. BABOO: Yeah. If it doesn't come back to us --

MR. NYFENGER: I'm happy to keep the
drive-thru. I'm happy to have the two-way, the ingress and egress, as long as it's not going to create a head-on collision.

MR. DENICOLA: As long as it works.
MR. NYFENGER: Absolutely.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Absolutely.
MR. NYFENGER: I just can't envision how it works, which is why \(I\) don't want to put it in.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Anybody else have a stipulation they want to see or omit?

MS. AMITAI: The roof interest.
CHAIR LIGNOS: The roof interest?
MS. AMITAI: Yeah.
CHAIR LIGNOS: The roof interest was on the plans. It literally is the plans. I don't want to -- I don't want to cutback on the non --

MS. AMITAI: But this is showing everything straight.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Well, these happen to be straight. But, you know, for instance, the Whole Food brick is higher than the adjacent white, which is then lower than the far left. There -- there's changes to the --

MR. DENICOLA: Vicky, if you go to
the architectural plan it shows it on one of the sheets at elevation views.

MS. AMITAI: I'm sorry, I don't have it.

MR. DENICOLA: Okay.
MR. CHAGARIS: Do you have your
booklet -- I mean you're --
CHAIR LIGNOS: No, just pass the whole thing.

MR. NYFENGER: While we're doing
that, the parking shortfall, \(I\) recall, twice, that I had proposed payment to the parking fund, both at the rec. as well as the most recent bakery, the Shitolla building. So, I fully support, you know, the fact that we're giving away a requirement by a few hundred spaces, that a payment for the 14 spaces is warranted.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Is fair.
MR. NYFENGER: Yup.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. I don't see any more stipulations.

MR. BABOO: Well, I --
CHAIR LIGNOS: Oh, you do.
MR. BABOO: Yeah, I think the subdivision should be done later after phase I.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I have to tell you what I think about the subdivision, Mr. Baboo, is, it cuts down on the parking and \(I\) am in favor of the subdivision because when you put a building there, it actually does what we are all asking for, and that is to keep fronting along Vervalen. And, quite frankly, if there were more along Vervalen, and the cars were hidden behind that frontage, \(I\) think it would be that much more of a plus. But, that, again, that's me. So, I'm not in favor of --

MS. AMITAI: I agree \(I\) think
visually that would be great.
MR. BABOO: But we don't know if the building is going to be there.

MR. NYFENGER: But that's subject to another application at which time you can say, we don't want it. Maybe you do and maybe you don't. But that's a different decision in the future.

CHAIR LIGNOS: See, that still comes back here as to what that's -- what's put there. I mean they're getting a subdivision of the lot, but the actual building itself is an application.

MR. BABOO: Yeah, my concern is that it will be owned by somebody else, if things work
out. And we're never going to have -- you know, we already have it broken up. We have the other area, which we can't even access, and if this is taken over by another entity, then it may become a separate part, and \(I\) just don't want to see the mall be broken through the ages into different pieces.

MR. DENICOLA: Just to set the record straight, it's not definitely going to come back to the board. If they have something, by right, meaning that if they meet all the stipulations, all the criteria of the zone; setbacks, parking, lighting, whatever, they don't come back to the board. If they don't, then they come back to the board.

MR. BABOO: Yeah, that's even more reason \(I\) think it why it should be done later. MR. SINIOWITZ: Whatever use is applied for, will be a retail use. It will be what is permitted in district 3. And if it's contrary to the requirements of district 3 it will go to the zoning board. So, either way it's going to be under control.

MR. BABOO: Yeah, but I think it gives us flexibility. Because if something were
to happen, we can then use that for something else. I don't think it's a big deal for them just to come back after phase I, and say we want to do the subdivision. Then we just approve the subdivision, if everything goes well.

MR. NYFENGER: But that changes so many other things.

MR. CHAGARIS: First of all, that changes this application. The application is for a subdivision. So, that's -- that would be, you know, basically a deal killer, because it's not a condition. You're just saying, well, we deny the subdivision. That would be a denial.

MR. NYFENGER: It could go back to being a zoning board application.

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, no, it wouldn't necessarily be a zoning board application.

MR. BABOO: I don't see how that's going to happen. I mean if we don't subdivide it now, everything goes forward, then they come back. MR. CHAGARIS: Well, no, the vote would be to deny the subdivision.

MR. BABOO: But that doesn't kill the whole application.

MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah, because that's
an interregal part of the application. It's -the application for a subdivision and site plan. So, if you can't approve the site plan portion of it because the site plan portion of it is dependent upon the subdivision.

MR. BABOO: Can't we have the subdivisions done later contingent upon completion of phase I?

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, then you would have to do it the other way. Well, no, because you can't because then once it get started you can't approve it subject to revisiting it after phase I, because then, you know, you've committed them to spending money and do development without a final approval. You know, that's not happening.

MS. AMITAI: By the same token,
they're asking us to approve something that we don't know what's going to happen.

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, what you do know is that you know what the zoning ordinance is. And as of right they can put something there. They're not barred from putting construction or a building or whatever, on this subdivided lot. But the application is for a subdivision. So, you can't -- and, I'm repeating myself, but it's an
integral part of the site plan. Because they have designed the parking, the egress, all this stuff around this concept. So, if you say, well, approve everything but the subdivision. Well, that's not what's being presented. What is being presented is the subdivision and site plan.

MR. NYFENGER: It's a line item detail.

MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah, it's not a line item.

MS. ISACOFF: I agree with both our counsel's legal analysis, but also our chair's practical statement about the effect. Because although we don't know exactly what's going to be there, something that would be there would have a beneficial effect on the frontage of Vervalen. So --

MS. AMITAI: Unless of course it's a Burger King or a Wendy's.

MR. BABOO: Well, my concern is, like a previous application, there are many people waiting in the wings to do things. And if, for some reason, the application doesn't go forward, and somebody gets sick and tired and says, you know what, we're just going to sell this off to
somebody else. Someone else takes it, they're like, oh, great, we have a subdivision, we're going to take this and do something different, or we're just going to make it -- we're going to sell it off and have it owned by somebody else -MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah, no, that could happen.

MR. BABOO: This is my concern. MR. CHAGARIS: But watch this, this is what happens. First of all, that could happen, and that's --

MR. BABOO: Because we've been
through this before. Last year we spent the same amount of time.

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, what happened before, is that it was slightly different. There was a bankruptcy involved and it's a little bit more complicated than that. But what could happen, yes, if this is approved, they could do a number of things. They could say, you know what, economic times are bad, we're not going to do anything. They don't have to proceed with this, you know, approvals. Obviously it's a lot of money. They probably, you know, there's momentum to do so, but there could be a stock market crash
tomorrow or next week and they say, you know what, we're just not going with this. So, nothing could happen. Because even though you approve it. Secondly, what could happen, is that the whole site could be sold to somebody else, with these approvals. Because that increases the value of the place.

MR. BABOO: But that subdivision could be sold off.

MR. CHAGARIS: Thirdly, they could say, well, we're going to develop this part and we'll sell off the other site.

MR. BABOO: Is there anyway we could prevent that from being sold off? Maybe without --

MR. CHAGARIS: No.
MR. NYFENGER: It would be a new deeded property.

MS. AMITAI: Deed restriction? Deed restriction?

MR. CHAGARIS: No, no, no, no, that would be -- you know that would be taken by eminent domain. What are the restrictions going to be?

MR. NYFENGER: Yeah, but what are
you worried it's going to be?
MR. CHAGARIS: Let me just finish the thought. So, they can say, you know what, we are going to develop our piece, the big piece, and the other piece we'll sell off to somebody else. However, if you recall, from the conceptual review, proposed almost a year ago, maybe more, there was a feeling that this subdivision -subdivided lot is significant to the whole development and is part of their plan. That's why they're coming in this way. So, you know, is there any guarantees, no. But the probability is that it will be developed and there will be put to some use that compliments the rest of the site.

MR. BABOO: I think -- I think my concern is we already have Closter Commons on that side, which is not separate. Then we have Bank of American, which is separate. I'm thinking 20, 30, 40 years from now this is one of -- one of the things you have to do as part of being a planner, I'm afraid of them all being broken into more pieces and more pieces and more pieces.

MR. CHAGARIS: Well, that is
development. Sometimes that happens. Because in fact this -- I think we were just discussing today
that the Bank of America lot was probably part of this mother lot years ago. And maybe it's going to be another bank of this subdivided lot. I mean that seems to be the trend. Maybe not.

MR. BABOO: And every piece may have its own architecture.

MR. CHAGARIS: Consistent with the zone.

CHAIR LIGNOS: That's what happens in a democracy.

MR. NYFENGER: Which kind of takes you back, you know, trying to look like the downtown. What, the downtown like Heidenberg Plaza looks. The downtown like -- with all due respect to our favorite retailers, like the shoe store looks, like the Red Maple. I mean I go there all the time. But do we want this to look like that. No, not really.

MR. CHAGARIS: Yeah, but you can't -- you can't tell the applicant -- you can only act on it or vote on what is being presented.

MR. NYFENGER: I understand.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay, I have, at this point -- listen folks, do you have anything else Vicky, that was a condition? We have now -- we
have now talked about conditions. I have heard the majority of the people being in favor of this application, and then \(I\) heard the majority of the people, if not all of you, say, other than two of the comments \(I\) made, and then Vicky's comments on making sure that the mechanical screens for the roof are put in as part of the stipulations, that you are in favor. So, the chair will entertain a motion.

MS. AMITAI: Are we finished?
CHAIR LIGNOS: I asked you and you
said --
MS. AMITAI: Did we talk about pedestrian walkways to the plaza?

MR. DENICOLA: Only from Campbell we talked about.

CHAIR LIGNOS: From Campbell we did.
MS. AMITAI: What about the
pedestrian crossing that you asked about from Vervalen to the shopping center? You said how all the people walk from Vervalen to the front of the shopping center, safely.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Do we have -- do we have a -- do we have a walk off of the Boulevard. MS. AMITAI: To the front of the
shopping center safely.
CHAIR LIGNOS: I saw that on my notes.

MR. DENICOLA: Only at the -- by the movie theater.

CHAIR LIGNOS: We have the one at the movie theater.

MS. AMITAI: You were hoping to get one at the main entrance.

MR. NYFENGER: They would have had to had walked past either the movie theater.

MS. AMITAI: I take my baby
carriage.
MR. NYFENGER: Because no one lives on Vervalen. They would have to either walk past the movie theater or past the Bank of America to get to the middle of Vervalen. So, there would be no reason to do that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: So, in that case you could come --

MS. AMITAI: So then we have to go through the parking lot with the baby carriage?

MR. NYFENGER: No, you would have to go through the parking lot no matter what you do.

MR. DENICOLA: The sidewalk on Lewis
and Campbell.
MS. AMITAI: Oh, you go over to
Campbell --
MR. DENICOLA: That's why we wanted a decorative crosswalk on the Campbell Street intersection just to provide more of a, you know, a visibility issue for the --

MS. AMITAI: Appearance.
MR. MADDALONI: I think that's
sensible. I think paul makes a good point, that there's no one that's going to be walking to get to that middle one you would have already had access to one of the corner sidewalks.

MR. NYFENGER: And not for nothing, this is not a walking town. It's not. It's not going to become one. People don't do that. And it's a great idea, oh, wouldn't that be great.

MS. ISACOFF: I do.
MR. NYFENGER: You do. Not many people live close enough to downtown to walk there and then to carry their bags back.

MS. AMITAI: But that's the trend. Biking and walking. That's the --

CHAIR LIGNOS: But the biking, the biking was made part of testimony. They will
have --
MR. NYFENGER: Bike racks.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Bike racks. As a matter of fact, if you would like to stipulate -stipulate that we have two racks, one on the eastern most, one on the western most, and that each one, you know, be able to have at least ten bikes. I mean that could be a stipulation. But, again that was brought up.

MS. ISACOFF: I walk from the west side of town, I live not far from Knickerbocker Avenue, almost all the time when we visit Rudy's, or the theater, or wherever, we walk into town, and we either, as Paul said, we either go -- if we're going to the movie theater we walk all the way down and go in that way. And otherwise we go in near the Bank of America. And that's enough. And it doesn't need to be in the middle of a lot. Even though I'm a walking person.

MS. AMITAI: I defer to our
architect. Our architect here seems to know about those thing.

MR. MADDALONI: I'm ready to make a motion.

MR. NYFENGER: Where did we end up
with the snow? We're not going to put a snow caveat?

MR. DENICOLA: No snow.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. I'm going to a motion.

MR. BASRALIAN: No, can you give me -- it's a long motion.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I'm going for a motion.

MR. BASRALIAN: You know, it's all well and good to -- and \(I\) don't mean to interject what you're doing, but, you know, there were some misstatements here, because \(I\) want to clarify a couple of things. One is -- you know, you can -there has to be -- you know, there were some things that were incorrectly stated. And \(I\) just want to clarify, because the plans do call for certain things.

MR. CHAGARIS: Why don't we take a break for the stenographer.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I think at this point we're going to go into recess. The time is --

MS. MITCHELL: 10:44.
CHAIR LIGNOS: 10:44; for five minutes.
(A recess was taken.)
CHAIR LIGNOS: I call this meeting back to order at 10:53.

Mr. Chagaris asked me to go over these -- these stipulations. So, the first one was:
1. The maximum of 20,000 square feet specific for restaurant, not to exceed.
2. The existing culvert under K-mart to be repaired.
3. All culverts, the rest of them on the property, to be cleaned out.
4. Escrows to be posted.
5. A bond for phase II.

And, again, I'm bullet pointing them because they're on the stenographer's report.
6. Local intersections to have a minimum of 1 footcandle.
7. The existing lighting types, meaning the poles that are on Vervalen, be maintained.
8. The light source, the color of the bulb, because the majority of the people -because the majority of the people don't even like what's in the downtown, we'll leave to their
discretion. Their's may be better than our's, in which case then we can find a solution to fix our's.

All right.
MS. AMITAI: Excuse me. But no --
no lamps on the Homans side?
CHAIR LIGNOS: No.
MS. AMITAI: Just the Vervalen.
CHAIR LIGNOS: 9. Pavers on
Vervalen. Now, in light of the fact that the waviness that you talked about, and Dr. Maddaloni, you mentioned it as well \(I\) believe --

MR. MADDALONI: Yeah, there could be I think an option for colored concrete to be used instead.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Like an intervaled color concrete.

MS. ISACOFF: I think that's a very good idea. It's probably less --

MS. HEYMANN: Score colored concrete.

MR. NYFENGER: Designer concrete. I agree.

MS. ISACOFF: As an option.
MR. MADDALONI: As an option. Okay.

Next.
MR. NYFENGER: So, what do we say?
CHAIR LIGNOS: I would -- I would say the option, because quite frankly, the interval -- and I'm not sure about the scoring, in all fairness, because \(I\) think if it reads as a block of color, if it's scored or not, it just becomes a nuisance more than anything else. I think the roadway is actually, the striping of the roadway is much more important in light of what \(I\) hear from the police department. Then we talked about construction during -- I'm sorry, traffic during construction. The east/west entrances off of Homans being two way. The planting areas along Homans and Vervalen to have irrigation. The rest just to be bonded. The green wall that was stated as part of the testimony, to be realized. The crosswalk at Campbell to be landscaped decorative crosswalk.

MS. AMITAI: Well, what do you mean by decorative crosswalk, now that we're talking about concrete.

CHAIR LIGNOS: No, no.
MR. DENICOLA: It would be what they call, put paver pattern etched in the pavement.

It's usually epoxy resin or some other based product, to make it look like brick pavers, to highlight the crossing for pedestrians.

MR. NYFENGER: It can't be pavers.
I don't know, are pavers ADA compliant?
MR. DENICOLA: It depends on what
kind of pavers they are. But pavers become a problem again, over time they settle. So, you know --

MR. NYFENGER: They become
dangerous.
MR. DENICOLA: When I say pavers, it's a scored paver, epoxy based product or something.

MR. MADDALONI: Continue Chairman
Lignos.
CHAIR LIGNOS: The stoplight on Vervalen/Piermont, if and when it was ever, they would have a pro rata basis, providing the name and contact information of their contractor. No soil to be permitted to be imported without a clean fill certificate. The speed tables that were part of the unlimited part to be realized, the bus shelter that was part of the Omland report, to have a space allocated in the front of
or near the movie theater. The 14 parking spaces. The easterly -- at the easterly end of the plaza towards the commons a 24 foot easement in case of future ingress/egress. We talked about the channel light and backlit signs. Mr. Chagaris brought to my attention that in their presentation there are some national chains that have a front face, and some that are not lit, and some that are not lit but backlit, as opposed to -- and what they apparently show that there is a, also the types, and \(I\) forgot about that, the ones that are perpendicular to the wall.

MS. STELLA: Blade.
CHAIR LIGNOS: The blades. So,
actually --
MR. MADDALONI: I would ask for some flexibility on that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Yeah, I think if it's okay with everyone, that we say that the signage that was made part of the testimony and presentation be the signage that be realized on the project.

And we talked about the materials.
I think all the materials that were presented, that if -- if they're going to vary from that,
that the board take a look at what it is that they vary with, if they were to vary from them. Like, for instance, they're pretty straight forward, there's stone, there's brick, there's some laminated panels, there's some tile. If, you know, that material is fine, they presented it. If it's going to vary from that, I think the board may just want to feel confident that the materials that they are varying towards are equally -MR. MADDALONI: Attractive. CHAIR LIGNOS: Attractive and durable.

The mechanical screens, that they be realized as they were discussed in testimony. And the two bike racks of about ten bikes.

MR. CHAGARIS: There's also the car chargers.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Oh. That was made part of the testimony that there would be two car chargers in the parking lot. We forgot to say that before. Does anyone have an objection that they said that it part of the testimony that they were going to provide two car chargers. MS. AMITAI: We also didn't talk about the benches.

CHAIR LIGNOS: The hard scape was part of the testimony so --

MS. HEYMANN: I'm just a little bit concerned about the fact that your signage is on the vague side. Because we just had an issue on the zoning board, where the zoning board wasn't specific, and they had to come back to the, I guess back to the building department to get specific permission for the signs and it was going to have to be redone. I think we have to indicate -- you have to indicate very clearly that the signage, according to the testimony, and according to the borough ordinances.

MR. NYFENGER: Could that be
conflicting?
MR. DENICOLA: Yeah, why don't we name the types we want.

MR. CHAGARIS: Rose, what is the booklet that was presented, what exhibit was that? What exhibit No. do you know?

MS. MITCHELL: What, with the different rules?

MR. CHAGARIS: No, the book.
MR. NYFENGER: Can we overrule the sign ordinance?

CHAIR LIGNOS: Hold on. Folks, stop. Stop. Please stop for a second. The architect, Conrad, made a presentation. And it is labeled, 'Closter Plaza,' and it went on and talked about designer's sculpture.

MS. MITCHELL: I think it was A-2. Hard copy of power point, \(C D\) presentation that? Sixty-four pages.

MR. CHAGARIS: That was it, A-2.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Exhibit A-2. So, the signage, as it was described or illustrated on page 45 and page 46 of Exhibit A-2.

MR. MADDALONI: Would have to comply with that.

CHAIR LIGNOS: And we could say page 47 as well, because that was the ground signs.

MS. STELLA: You can't comply with this. You have to say similar.

MR. MADDALONI: Consistent with.
MS. ISACOFF: Consistent with.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. So -- and I just been handed, by Mr. DeNicola, the parking fee presently, \(I\) was incorrect.

MR. DENICOLA: I misspoke in the beginning.

CHAIR LIGNOS: It's not 1,250. It's 1,650 .

MR. MADDALONI: Well, that's what it is.

CHAIR LIGNOS: So, that is --
MR. MADDALONI: I'll make a motion.
MS. AMITAI: We also talked about increasing the green space. The plaza space.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I don't have -- I didn't. But if anybody else. I will not be going to a mall to find my green recreation. I still believe that parks and natural places are place for me for green. I don't see plazas being the place I'm going to go to throw a frisbee. But somebody else thinks --

MS. AMITAI: I don't mean a park. I mean just a little bit larger space.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Am I going to picnic
there?
MR. PIALTOS: We have nice fields here in Closter.

MR. MADDALONI: Councilwoman, I thought about the same thing, but \(I\) think that would require some sort of redesign of buildings. I mean you have to get it from somewhere.

MR. DENICOLA: Or reduction of parking.

MR. MADDALONI: And to me it's just one of the things that \(I\) 'm willing to swallow hard on and compromise.

MS. AMITAI: You mean aside from the subdivision phantom thing that we're also going to swallow hard.

MR. MADDALONI: Well, you know
what --

\section*{CHAIR LIGNOS: Again --}

MR. MADDALONI: If we had a little bit more compromise in Washington I wouldn't have been sequestered.

CHAIR LIGNOS: I truly believe that the application that's been put before us has been given a lot of thought. And a good application is not 100 percent of what an applicant wants. Nor is it 100 percent of what a governing body wants or a board wants. But rather what is good for the town. And for this to make sense, for them to come in and do what they're planning on doing, requires some understanding of negotiation. And, quite frankly, \(I\) think they've done a great job in their presentation. I would have loved to see
some other things. But, quite frankly, I think what we see here is going to make a wonderful addition to our town. So, I want to thank them. And, by the way, I truly want to thank you for the amount of hours that you've put into this application.

MR. MADDALONI: On that vote I'll make a motion --

CHAIR LIGNOS: On that point \(I\) would like to see a motion.

Dr. Maddaloni.
MR. MADDALONI: To accept, subject
to all the conditions that have been articulated.
MS. ISACOFF: Second.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Second by
Ms. Isacoff.
Discussion.
MR. CHAGARIS: Before we further -since we have a full compliment here, the two alternates are not eligible to vote. And, also, I did receive from the secretary, the certified statements that the people who had missed a few meetings during the course of these proceedings have listened to the tapes or read the transcripts and have signed certifications to that effect. Page 158

MR. NYFENGER: Have we left anything open-ended as far as needing definition i.e. the bonds?

MR. DENICOLA: By developer's agreement. There's going to be a developer's agreement in place as part of this application. That will be covered.

CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. Ms. Mitchell, please poll the board.

MS. MITCHELL: Mayor Heymann. MS. HEYMANN: Yes.

MS. MITCHELL: Councilwoman Amitai.
MS. AMITAI: I really am
uncomfortable about that subdivision. I feel that it's putting the town and the board in a precarious situation.

CHAIR LIGNOS: So you're voting?
MS. AMITAI: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Dr. Maddaloni.
MR. MADDALONI: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Baboo.
MR. BABOO: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Lignos.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Sinowitz.

MR. SINIOWITZ: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Mr. DiDio.
MR. DIDIO: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Ms. Isacoff.
MS. ISACOFF: Yes.
MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Pialtos.
MR. PIALTOS: Yes.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Okay. Everyone, it's
unanimous. This application is approved unanimously.

This is the last meeting. I thank you, wish happy holidays to everyone.

In our public, members of the board this is our last -- this is our last meeting for the year.

Mr. Sinowitz.
MR. SINIOWITZ: What is going to be the status of Monday's meeting?

CHAIR LIGNOS: The meeting on Monday is no longer necessary. Therefore, the Monday meeting is cancelled. I need a motion to cancel the regular meeting of December 26 th. That motion is made by Mr. DiDio. Seconded by Dr. Maddaloni.

All in favor?
THE BOARD: Aye.
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CHAIR LIGNOS: I see no objection. The meeting of December 26 th is cancelled.

MR. DIDIO: When is our next meeting?

MS. MITCHELL: January 6th.
CHAIR LIGNOS: It's a Monday meeting. Happy New Year.

MS. MITCHELL: Wait, we don't have a motion to adjourn.

CHAIR LIGNOS: The motion to adjourn is made by Mr. Pialtos and seconded by Mr. Nyfenger.

MR. NYFENGER: I cannot second it.
CHAIR LIGNOS: Seconded by
Dr. Maddaloni. All in favor of adjourning our meeting? Our last one of the year.

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIR LIGNOS: I see no objection. This meeting is adjourned at 11:08.
(Meeting concluded.)
    C E R T I F I C A T E
    I, GINA MARIE VERDEROSA-LAMM, a Certified
    Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
    New Jersey, certify that the foregoing is a true and
    accurate transcript of the deposition of said
    witness(es) who were first duly sworn by me, on the
    date and place hereinbefore set forth.
    I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither attorney,
        nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of
        the parties to the action in which this deposition was
        taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee
        of any attorney or counsel employed in this action, nor
        am I financially interested in this case.
                                    GINA MARIE VERDEROSA-LAMM, C.S.R.
                                    LICENSE NO. XI2043
```

| \& | 16th | 27th | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \& 1:20,22 12:14 | $19: 12,15,16$ $: 12,16,2044$ | $\begin{array}{lc} \mathbf{2 9 5} & 1: 8 \\ \text { 29th } & 8: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 75 & 51: 21 \\ \text { 7th } & 8: 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 7th 8:3 | 2nd 8:3 |  |
|  | 18 18:22,23 |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \quad 9: 8 \text { 19:13,14,18,22 } \\ 20: 1,5 \quad 106: 8,10 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 18th 8:2 |  |  |
|  | 19 1:57:17 |  | 128:10 147:22 |
|  | 1955 8:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 18 \text { 134:20,21 } \\ & 147: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 80 78:7,8 |
|  | 1961 9:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \quad 11: 23 \quad 12: 21 \\ & 140: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 820 25:20 113:25 <br> 114:1 |
|  | 1963 9:4 |  |  |
|  | 1965 9:11 | $$ | 840 113:24 |
|  | 1972 9:25 |  | 860 113:24 |
|  | 1973 10:10 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{8 : 0 0} & 1: 10 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1974 10:17 |  | 8:02 2:9 |
|  | 1976 10:20 | 4 18:23 20:12,15 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 8:03 } & 1: 15 \\ \text { 8:06 } & 1: 14 \\ 6: 13\end{array}$ |
|  | 1985 77:9 78:5 | 44:8 77:9 99:25 | $\begin{array}{\|lr} \text { 8:06 } & 1: 146: \\ \text { 8th } & 8: 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 1st 118:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:2 125:19 } \\ & \text { 147:13 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2 \quad 1: 5 ~ 18: 24 ~ 147: 9 \\ 154: 6,9,10,12 \end{array}$ | 40 19:13,17 115:15 | 9 148:9 |
|  |  | 140:19 | 90 78:7 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 10: 9 \text { 94:22 104:7 } \\ & \text { 105:4 140:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $45 \quad 154$ | 9:52 96:24 |
|  |  | 47 154:16 | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { 9:58 } & 97: 2 \\ \text { 9th } & 10: 10 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \quad 48: 2349: 1$ | 49 10:17 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lrl} \mathbf{2 0 0} & 48: 9 \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 3} & 1: 9 & 2: 4 \end{array}$ | 4th 8:4 |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |
|  | 2013-03 1:6 | 5 115:16 147:14 |  |
|  | 2015 13:6 | 50 10:14 30:1 37:20 |  |
|  | 2016 56:1 | 58:1 |  |
|  | 203 18:24 | 58 11: |  |
|  | $208,000 \quad 25: 2$ | 5th 3:23 7:23 |  |
|  |  | 6 | absolutely 31:13 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 211,000 } \\ \text { 21st } \quad 8: 322 \\ \text { 25:12 } \\ \text { 24 } 4: 12 \quad 91: 5 \quad 101: 9 \\ 102: 6 \quad 115: 10 \quad 151: 3 \end{array}$ | 6 | 58:10 89:1 102:2 |
|  |  | 105:2 106:12 116:9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 131:5,6 } \\ \text { abut } 80: 8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 116:17,23 147:17 |  |
|  |  | $60 \quad 28: 837: 20$ | abuts 70:22 academic 16:1,23 |
|  |  | $60 \quad 28: 837: 20$ $116: 18,25$ |  |
|  | 25 22:20 | 6th 160:5 | accept 157:12 <br> accepted 11:12,13 |
|  | $\text { 25th } 5$ | 7 | $14: 5$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25th } 56: 17 \\ & \text { 26th } 19: 2159: 22 \\ & 160: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { 1:6 19:5 20:12,15 } \\ & 147: 19 \end{aligned}$ | access 27:23 40:22 |
|  |  |  | 44:6 111:20 134:3 |
|  |  |  |  |


| accessed 67:10 | afraid 140:21 | alternative 32:2 | answers 76:16 78:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:12 | afternoo |  | 38:9 |
| 95:25 | age 48:5,6 | ame 1:5 7:19 26:11 | , |
| 2,2,3 | agenda 7:16 21:2 |  | nticipa |
| ate 34:8 | ages 134:6 | amend 72:25 | nicipa |
| ished 55:6 | ago | 41:1 | anybody $15: 922: 10$ |
| ount 77.21 | 48:9 77-3 104 | 143:16 145:17 | 77:16 84:25 126 |
| 1:6 | 40:7 141:2 | can 140 | 128:4 131 |
| :3,6 | agree 9:16 | amitai 1:13 2:17 | 155:10 |
| 21 | :14, | 1,24 49 | anyway 105:9 |
| ving 27:12 | 66:13 79:14 110 | 50:11 61:16 71:1 | 139:13 |
| nowledge 34:14 | 19 115:7,2 | 2:8,11 73:2,15,18, | apparently 11:8 |
| 24 | 20:11,13 129:21 | 74:6 75:17 76:3,7 | 151:10 |
| act 2:7 141:2 | 22 133 | 76:11,18,25 77:15 | appe |
| 1:11 | 137:11 148:2 | 78:12 79:3,10 80 | appearance |
| 6:13 | agree | 80:7,10 88:19 93:17 | appears 32:18 112:2 |
| ual 133:23 | agreement 10:7 | 102:4,19 103:1 | applicable 13:13 |
| 150:5 | 12:12,22 13:3,7 | 107:18,25 110:2, | applicant 1:217:18 |
| mant 9 | 16:5,6,7,8 17:11 | 110:24 112:25 | 7:21 12:1 23:20 |
| dd 14:7 25:10 | 72:14,18,19,21 | 116:12 117:9 120:4 | 24:1 25:5 26:20 |
| 18 117:17 | 73:10,11 74:15 | 121:11,14 122:9, | 27:5,17 28:21 29:4 |
| ded 79:8 | 74:17,19 75:4,6,7,8 | 122:21,24 123:14 | 34:1 50:17 52:18,24 |
| ing 71:9 | 75:11 101:12 102:2 | 124:4,9,22 125:1 | 54:18,21 55:7,11 |
| dition 157 | 158 | 26:4,12,16,23 | 57:15,21 63:19 |
| address 33:6 45:3 | agreements 3 | 27:6,9 131:12, | 66:19,20 69:15,19 |
| 3:5 | 75:5 | 131:18 132:3 | 70:9,15 74:14 76:12 |
| addressed 10:9 | ahead | 133:12 136:16 | 78:8 79:7 81:14 |
|  |  | 137:18 139:19 | 7:1,7 98:22 103:11 |
| dheres 2:10 | ais | 142:10,13,18,25 | 103:15 107:1 |
| adjacent 71:8, |  | 143:8,12,21 144:2, | 108:14 112:14 |
| 125:4 131:22 | alleviate 101:17 | 145:20 | 15:6 127:1,2 |
| adjourn 160:9,10 | alleyway | 8:5,8 149:20 | 141:20 156:18 |
| adjourned 160:19 | allocated 98:2 | 2:24 155:7,16 | applicant's 42:3 |
| adjourning 160:15 | 50:2 | 156:6 158:12,13,18 | 50:25 61:19 100:1 |
| missibility 16:25 | allott | amount 25:20 32:14 | application 1:3,6 |
| admission 100:23 | allow 5:18 52 | 2:24 53:13 58:6 | 3:19 7:17,24 8:4,24 |
| admitted 8:15 11:7 | 75:11 105:21 125:5 | 6:4 104:25 138:14 | 9:1,7,10 10:3,6,18 |
| adopted 10:21 13:25 | allowed 50:2,9 51:9 | 157:5 | 10:24 13:13 14:2,6 |
| 24:3 77:13,14,19,20 | 73:20 | analysis 94:25 | 14:11,16,18 16:17 |
| 77:20,22 | allows | 137:12 | 17:16,20 18:5,8,10 |
| ne | al | anchor 24:13 | 21:17,18 28:18,21 |
| antages 25:18 | alter 72:2 | announce | 28:2 |
| advertised 2:6 23:4 | alternate | answer 74:10 97 | 31:11 40:9,12 4 |
| aerial 19:11 | alternates 126:22 | 108:24 | 7:18 49:7 |
|  | 157:20 |  | 53:2,3,24,24 55:1 |

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

| 63:4 74:10,13 75:10 | architecture 38:17 | attorneys 1:21,23 | 130:19,24 133:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75:11 77:25 78:4,25 | 38:19 47:7 48:3,5,6 | attract 24:16 25:13 | 134:10,14,15 135:3 |
| 81:15 96:13 97:14 | 141:6 | 27:1,21 | 135:14,20 141:12 |
| 99:18 100:4 125:11 | area 27:18 33:3,10 | attraction 24:13,17 | 144:21 147:3 153:7 |
| 128:1 129:4 133:17 | 33:25 36:14,15 69:9 | attractive 25:15 | 153:8 |
| 133:23 135:9,9,15 | 69:10 71:16 75:21 | 116:2 125:16 | backing 110:12 |
| 135:17,24 136:1,2 | 75:22 78:7 79:5 | 152:10,11 | backlit 107:6,16 |
| 136:24 137:21,23 | 101:21 103:4,7 | audience 4:896:1 | 108:12,18 151:5,9 |
| 142:3 156:16,17 | 118:13 119:8 | august 8:2 18:24,25 | backups 88:24 |
| 157:6 158:6 159:9 | 123:22,23 124:3 | authority's 36:4,13 | bad 138:21 |
| applied 134:19 | 127:3 134:3 | available 48:9,9 | bags 144:21 |
| appreciate 43:21,25 | areas 33:4,4 36:2 | 56:1 118:18 | bakery 91:23 |
| 44:4 98:8 | 42:21 44:14 64:11 | ave 1:6 | 132:13 |
| appreciated 23:17 | 149:14 | avenue | bank 67:17 72:15 |
| approach 89:6 | arg | 45:19 92:23 93:10 | 93:24 140:17 141:1 |
| 95:11 | arrangements | 101:22 145:12 | 141:3 143:16 |
| approached 27:7 | 120:21 | aware 75:15 119:6 | 145:17 |
| approaching 127:20 | arthur 1:1874:19 | aye 159:25 160:17 | bankruptcy 138:17 |
| appropriate 48:3 | 77:2 | b | banta 1:20 |
| approval 34:25 35:1 | article |  | barely 10:1 |
| 48:24 107:9 120:25 | articles 16:22 | baboo 1:14 2:21 | barred 136:22 |
| 125:22 136:15 | articulated 43:8 | 6:13 43:16,17 46:2 | base 73:14 |
| approvals 138:23 | $7: 1$ | 82:10,20 83:3,9,11 | based 4:7,9 40:8 |
| 139:6 | aside 57:11 58:7 | $91: 18 \text { 108:1,4 }$ | 45:21,21 54:17 57:1 |
| approve 18:4 39:21 | 107:3 156:6 | $130: 18,23132: 22$ | 100:1 150:1,13 |
| 45:7,7,8 63:11 | asked 21:16 69:13 | 132:24 133:2,14,24 | basically 5:16 14:20 |
| 82:13 129:3 135:4 | 70:1 81:14 108:22 | 134:16,24 135:18 | 41:13 59:13 93:11 |
| 136:3,12,17 137:4 | 142:11,19 147:4 | ,16,24 | 135:11 |
| 139:3 | asking 87:1,3,4,7 |  | basis 6:5 10:6 11:11 |
| approved 54:18 | 133:5 136:17 |  | 75:14 94:9,10 |
| 74:13 78:5,24 79:12 | aspect 32:14 93:13 | 141:5 158:21,22 | 150:19 |
| 92:25 97:19 124:17 | 115:9 | baby $143: 12,22$ | basralian 1:20,21 |
| 127:4 138:19 159:9 | aspects 26:15 | back 7:21 20:7 | 7:20 8:7,8,14 13:9 |
| approves 12:25 75:9 | asphalt 12:8 | $22: 2430: 1531: 19$ | 13:22 17:11 19:16 |
| approving 52:14 | assume 92:10 |  | 19:23 20:6,11,16,21 |
| 62:17 77:11 | assure 55:5 | . 14 50:20,22 55.3 | 20:24 21:10,19 22:2 |
| april 19:2 77:9 | assured | 56:6 70:13 78:2. | 22:16,18,25 23:9,10 |
| architect 47:24 85:3 | attack 28:18 | 79:9 81:10 82:15,17 | 29:19 37:25 38:7 |
| 123:5 126:5 129:23 | attempt 12:1 | 85:22 92:21 93:9 | 66:2,5 100:16,20 |
| 130:5 145:21,21 | attendance 2:14 | $97: 2,8,8,25 \quad 103: 12$ | 113:25 126:9 146:6 |
| 154:3 | attention 88:25 | 103:16 106:14 | 146:10 |
| architectural 132:1 | 151:6 | 117:10 119:19 | basralian's 16:25 |
| architecturally 41:1 | attorney 1:187:19 | 120:8 121:2,2 124:2 | bearing 13:17 |
| 43:11 60:22 64:8 | 10:11 72:12 161:9 |  | beautiful 38:5 41:14 |
|  | 161:13 | 15 128:10 | 47:6 109:13 |


| beautifully 40:4 | binding 16:9,10 | board's 18:2,3 | 145:9 151:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| becoming 128:13 | bit 25:23 44:7,11 | 23:20 | buffer 84:3 102:20 |
| beds 125:5 | 48:19 54:20 94:12 | boards 19:11 109:6 | buffers 78:2 |
| beg 81:4 | 138:17 153:3 | body 125:10 156:19 | build 44:23 |
| beginning 5:7 32:6 | 155:17 156:13 | bond 54:15 55:7,8 | building 1:8 13:2 |
| 154:25 | bizarre 116:20 | 55:14 56:11,13,14 | 19:4,6 40:21 46:10 |
| behalf 23:19 | bl 1:5 | 56:19 57:2,5 84:10 | 46:24 52:15,19 54:5 |
| behoove 86:6 | black 103:20 114:5 | 147:14 | 57:778:16 84:3 |
| 120:20 | 114:21 | bonded 149:16 | 93:25 109:17 110:3 |
| believe 21:3 23:5 | blackout 45:17 | bonding 58:5 | 132:14 133:4,15,23 |
| 33:14 49:15 79:14 | blade 151:13 | bonds 158:3 | 136:23 153:8 |
| 79:15 83:20 86:3 | blades 151:14 | book 153:23 | buildings 5:24 26:13 |
| 100:4,24 101:4 | blanch 50:22 | booklet 132:7 | 39:17 78:19 97:24 |
| 107:10 130:2 | blew 45:18 | 153:19 | 108:24 111:12 |
| 148:12 155:12 | block 1:5 7:16 95:21 | borough 1:2,8 2:3,5 | 155:24 |
| 156:15 | 109:12 149:7 | 9:4,5 10:10 12:21 | built 12:16,18 13:6 |
| believed 24:7 63:3 | blueprints 44:2 | 35:15 54:18 56:18 | 45:10 |
| believer 83:19 | board 1:12,14,14,15 | 57:3 67:25 68:22 | bulb 61:5,6 147:23 |
| belong 23:9 | 1:15,16,16,17,17,18 | 69:21 70:4 72:15,17 | bullet 147:15 |
| benches 152:25 | 1:18,19 2:2,9,12 | 72:18,23 92:25 | burger 74:5,7 81:22 |
| beneficial 118:6 | 3:17,22 5:5,14 6:13 | 96:10 97:13,19 | 137:19 |
| 137:16 | 11:24,25 12:25 14:3 | 119:4 153:13 | bus 98:7,9,15,18,18 |
| benefit 65:22 99:12 | 14:4 15:2,12,18 | borough's 66:21 | 119:20 150:24 |
| benjamin 9:2 10:11 | 16:3,7,7,11,12 | bottle 110:13 | business 81:15 |
| 10:15 | 17:10,15,15,22,25 | bottom 76:20 | 89:11,11,17,20,24 |
| bergen 1:1 | 18:4,8,11,18 23:13 | boulevard 142:2 | 89:25 104:9 |
| best 27:1 37:16 61:4 | 24:3 25:8 27:25 | box $92: 15,19,20$ | busy 117:21,22 |
| 61:5 | 28:6 29:1,12,17,18 | boxwood 127:12 | 118:11 |
| better 44:10,12 | 29:23 31:9 34:16 | boxy 44:7 | c |
| 52:11,11,11 67:10 | 35:2,7 37:13 40:7 | brainer 103:19 | c 1:20 19:5,6 98: |
| 80:18 125:14 | 41:22 47:9 50:8 | break 146:20 | $161: 1,1$ |
| 127:18 148:1 | 52:4,5,23 58:3 | brick 93:18,20 | c.s.r. $161: 16$ |
| beyond 8:25 9:10 | 59:11 63:3 74:11,12 | 131:22 150:2 152: | call 2:1 49:21 97: |
| 18:2 34:6 | 75:3,9 77:10,18 | brief 22:14 28:12,13 | 116:8 120:2 |
| bicycle 71:17 | 78:23 79:12 82:16 | briefly 23:25 | 146:17 147:2 |
| big 37:19 80:14 | 82:18,24 83:10 87:4 | bright 60:2 | 149:25 |
| 114:19 124:5 135:2 | 87:20 101:1 103:12 | bring 5:5 25:11 | called 5:10 14:25 |
| 140:4 | 103:16 108:25 | 63:23 64:16,17 | 64:22 109:14 |
| bike 39:8 145:2,3 | 126:21 127:21,23 | 85:22 110:17 | campbell 1:6 82:7,8 |
| 152:15 | 129:20 130:5 | broken 68:14 | $92: 2393: 10,24$ |
| bikes 145:8 152:15 | 134:10,14, 15,22 | 110:13 134:2,6 | 42•15 17 144:1 |
| biking 144:23,24,25 | 135:15,17 152:1,7 | 140:21 | $149: 18$ |
| bill 9:17 | 153:6,6 156:20 | brought 18:25 34:23 | cancel 159:21 |
| bind 87:1,1,7,8 | 158:9,15 159:13,25 | 35:24 49:3 62:23,24 | cancel 159.21 |
|  | 160:17 | 93:6 109:11 123:9 |  |


| cancelled 159:21 | century 25:12 | 41:17 43:12,16 46:2 | 126:24 127:8,11,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160:2 | certain 53:16 55:19 | 47:8 49:12,15,17,19 | 127:23 128:4,6,15 |
| capitalize 24:12 | 60:18 62:1 77:12 | 49:24 50:12,19,24 | 128:18,22 129:1,7 |
| car 71:20 152:16,19 | 87:2 92:16 104:25 | 51:5,11,15,20 52:10 | 129:13,19,24 130:4 |
| 152:23 | 146:18 | 52:16,22 53:6,9,15 | 130:10,14 131:6,10 |
| care 113:2 | certainly 67:3 81:13 | 53:21 54:1 55:20 | 131:13,15,20 132:8 |
| cared 46:6 | 118:16 122:23 | 56:5 57:4,24 58:11 | 132:18,20,23 133:1 |
| carpooling | certificate 97:17 | 58:14 59:2,25 60:6 | 133:20 141:9,23 |
| carriage 143:13,22 | 150:22 | 60:13,19 61:15,21 | 142:8,11,17,23 |
| carries 74:25 | certificates 54:7 | 61:25 62:9,15,19,22 | 143:2,6,19 144:24 |
| carry 144:21 | certifications | 63:1,8,11 64:1,14 | 145:3 146:4,8,21,24 |
| cars 81:2 91:7 99:25 | 157:25 | 64:20,25 65:11,16 | 147:2 148:7,9,16 |
| 103:22,23 104:2 | certified 157:21 | 66:12,22 67:21,24 | 149:3,23 150:17 |
| 115:3 133:8 | 161:3 | 68:3,8,17,24 69:18 | 151:14,18 152:11 |
| cart 113:12 11 | certify 161:5,9 | 69:24 70:6,18 72:7 | 152:18 153:1 154:1 |
| carte 50:22 | chagaris 1:18 3:2,3 | 78:11 79:11 80:6,9 | 154:10,15,21 155:1 |
| case 43:15 57:16 | 8:13 11:18,19 13:15 | 80:12,17,22,24 81:4 | 155:5,9,18 156:11 |
| 62:13 88:1 95:12 | 14:22 17:9,14,24 | 81:9,13,24 82:2,9 | 156:15 157:9,15 |
| 102:1 112:12 | 18:14 21:12,15,25 | 82:14,17,22 83:1,8 | 158:8,17,24 159:8 |
| 143:19 148:2 151:3 | 22:9 23:1 35:5 | 83:10,12 84:18,24 | 159:19 160:1,6,10 |
| 161:14 | 49:14 50:5,13 52:3 | 85:7,19 86:15,20,23 | 160:14,18 |
| cases 88:15 | 52:12 53:18 54:19 | 87:3,11,19 88:10,13 | chair's 137:12 |
| caught 58:17 | 55:15,24 56:10,14 | 89:8,12,15,19 90:3 | chairman 1:12 4:14 |
| causing 92:13 | 56:22 57:19 58:4 | 90:25 91:4,11,14 | 50:15 52:21 58:5 |
| caveat 120:25 146:2 | 59:10,16 66:24 | 92:10,17,21 93:2,3 | 66:9 77:10 80:19 |
| cd 19:20,22,24 20:1 | 67:14 72:21 73:6,17 | 94:4,16,20 95:3,8 | 82:10 86:25 98:13 |
| 26:17 154:7 | 74:9,23 76:1,9,17 | 95:12,17 96:19 97:1 | 117:3 150:15 |
| ceiling 78:16 | 77:7,17 78:22 79:4 | 98:14,19,23 99:5,17 | challenging 61:22 |
| centennial 1:57:19 | 132:6 135:8,16,21 | 100:9,11,14,18,21 | chambers 2:5 |
| 26:11 | 135:25 136:9,19 | 102:6,10,16,21 | change 5:17 19:1 |
| center 9:19,20 10:8 | 137:9 138:6,9,15 | 103:5,14,20 104:17 | 64:2 72:25 82:12 |
| 10:13,17,24 13:16 | 139:10,16,21 140:2 | 104:21 105:1,10,16 | 101:24 |
| 13:18 25:11,18,21 | 140:23 141:7,19 | 106:2,7,12 107:2,21 | changed 22:3 75:21 |
| 25:24 26:7,10,14 | 146:19 147:4 151:5 | 108:7,10,17,20 | 77:1 |
| 27:2,13,19,24 28:9 | 152:16 153:18,23 | 110:5,10,23 111:1,5 | changes 27:8 28:11 |
| 28:10,20 36:6,18 | 154:9 157:18 | 111:13,18 112:4,9 | 38:10 62:18 103:7 |
| 38:6 41:15 43:9 | chains 151:7 | 112:16,23 113:1,8 | 131:24 135:6,9 |
| 53:5,12 65:22,23 | chair 2:1 3:1,15 4:9 | 113:17,22 114:1,4 | changing 70:9 |
| 73:21,22,23 74:8 | 4:13,17,21,24 5:4 | 114:16,24 115:4,12 | channel 107:6 |
| 78:17 86:2 88:23 | 6:8,12 7:12 11:18 | 115:20 116:8,23 | 108:11,18 151:5 |
| 142:20,22 143:1 | 18:16 20:24 21:8,14 | 117:6,11,25 118:3 | channeled 107:15 |
| centers 9:16,21 11:4 | 29:8,13 30:11,13,18 | 120:15 121:3,13,21 | channels 107:19 |
| 12:12 24:15 26:21 | 31:8,14 32:4 33:17 | 122:1,13,19,23 | characterized 38:11 |
| 88:22 | 33:21 34:20 35:10 | 123:3,8 124:11 | chargers 152:17,20 |
|  | 35:20 36:23 37:22 | 125:23 126:13,19 | 152:23 |


| check 20:3 | 77:3,16 | commission 10:20 | 137:20 138:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| checked 58:25 | collazzo 9:8 | 46:5 124:12 127:4 | 140:16 |
| chief 7:14 95:16 | collection | commitment 42:3 | concerned 36:8 |
| children 87:23 | collision 131:3 | committed 136:13 | 39:19 40:3 54:13 |
| choice 127:18 | colonial 44:11 48:2 | common 42:21 | 73:19 83:12,16 |
| chooses 55:12 | color 19:3,5 20:18 | 101:14 108:6 | 111:14,19,23 |
| chose 57:19 | 20:19 61:5 147:22 | commons 67:18 | 117:17 153:4 |
| church 1:57:19 | 148:17 149:7 | 101:6,8,11,13 | concerning 15:23,24 |
| 6:11 46:6 | colored 148:14,20 | 40:16 151:3 | 101:14 |
| cle 106:14 | colorized 19:10,12 | community 24:16 | concerns 4:22 33:7 |
| citizens 72:15 | combination 64:25 | 26:10 27:14,19,25 | 34:8 35:22 36:8 |
| city 113:12 115:3 | come 13:4 22:24 | 32:15 38:20 41:4 | 41:23 42:22 44:5,5 |
| clarification 6:24 | 30:15 35:25 36:13 | company 98:18 | 44:16 47:10 |
| 51:6 70:20 | 43:21 45:1,13 46:14 | compared 60:2 | concluded 21:20 |
| clarified 33:16 | 50:20,21 53:1,17 | compatibility 39:6 | 160:20 |
| clarify 4:7 77:8 | 54:24 55:3 62:1 | compensated 100:8 | conclusion 23:19 |
| 107:5 146:13,17 | 78:23 79:9 82:15,17 | 100:9 | 38:5 |
| clarity 36:16 | 86:4,7,16 88:6,7,14 | competitor 28:14 | concrete 31:7 48:7 |
| classy 109:18 | 88:16 89:20,21 | complete 24:20,21 | 65:1,13 67:9 109:12 |
| clean 97:17 150:22 | 90:23 91:1,12 92:21 | 41:5 72:4 73:10 | 148:14,17,21,22 |
| cleaned 54:9 147:12 | 95:19 99:7 103:12 | 75:8 | 149:22 |
| clear 109:20 | 106:14 117:10 | completed 55:16,19 | condition 47:19 |
| clearly $24: 20,21$ | 120:22 123:25 | completion 136:7 | 49:5,6 52:6 54:6,11 |
| 48:22,25 153:11 | 130:24 134:9,14,15 | compliance 63:20 | 64:4 97:11 104:11 |
| clerk 9:5 | 135:3,20 143:20 | compliant 150:5 | 105:5 122:16 |
| clients 116:5 | 153:7 156:22 | complicated 138:18 | 126:15 135:12 |
| close 81:22 95:23 | comes 61:1 83:2,23 | complied 55:5 82:25 | 141:25 |
| 144:20 | 100:24 107:22 | compliment 157:19 | conditioning 121:18 |
| closed 21:11,23 22:7 | 130:19 133:20 | compliments 140:14 | conditions 10:12 |
| closest 86:9 | comfortable 39:24 | comply 63:23 | 33:22 34:22,24 35:2 |
| closter 1:2,4,8,8,9 | coming 23:18 31:24 | 154:13,17 | 35:6 47:12 48:8,21 |
| 2:3 3:24 4:15 7:18 | 38:1 70:4 81:6,7,8 | comprehensive 26:3 | 97:21 112:11 128:7 |
| 9:2,4,6,19 10:12 | 90:6 92:1,2 103:16 | compromise 156:5 | 142:1 157:13 |
| 12:3,25 13:1 14:20 | 104:1 140:11 | 156:13 | confident 152:8 |
| 24:4,6,9,11,12 25:6 | commencement | computer 2:9 | conflicting 153:15 |
| 25:7 27:4,7 35:15 | 56:3 | concept 108:25 | conformity 26:14 |
| 36:9 37:16 67:18 | commencing 2:8 | 109:3,5 137:3 | confuse 14:7 16:12 |
| 69:16,19 72:14,15 | comment 22:22 23:6 | concepts 109:2 | confusing 15:12 |
| 95:21 123:18 | 23:16 31:4 | conceptual 38:14 | congregate 25:15 |
| 140:16 154:4 | commented 29:7 | 40:6 62:24 63:3 | conjunction 28:5 |
| 155:21 | commenting 66:11 | 85:12,13 140:6 | 128:12 |
| clutter 16:12 | comments 21:1,4,20 | conceptually 91:21 | connect 38:22,24 |
| cobra 60:17 | 22:3,4,6,13,15 | concern 6:2 35:18 | 39:9 48:17 |
| code 75:19 76:3,6,8 | 28:13 30:4,8 40:10 | 36:10 46:11,17 | connecting 60:19 |
| 76:19,21,23,25 77:1 | 98:8 142:5,5 | 83:24 133:24 |  |


| nnection 10 | contractor 9 | 146:14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:5 15:19 |  | course 8:9 | d 43:21,24 |
| 101:6 | contrary 134:21 | 42 |  |
| conrad 154:3 | bute 66:20 | 18157 | dangerou |
| consent 34:5 | contributing 34:2 | co | dangerous |
| e | control 81:18 86:8 |  | ark 45 |
| sider 18:12 36:2 | 489 | 3 | date 2:4 5:5 10:1 |
| 3:14 95:15 105:24 | 98:3 134:23 | acked | 7:21 59:8 99:3 |
| 5:3 | controlled 86:8 | crash 138:25 | 101:13 |
| derably 46:22 | 108:11 | crazy 42:16,20 | dated |
| deration 125:2 | co | create 25:14,24 | 18:24 97:25 |
| considered 2:11 | copies 8:12 | 112:14 131:3 | david 1:1 |
| 67:6 98:2 | copy 66:4 154:7 | created 26:3,10 | day 7:1 44:2,2 88:5 |
| ering 32.23 | corner 34:3,9 93 |  | days 104:15 114:12 |
| considering 32:23 | 14:12 144:13 |  | 115:25 116:16 |
| consistency 125:15 | correct 4:17,2 | criteria 72:10 | daytime 89:2 |
| consistent 26:5 33:8 | 52:16 60:6 62:19 | 134:12 | deadline 54:23 |
| 6 141:7 154:19 | 64:1,20 65:9 68:24 | cros | deal 17:25 26:25 |
| :20 | 0:24 71:7 | crossin | 108:16 129:6,8 |
| stitutes 8:17 | 82:9 86:23 88: | 11:14 142:19 | 135:2,11 |
| raints | 16106:2 | 150:3 | dealing 15:2 |
| constructed 73:20 | 102:10,16 106:2 | cr | dean 1:17 |
| construction 17:12 | corres | 3:9,13,14 144 | deceased 75:1 |
| 16 54:16 63:5 | 3.184.57.13 | $9: 18,19,21$ | december |
| 24 70:2,7 79:13 | co | cs | 3:23 6:18 8:4 |
| 36:22 149:12,13 | cost 55:984 | cu | 159:22 160:2 |
| consultants 23:13 | costl | culverts 54:8 58 | decision 30:5 5 |
| 28:17 |  | 147:11 | 73:14 75:12, |
| consume 69:10 | cou | cu | 94:13 133:19 |
| contact 97:12 | 72:2 | curfe | decisions 30:3,5 |
| 150:20 | :16 77:1 | curious 108:1 | 57:25 |
|  | 96:10 | current 24:14 25:20 | decorative 92:24 |
| tents 1 | co | 33:1,9 57:21 | 93:14 144:5 149:18 |
| ext 7:6 28:8 | 23 | currently 12:24 | 149:21 |
| tingencies 36:120] | 72:7 155:22 | :21 76:13 78: | dedicated 27:2 |
| contingent 136:7 | cou | 103:4 | deed 9:1 35:6 57:11 |
| continue 7:15 8:6 | 10, | curve | 74:25 139:19,19 |
| $939: 4$ 61:24 | counsel's 137 | customers | eded 139:18 |
| :17 150:15 | count 129:1 | 120 | deemed 7:22 |
| continued 7:24 | county 1:1 34 | cut 67:20 | facing 110 |
| :13 65:2 | 42:1 94:12,21,2 | cu | $\text { defer } 145: 20$ |
| continuity 36:20 | 95:6,15,18 96:10,13 | cu | deficient 36:3 |
| 3:23 64:9 | 99:13 | cutting 37:10 | define 90:13 |
| contracting 10:11 | couple | 110:13 129:18 |  |
| 10:15 | 121:14 125:12 |  |  |


| definitely 88:19 | 121:25 122:15 | developer 26:21 | discussed 34:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:9 | 123:7,21 124:2,13 | 27:20 40:2,17 78:18 | 35:17,23 39:19 |
| definition 158:2 | 124:16 126:10 | 94:11 95:1 | 43:18 54:4,9 60:4 |
| definitive 36:16 | 127:1 129:12 131:4 | developer's 158:4,5 | 62:4 63:18,20 72:23 |
| degrees 116:18 | 131:25 132:5 134:8 | developers 27:6 | 77:3 152:14 |
| delay 28:15 | 142:15 143:4,25 | 38:16 39:5 | discussing 140:25 |
| deliberations 15:19 | 144:4 146:3 149:24 | developing 26:25 | discussion 4:19 7:13 |
| 73:14 | 150:6,12 153:16 | development 24:4 | 11:11 14:23 33:8 |
| deliveries 86:2,7 | 154:22,24 156:1 | 25:1 27:16 28:3,16 | 61:7 62:2 63:2 |
| 87:5,14,16 88:7,9 | 158:4 | 29:21,24,25 46:7 | 74:12 75:9 77:5 |
| 88:17,21,24 89:3,20 | deny 135:12,22 | 67:5 72:10 75:12 | 99:13 127:5 157:17 |
| 90:16,19 92:4 | department 3:24 | 101:20 136:14 | discussions 29:12,12 |
| 110:20 111:24 | 4:15,23 6:2 34:9 | 140:10,24 | 29:14,17 63:16 97:7 |
| delivery 86:6,12 | 46:21 149:11 153:8 | di $1: 16$ | displayed 85:9 |
| 87:20 92:2 | department's 6:17 | didio | distinguishing |
| demand 69:14 | depend 38:9 | 51:13,17 105:14 | 93:21 |
| 05:23 | dependent 53:6 | 117:3 121:1 159:2,3 | district 61:17 |
| democracy 141:10 | 136:5 | 159:23 160:3 | 134:20,21 |
| demographics 26:24 | depending 53:9 | dies 83:14 85:23 | districts 64:10,11 |
| 26:24 | depends 71:2 74:22 | differ 81:4 | dock 1:8 95:21 |
| demolish 55:9 | 74:22,23 150:6 | difference | document 15:6,24 |
| demolition | deposition 161:6,11 | 22: | documentation |
| denial 135:13 | depressing 24:19 | different 11:22 | 70:10 |
| denicola 1:18 3:4,5 | described 154:11 | 26:22 40:14,14 | documents 8:10,19 |
| 4:1,24 5:2,6,16 6:7 | design 36:20 39:5 | 122:25 127:16 | 8:20 12:9 13:12 |
| 6:16 7:3,10 49:16 | 42:24 44:15 47:5 | 133:19 134:6 138:3 | 15:6,8,11 17:1 |
| 49:18 50:8 51:12,19 | 48:6 80:25 81:14 | 138:16 153:22 | doing 28:4 31:24 |
| 55:22 56:18 57:2,17 | 85:14 130:17 | differentiate 63:2 | 54:24 63:8,9 109:19 |
| 58:9,13 59:4,8,13 | designed 44:11 48:4 | differently 44:12 | 118:18 126:2 |
| 59:18,23 60:7,16 | 102:22 137:2 | difficult 116:7 | 132:10 146:12 |
| 61:18,24 62:8 69:23 | designer 148:22 | diligence 23:13,21 | 156:22 |
| 70:1,8,16,24 71:2,7 | designer's 154:5 | dining 26:9 | domain 139:23 |
| 71:13,18,23 72:6,9 | desirable 61:2 | dio 1:16 | door 41:2 86:4 |
| 74:4,22 75:24 76:5 | detail 39:3 137:8 | direct 107:24 | 90:24 |
| 76:12 78:6 80:13,23 | deteriorating 30:3 | direction 5:19 35:1 | dot 72:9 |
| 82:7,15,24 87:9 | determination 17:5 | directions 80:11 | double 20:3 |
| 89:10,17 90:1,6 | determined 28:19 | 11:2 | doubt 117:18 |
| 92:19 93:3,5,19 | 75:10 77:4 95:4,5 | disagree 13:21 | downright 42:10 |
| 94:1,11,15,18,24 | detriment 31:20 | disapprove 18:4 | downtown 24:4,9,11 |
| 95:5 96:12,16 98:13 | 57:9 | discretion 56:19 | 27:14,20 28:20 33:9 |
| 98:15,20 99:1,9,16 | detrimental 105:8 | 148:1 | 33:11 36:18 38:15 |
| 100:13,19 102:11 | develop 27:18,22 | discuss 4:5 31:2,10 | 39:7,9 43:10 48:18 |
| 103:9 106:4,11,17 | 33:23 139:11 140:4 | 33:25 34:17 35:1 | 60:20 61:6,8,12 |
| 108:3,9 110:22 | developed 117:24 | 36:14 48:20 107:3 | 63:21 65:2,8,18 |
| 111:22 115:5 | 140:13 |  | 141:13,13,14 |


$\begin{array}{lll}\text { examine } & 15: 5 & 29: 2 \\ \text { example } & 9: 13 & 10: 21\end{array}$
15:13,20 67:1 74:24
91:23 121:4
exceed 49:1 147:8
excessive 53:13
exclude 92:4
excuse 65:24 148:5
exercise 56:19
exhibit 9:8,24 11:13
11:17,21 16:3 18:17
18:21,23 19:3,5,8
19:13,14,15,18,18
19:25 20:4 26:18
73:13 76:10 98:11
153:19,20 154:10 154:12
exhibits 8:16,18,21
11:13,23 14:4,5 20:7 26:17,17
exist 26:12 28:10
125:5
existed 10:9
existing 26:6,8 54:4 57:7 76:15 78:9 79:17 112:5,5 147:9 147:19
exists 74:2 119:11 exit 42:18 46:25 exits 119:12 expand 125:9
expanse 36:11
expect 109:23
expected 63:5
expends 26:22,25
experience 26:7 27:20
experienced 45:16
experts 18:10 32:20 46:16,20 127:22,23
explain 59:10 93:4
extension 27:14 28:20
extent 118:6 129:3

| extra 46:21 69 extreme 85:21 eye $48: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { feel } 35: 1639: 20,23 \\ 46: 2248: 1566: 10 \\ 85: 25109: 4126: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161: 7 \\ & \text { fit } 51: 11,15,20 \\ & 112: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | 130:18 152:8 | fits 44:12 |
| f $1: 11161: 1$ <br> facade 41:3 <br> facades 25:12 <br> face 16:5 107:24 <br> 151:8 <br> facilitate 69:7 <br> facilities $27: 4$ <br> facility $51: 8,9$ 55:25 | 88:1 | fitting 44:6 |
|  | feeling 140: | five 96:22 14 |
|  | feels 35:2,7 50: | fix 148:2 fixed |
|  | fees 10:8 feet 25:22,23 48:24 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { fixed } & 69: 20 \\ \text { fixing } & 27: 9\end{array}$ |
|  | 50:18 52:8,18 91:5 | flexibility $45: 5$ |
|  | 147:8 | 134:25 151:17 |
|  | fellow 41:2 | flexible 44:21 |
| fact $13: 11,2514: 18$ | felt 44:10 | floor 23:8 30: |
| 16:18 23:6 38:23 | fence 19:7, | flows 79:19 |
| 40:8 44:1 70:12 | fields 155:2 | focusing 39 |
| 79:7 83:17 93:12 | figure 30:22 44 | foggy 45:16 |
| 99:23 100:1 110:7 | 86:5 | folks 141: |
| 129:2 132:15 | file 12:2 15:7 18:20 | following 3:18 56:6 |
| 140:25 145:4 | 18:23 19:8 20:3 | food 25:10 27 : |
| 148:10 153:4 | files 5:11 11:2 | 122:10 131:22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { factor } & 105: 25 \\ \text { factors } & 48: 18\end{array}$ | 13:12 14:15 | foods 11:2,3 13:2,6 |
|  | 97:17 150: | 7:13 40:14 118:23 |
| fail 56:9 | filled 112:6114:2013 | 118:24 |
| fair 42:4 56:7 94:24 | filling 67:8 125:13 | foot 12:21 71:16, |
| 95:2,4 104:21,22,23 | final 7:25 21:1 23:9 | 101:9 105:2 124:4 |
| 107:2 112:9,10 | 30:5 85:14 136:15 | 151:3 |
| 132:18 | finally 108:20 | footage 53:7,10,14 |
| fairness 149:6 | financial 57:1 | 78:25 |
| families 39:18 | financially 161:14 | footcandle 58:18,21 |
| an 97:22 | financing 34:6,18 | 5:23 59:12,16,22 |
| far 11:23 31:3 37:1 | find 12:10 22:16 | 60:18 147:18 |
| 37:8 60:19 92:13 | 30:25 31:2 46:13 | force 101:7 |
| 121:21,22 131:23 | 61:4 69:25 148:2 | forces 24:25 28 |
| 145:11 158:2 | 155:11 | foregoing 161:5 |
| favor 17:18 21:17 | fine $60: 9,985: 8$ | foremost 23:11 |
| 22:11,15 120:12 | 12:11 126:25 | forfeit 56:1 |
| 133:3,11 142:2,8 | 127:8 128:3 152: | forgot 151:11 |
| 159:24 160:15 | finger 104:5 | 52:2 |
|  | finish 117:13 140:2 | form 24:18 |
| favorite 141:15 | finished 142:10 | forth 10:19 25 |
| feasible 87:13 | firehouse 116:15 | 51:2 55:10 63:22 |
| fedex 90:22,25 | first 14:24 23:1 | 79:22 107:10,17 |
| fee 125:22 154:22 | 29:15 37:24 40:5 | 119:19 120:8 161:8 |
|  | 43:5 48:22 67:13 | fortune 112:18 |
|  | 135:8 138:10 147:5 |  |

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

| forward 22:5,7 32:3 | 161:9,12 | 88:14 90:23 91:10 | 134:9,22 135:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:19 36:5 37:9 | furthest $84: 2$ | 92:4 105:22 106:25 | 136:18 137:14,25 |
| 38:4 97:15,20 | future 14:7,10 18:7 | 114:22 119:18 | 138:3,4,4,21 139:2 |
| 135:20 137:23 | 36:5,11 42:19 51:9 | 128:9 129:9 131:25 | 139:11,23 140:1,4 |
| 152:3 | 101:5,10 102:23 | 134:22 135:14 | 141:2 144:11,16 |
| forwarded 4:25 | 103:14 133:19 | 137:23 141:16 | 145:15 146:1,4,8,22 |
| 5:13 65:25 66:8 | 151:4 | 143:21,24 144:2 | 151:25 152:7,23 |
| found 31:17 | g | 145:14,16,16 | 153:9 155:10,14,18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { foundation 8:20 9:3 } \\ & \text { 11:7 14:13 } \end{aligned}$ | gap 9:16 <br> garbage 40:25 | 146:22 147:4 155:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 156:7 157:2 } 158: 5 \\ & 159: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { four } 22: 1271: 10 \\ 83: 593: 10 \quad 154: 8 \end{array}$ | 102:20 114:14 | goals 25:3,6 27:4,12 <br> god 96:1 108:14 | gonna 104:18 <br> good 4:13 37:20 |
| fourteen 29:9 | gates 109:15,16 general $31: 1032: 6$ | goes 24:24 48:14 | 39:13 43:3,25 47:5 |
| 100:16,20 | 37:4 42:16 111:6 | 91:2 93:23 116:25 | 49:20 55:21 87:5 |
| frame 6:25 56:7 | generally $9: 21$ | 119:16 135:5,20 | 95:11 99:20 106:4 |
| frankly 48:10,13 |  | going 5:23 11:5 | 110:9 114:18 |
| 95:20,22 104:4 |  | 16:24 17:6,15,16,19 | 123:20 130:21 |
| 107:11 118:4,7 |  | 18:11 21:6,8 22:24 | 144:10 146:11 |
| 119:5 133:7 149:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ge } \\ & \text { ge } \end{aligned}$ | 22:25 23:7 29:13,22 | 148:19 156:17,20 |
| 156:24 157:1 | 4:15 73:4 85:4 | 30:6,14 33:6,19 | governing 125:9 |
| friday 103:20 114:5 | $1: 21$ 133:22. | 37:4 39:22 41:25 | 156:19 |
| 114:21 | gifted 43:12,13 | 44:3,17,18 45:6 | governs 78:3 |
| friendly 39:18 | $\text { gina } 1: 24 \text { 161:3,16 }$ | 46:7,7,14,17 53:13 | grade 19:1 |
| frisbee 155:14 | give $6: 258: 11,12$ | 54:21 55:13 60:12 | grand 10:7,7 12:15 |
| front 40:20 69:3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { give } 0: 25 \\ 22: 1871 \end{array}$ | 60:13 62:13 64:8 | 12:18,19,23 13:7 |
| 70:21 86:4,4 87:16 | 05:18 107:8 121:4 | 66:15,15,16,17 | 16:4 72:14 74:3 |
| 87:25 88:14 90:24 | 146:6 | 67:19 69:10 79:8 | grandway 12:16 |
| 91:3,6 92:5 97:23 | given 15:22 125:2 | 81:1,2,5,7,8 82:4,12 | grant 44:22 61:17 |
| 98:10 111:12 |  | 83:6 85:15,24 86:2 | 125:21 |
| 116:15 127:12 |  | 86:3,14 87:17 90:17 | granted 28:25 |
| 142:21,25 150:25 | $134: 25$ | 91:5,6,8,9 92:7,12 | grass 123:23 124:3 |
| 151:7 |  | 93:9,12 95:22 96:22 | grateful 29:1 68:10 |
| frontage 133:9 | $\text { glad } 109: 1112$ | 97:5 101:4 103:11 | gravel 65:15 |
| 137:16 |  | 104:6,20 106:13,19 | great 26:25 40:2 |
| fronting 133:6 | glass $48:$ | 106:20,21,23 107:3 | 42:24 83:11,18 |
| fronts 109:15 |  | 108:23 109:24 | 91:21 103:1 114:25 |
| frustrate 14:6 | $\text { glossy } 121: 23$ | 110:7,11,17 111:9,9 | 18:21 133:13 |
| full $157: 19$ | go 13:14 23:8 | 112:1,5,17,19,20 | 138:2 144:17,17 |
| fully 66:13 132:14 | $\text { 33:22 60:1 } 65$ | 115:1,18 116:18,19 | 156:24 |
| function 39:6 |  | 117:18 118:1,10,22 | greater 36:9,9 39:10 |
| fund 100:6 132:12 | 68.11,20 70:13 | 118:25 119:1,7,8,17 | 39:16 |
| funded 100:10,11 |  | 119:18,18,19 120:7 | green 5:24 40:20 |
| funny $37: 1$ | : 81:10.11.22 | 120:7,8,20 121:20 | 41:7,8 83:15 85:8 |
| further 7:12 18:21 | :38 | 122:20 126:1,6 | 85:12 102:20,20 |
| 82:4 101:17 157:18 | 82.1 86.10,20 88.11 | 131:2 133:15 134:1 | 103:7 149:16 155:8 |


| 155:11,13 | 156:4,8hardship 107:1harm 43:25harold 77:11head 60:17 $113: 23$131:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 9 ~ 119: 5,13,22 \\ & 123: 9,15,24124: 6 \\ & 124: 18,24126: 7 \\ & 127: 14148: 20 \\ & 153: 3158: 10,11 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| grief 92:14 |  |  | huge 84:8 108:16 |
| ery |  |  | hu |
| ground 154:16 |  |  | 100:17 113:22 |
| grungy 114:13 |  |  | 132:16 |
| ranteeing |  | hidden 40:25 133:8 |  |
| guarantees 1 | hear 6:117:14 29:3 | hide 121:18 |  |
| uess | 30:8 | high 9:6 60:4 |  |
| 1895:1 98:4 | $11: 2$ 121:8,9 | higher 131:22 | 87:5 |
|  | 149:11 | ight | 8:2 |
| ed 29 | heard |  | 8: |
| gullies 66:17 | 5:25 26:15 | hillside | ideas 31:3 |
| guy 66:16 90:2 | 48:22 94 | historic | identificatio |
| gym 49:10,13,23 | :19 107:4,7 | history 14:20 15: |  |
| 50:1,9,11 51:6,8,8 | 109:19,23 110:16 | 15:25 | ii |
| 51:21 |  | hold 74:21 84:13 | 54:22,25 55:3 |
| h | hearing 111:7 <br> hearings 8:1 14:13 | $\begin{gathered} 118: 3 \text { 130:9 } 154: 1 \\ \text { holding } 42: 1843: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 147:14 |
| hackensack 17:4 |  | holding 42:18 43:2 holes 31:1 | illuminated 107:5 |
| hat $45: 23$ |  |  | 107:16 |
| all | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 14 \\ & \text { hears } 96: 21 \end{aligned}$ | holidays 120:18 |  |
| ll | hearsay 14:25 15:4 | holly 123:2 | illustrated 154:11 |
| hallway 112:3 | heavy 114:11 |  | image 24:20 38:19 |
| hand 5:19 18:4 | hedge 123:6 | homan's 39:11,12 | imagine 88:9 115:25 |
| anded 154:22 | heidenberg 114:9 | homans 41:1 70:3 |  |
| ands 12:23 |  | $79: 24 \text { 80:1,3,8 }$ | pact 64:12 |
| happen 39:23 47:25 |  | 83:25 84:10 85:2 |  |
| 58:17 90:10 103:10 | height 78:16 <br> held 2:3 84:11 | 83:25 84:10 85:2 | impediment 75:15 |
| 116:6 131:20 135:1 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { held } & 2: 384: 11 \\ \text { help } & 41: 24 \\ 46: 2 \end{array}$ | 86:7,16 88:7,16 | 75:16 <br> impervious 78:6 |
| 135:19 136:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { help } \\ 65: 21 \quad 68: 4 \\ 72: 24 \\ 72: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 89:4,21 90:23 91:12 |  |
| 138:7,10,19 139:3, | 65:21 68:4 72:2 |  | important 30:25 |
| happened 37:2 | helpful 125:7 | 111:16,17,19 121:2 | 32:11 36:1 42:25 |
| 103:22 104:15 | helps 43:22 <br> hereinbefore $161: 8$ |  | 3:4 61:12 88:4 |
| 117:1 138:15 | hereinbefore 161:8 heretofore 26:12 | 123:7,8 129:10,17 | 98:3 101:2 149:1 |
| happening 136:15 | hetherington 1:20 | 148:6 149:14,15 hope 118:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { imported } 97: 16 \\ & \text { 150:21 } \\ & \text { impossible } \\ & 60: 21,23 \end{aligned}$ |
| happens 18:7 44:24 | hetherington 1:20 hey 117:1 | hope 118:10 hopefully $46: 1$ |  |
| 60:1 101:3 138:10 | heymann 1:13 2:15 | hopefully 46:1 <br> hoping 143:8 |  |
| 140:24 141:9 |  | hoping 143:8 | 116:5 |
| happy 31:3 $32: 16$ | $2: 1630: 1637: 7,12$ $68 \cdot 7 \cdot 92169 \cdot 472 \cdot 3$ | horizontal 123:13 127:17 | impressed 32:13 |
| 41:13 69:19,21 | $74: 1977: 2 \text { 84:15,21 }$ | horticulturist 85:2 | 43:19 |
| 17:8 130:25 131:1 |  |  | improve 31:24 <br> improvement 29:22 |
| 159:12 160:7 | 85:5 88:21 89:13 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { hour } 7: 245: 23 \\ 100: 12115: 11 \end{array}$ |  |
| hard | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:8,19 91:22,25 } \\ & \text { 92:8 94:2 99:11 } \end{aligned}$ | hours 87:14 88:5,8 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 10,1266: 2167: 4 \\ & 67: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4.6, |  |  |  |
| 44:1 153:1 154:7 | 113:11 115:2 | :2,2,3,6,11,18,20 |  |
|  | 116:10,13 117:16 | 89:24,25 90:11,1 |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
improvements 54:8
66:25
inadequate 27:23
inappropriate 27:23
inch 106:23
``` \& \begin{tabular}{l}
instigated 11:10 \\
institute 118:14 \\
instituting 118:12 \\
institution 52:1 \\
instructional 51:18
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 6: 14,1832: 8,9 \\
\& 33: 19,2434: 20 ~ 35: 9 \\
\& 35: 2476: 21 \quad 112: 21 \\
\& 113: 4114: 6115: 7 \\
\& 120: 11 \quad 137: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
jump \(\quad 49: 4,5\) \\
june \(7: 23,25\) \\
jurisdiction \(\quad 16: 8\) \\
18:3 \(72: 24\) \\
jut \(\quad 106: 1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline inches 104:14 105:2 \& integral 137:1 \& 144:18 145:10 \& k \\
\hline \(106: 8,10115: 10\)
\(116: 9,18,24\) \& integrate 26:5,6
43:9 \& 148:18,24 154:20
157:14,16 159:4,5 \& \[
\text { k } \begin{gathered}
12: 14,16,2413: 7 \\
24: 1427: 1054: 5
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline identally 6 \& integrat \& issuance \& 55:10,25 56:7 57:7 \\
\hline inclined 129:3 \& intended 45: \& issue 16:1134:12 \& 4:3 82:1 93:23 \\
\hline include 34:24 90:8 \& intent \& 42:8 43:18 44:20 \& 24:3 147:10 \\
\hline cluded 19:24 37:1 \& intention 20:25
intion
15:7 \& 59:5 88:17,20 \& kahn 1:20 \\
\hline includes 48:7 67:13 \& intentions 15:7 \& 102:24 113:3 \& keep 12:13,22 37:3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { including } 7: 13 \\
19: 2529: 5
\end{gathered}
\] \& interest 131:12,13 \& 125:24,24 144:7 \& 37:4 46:17 47:4 \\
\hline sive 25:17 92:3 \& d 1 \& \& 62:12 66:10,10 82:5 \\
\hline incorporated 101:9 \& interesting 16:1,22 \& 32:5 45:3,6 68:16 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 83:21 87:5 92:12 } \\
\& \text { 103:7 130:25 133:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline correct 154:23 \& 31:18 121:17 \& 69:8 \& keeping 61:7 125:12 \\
\hline incorrectly 146:16 \& rference \& item 7:16 9:12, \& keeps 13:7 22:13 \\
\hline increases 139:6 \& interior 78:15,19 \& 10:3,9,21 11:1 35:5 \& kept 12:22 85:21 \\
\hline increasing 25:19 \& interject 146:11 \& 2:21 76:1 84:8 \& \[
102: 22
\] \\
\hline 76:15 125:2 155:8 \& internet 11:3 99:23 \& 97:10 117:5 137:7 \& kickboxing 49:22 \\
\hline incumbent 36:10 \& interregal 136:1 \& \(7: 10\) \& \[
\text { kidding } 113: 18
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
37:17 \\
dependent
\end{tabular} \& intersection 58:15 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { items } 18: 17,2237: 5 \\
77: 21.22 \quad 125: 9
\end{gathered}
\] \& kids 90:6 111:14,16 \\
\hline 6 \& \& \& 11:16 \\
\hline x 61.5 \& 93: \& J \& kill 135:23 \\
\hline \[
153: 11,11
\] \& interval 149:5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { j } \quad 1: 23 \\
\& \text { january } \quad 9: 4 \quad 104: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { killer } 129: 6,8 \\
\& 135: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline indicates 6:2 10:1 \& intervaled 148:16 \& 118:7 160:5 \& kim's 51:16,17,18 \\
\hline 13:23
information

$39: 21$ \& invaluable 3 \& jeopardy 72:17 \& kind 5:4 8:7 $26:$ <br>
\hline information 39:21 \& investment \& jersey 1:1,9 2:3,8 \& 33:9,15 45:15 46:9 <br>
\hline 150:20 \& inviting \& 161:5 \& 47:12 48:15 58:2 <br>
\hline gformative 31 \& involve \& jessie 72:1 \& 72:2 79:23 90:15 <br>

\hline | ingress 42 |
| :--- |
| 44:16 59: | \& involved \& job 1:25 83:18 \& 109:5 141:11 150:7 <br>

\hline $$
165
$$ \& ves \& 156:24 \& kindly 2:14 <br>

\hline 83:2 101:10 131:2 \& \& john 1:12,23 9:8 \& kinds 40:15,16,18 <br>
\hline e \& ir \& 40:6 68:7 \& 64:12 122:25 123:2 <br>
\hline \& \& join 2:12 27:1 \& 126:16 127:16 <br>
\hline \& \& joined 3:22 6:13 \& king 74:5,7 81:23 <br>

\hline $$
98: 16
$$ \& 83:22 84:1,4 \& joseph 1:21 \& 137:19 <br>

\hline stalling 27:10 \& 84:22,23 85:6, \& jr 72:13 75:19 76:11 \& knickerbock <br>
\hline 98:18 \& 113:2 149:15 \& 76:17,19 \& 145:11 <br>
\hline instance 47:20 \& isacoff $1: 163$ \& july 8:2 \& know 5:13 7:4, <br>
\hline 64:13 131:21 152:3 \& - $4: 14,19,225: 15$ 6:1 \& \& 9:21 13:18,19 14:24 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| 15:1 16:19 18:7 | lacking 39:14,15 | legislature 9:18 | 51:15,20 52:10,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:23 23:6 33:25 | lacks 7:6 18:18 | legitimate 28:18 | 52:22 53:6,9,15,21 |
| 34:10 37:9 38:13,16 | laminated 152:5 | lends 71:4 | 54:1 55:20 56:5 |
| 39:22 40:16 41:11 | lamm 1:24 161:3,16 | lenny 75:18 79:16 | 57:4,24 58:11,14 |
| 42:1,18 44:4,8 45:4 | lamps 38:22 148:6 | 89:1 | 59:2,25 60:6,13,19 |
| 45:6,20 46:12 47:18 | land 16:15 | leonard 1:15 | 61:15,21,25 62:9,15 |
| 53:12 55:1 56:2,23 | landlord 83:18 | letter 4:15 6:15 9:3 | 62:19,22 63:1,8,11 |
| 57:16,22 58:16,17 | landscape 85:3 | 9:8,12 10:9,15 | 64:1,14,20,25 65:11 |
| 59:11 61:15 63:13 | 123:2,5,16 126:5 | 13:16,23 15:13 | 65:16 66:12,22 |
| 64:8 66:16 67:8 | 127:25 | 34:10 77:9,9 99:4 | 67:21,24 68:3,8,17 |
| 69:1,5 72:16 73:8 | landscaped 25:24 | 107:21 | 68:24 69:18,24 70:6 |
| 74:18 75:4,25 77:14 | 75:20 92:24 94:3 | letters 23:16 59:5 | 70:18 72:7 78:11 |
| 77:16,23,24,25,25 | 149:18 | 93:8 107:20 | 79:11 80:6,9,12,17 |
| 78:20 81:16 83:22 | landscaping 25:16 | lewis 81:12 82:5,5,7 | 80:22,24 81:4,9,13 |
| 84:5 85:3,5,11 | 32:10 39:11 43:6 | 143:25 | 81:24 82:2,9,14,17 |
| 88:16 92:3 93:21 | 75:23 | license 161:17 | 82:22 83:1,8,10,12 |
| 94:21 95:24 96:4 | lane 5:20 6:4 34:15 | light 31:12 34:2,2,13 | 84:18,24 85:7,19 |
| 98:17 99:11 103:11 | 42:6,9,12,14 46:21 | 35:17 41:25 42:4 | 86:15,20,23 87:3,11 |
| 104:10,15,20 106:5 | 68:5 69:5,11 71:6,8 | 46:22 59:14 60:24 | 87:19 88:10,13 89:8 |
| 106:8,9,13 107:17 | lanes 5:18 42:6 | 60:24 61:1,2 63:22 | 89:12,15,19 90:3,25 |
| 109:2,19 111:5 | 68:11 69:5 71:5,10 | 79:17,17,21 80:2 | 91:4,11,14 92:10,17 |
| 112:7 114:8,13,24 | 71:23 83:5,5 | 81:18,20 95:13,24 | 92:21 93:3 94:4,16 |
| 116:10 118:9,10,20 | large 44:2 48:15 | 96:5,11 107:15,22 | 94:20 95:3,8,12,17 |
| 119:20 121:5 124:9 | 120:19,20 123:13 | 129:15 147:22 | 96:19 97:1 98:14,19 |
| 124:18 127:9 128:1 | larger 86:11,11 | 148:10 149:10 | 98:23 99:5,17 100:9 |
| 131:21 132:14 | 155:17 | 151:5 | 100:11,14,18,21 |
| 133:14 134:1 | late 88:8 90:11 | lighting 33:6,12,12 | 102:6,10,16,21 |
| 135:11 136:13,15 | laurel 85:1,4 123:3 | 36:21 39:19 43:10 | 103:5,14,20 104:17 |
| 136:18,20,20 | 123:4,4,5 127:11,12 | 45:20 58:15 59:19 | 104:21 105:1,10,16 |
| 137:14,25 138:20 | law 16:15,16,16 | 60:17 63:22,23 | 106:2,7,12 107:2,21 |
| 138:23,24 139:1,22 | 66:25 77:5 120:23 | 134:13 147:19 | 108:7,10,17,20 |
| 140:3,11 141:12 | lawsuits 45:9 | lights 45:23 60:11 | 110:5,10,23 111:1,5 |
| 144:6 145:7,21 | layout 9:24 10:3 | 61:11 62:6 65:7 | 111:13,18 112:4,9 |
| 146:10,12,14,15 | leading 101:22 | lignos 1:12 2:1,25 | 112:16,23 113:1,8 |
| 150:5,9 152:6 | lease 116:20 | 3:1,15 4:9,13,17,21 | 113:17,22 114:1,4 |
| 153:20 156:9 | leave 45:24 66:22 | 4:24 5:4 6:8,12 7:12 | 114:16,24 115:4,12 |
| knows 12:3 27:21 | 106:3,18 147:25 | 11:18 18:16 20:24 | 115:20 116:8,23 |
| kwon 51:12 | leaving 61:3 115:2 | 21:8,14 22:20 29:8 | 117:6,11,25 118:3 |
| 1 | left 5:19,22,23 21:21 | 30:11,13,18 31:8,14 | 120:15 121:3,13,21 |
| ```l 1:5,21 labeled 154:4 laboratory 97:18 lack 24:18``` | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 34: 15 ~ 41: 6 ~ 66: 16 \\ 69: 6 ~ 79: 22 ~ 81: 11,19 \\ 82: 1 ~ 131: 23 ~ 158: 1 ~ \\ \text { legal } 75: 15,16 \\ 137: 12 \end{array} \\ & \text { 13: } \end{aligned}$ | 32:4 33:17,21 34:20 | 122:1,13,19,23 |
|  |  | 35:10,20 36:23 | 123:3,8 124:11 |
|  |  | 37:22 40:6 41:17 | 125:23 126:13,19 |
|  |  | 43:12,16 46:2 47:8 | 126:24 127:8,11,19 |
|  |  | 49:12,15,17,19,24 | 127:23 128:4,6,15 |
|  |  | 50:12,19,24 51:5,11 | 128:18,22 129:1,7 |

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

| 129:13,19,24 130:4 | 44:21 47:1,4 48:19 | looks 6:21 31:21 | 114:18 115:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130:10,14 131:6,10 | 52:2 54:20 71:22 | 33:12 38:14 40:2 | 120:13,17 125:8,18 |
| 131:13,15,20 132:8 | 82:4 93:18 94:12 | 42:24 44:13 57:6 | 126:15 127:22 |
| 132:18,20,23 133:1 | 116:20 119:21 | 116:16 141:14,16 | 128:2 129:16 144:9 |
| 133:20 141:9,23 | 138:17 153:3 | loop 87:23 91:4,8 | 145:23 148:11,13 |
| 142:11,17,23 143:2 | 155:17 156:12 | lose 45:22 103:15 | 148:25 150:15 |
| 143:6,19 144:24 | live 45:17 58:1 80:3 | 104:6 | 151:16 152:10 |
| 145:3 146:4,8,21,24 | 144:20 145:11 | losing 103:12 | 154:13,19 155:3,6 |
| 147:2 148:7,9,16 | lives 12:3 143:14 | lost 51:21 | 155:22 156:3,9,12 |
| 149:3,23 150:16,17 | living 30:2,7 | lot 1:57:17 10:12 | 157:7,11,12 158:19 |
| 151:14,18 152:11 | llc 1:47:19 | 23:17 38:7 42:7 | 158:20 159:23 |
| 152:18 153:1 154:1 | local 25:13 38:17 | 45:22,25 47:10 | 160:15 |
| 154:10,15,21 155:1 | 80:2 147:17 | 57:22 65:21 75:22 | main 6:3 33:9 38:12 |
| 155:5,9,18 156:11 | located 38:20 | 80:15 86:10 87:25 | 44:14 87:23 118:12 |
| 156:15 157:9,15 | location 93:16 | 90:24 93:24 94:5 | 118:14,19 119:8 |
| 158:8,17,23,24 | locations 60:18 | 102:9,17,18 106:11 | 143:9 |
| 159:8,19 160:1,6,10 | london 123:1,10,11 | 107:4 114:22,22 | maintain 40:3 48:25 |
| 160:14,18 | 123:17,18 124:5 | 116:14 118:19 | 61:6 83:16 |
| limit 69:25 84:9 | 126:7,9,10 | 121:2,3 123:22 | maintained 42:11 |
| limitation 56:6 | long 22:13 30:7 40:9 | 127:15 133:22 | 42:15 58:22,23 61:9 |
| limited 48:23 69:9 | 41:15 48:14 58:4 | 136:23 138:23 | 75:23 84:11 98:2 |
| 70:2 110:20 | 65:18 68:11 77:3 | 140:9 141:1,2,3 | 147:21 |
| limiting 42:17 46:12 | 84:19 127:17 | 143:22,24 145:18 | maintaining 42:24 |
| 52:7,17 70:1 | 130:18 131:2,4 | 152:20 156:17 | 43:2 60:23 65:16 |
| line 22:20 35:5,5 | 146:7 | lots 9:15 26:1 121:6 | maintenance 39:25 |
| 101:23 137:7,9 | longer 23:17 24:20 | 124:7 | 41:8 42:23 67:7 |
| lines 58:4 | 74:20 119:10 | loud 109:20 | majority 110:7 |
| liquor 102:15 | 159:20 | love 39:16 41:9,14 | 120:15 121:8 142:2 |
| list 18:17,21 36:25 | look 15:18 29:21,23 | 47:13 85:8 | 142:3 147:23,24 |
| 37:1 117:12,13 | 35:19 36:5 38:13,15 | loved 156:25 | making 27:8 33:8 |
| 121:11 | 43:4,22 44:1,3,9,13 | lovely 41:8 121:15 | 37:3 43:4 48:15 |
| listed 47:12 51:24 | 47:1 81:13 82:25 | 127:16 | 57:22 62:10 73:24 |
| 51:25 | 83:6 85:2 101:19 | lower 110:3 131:23 | 78:20 82:4,6 115:15 |
| listen 117:13 141:24 | 109:5 112:10 114:4 | lucrative 36:7 | 116:3 119:9 142:6 |
| listened 157:24 | 114:13 127:8,11,12 | m | mall $44: 3,6,18$ |
| listening 99:12 | 127:16 130:20 |  | 103:21 134:6 |
| listing 35:6 | 141:12,17 150:2 | machines 105:15 | 155:11 |
| lit 107:11,11,23 | 152:1 | maddaloni 1:14 | mandate 116:3 |
| 151:8,9 | looked 19:11 31:18 |  | manual 8:23 |
| literally 69:2 83:25 | 40:1 95:25 |  | maple 141:16 |
| 85:13 131:16 | looking 13:5 18:8 | $43 \cdot 13$ 46:23 66:18 | marie 161:3,16 |
| litigation 11:9 14:7 | 20:12 37:9 38:4 | 71:24 79:15 90:12 | mark 1:14 115:23 |
| 14:10 | 40:8 45:5 47:3 57:7 | 95:10 96:19 97:3,7 | 116:11 |
| little 25:22 34:11 | 65:17 75:20 76:2 | 95:10 96:19 103:18 114:8 | marked 8:16 17:1 |
| 37:19 41:23 44:7,11 | 106:1 114:10 | 99.7103 .18114 .8 | 73:12,13 |


| market 11:2 24:25 | 6:3 | y | 20:23 65:24 66:3,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :23 27:11 28:2 | 2:3 104:14 105:1 | en's 96:22 | 2:15 146:23 |
| 86:1 105:25 138:25 | 7:19 113:14 | entioned 7:22 | 53:21 154:6 158:8 |
| marketplace 1:4 | 119:20 121:6 | 16:4 40:7,24 41:7 | 58:10,12,19,21,23 |
| 7:18 | 124:17 129:20,24 | 49:9 72:18 85:11 | 158:25 159:2,4,6 |
| markets | 132:7 133:22 | 110:5 148:12 | 160:5,8 |
| mart 12:14,16,24 | 135:19 141:3,16 | rits | mix 27:2 |
| 13:7 24:14 27:10 | 45:8 146:11 | mesh 110:12 | modern 47:5 |
| 4:5 55:10,25 56:7 | 149:20 155:16 | metal 9:9 31 | modernist 47:25 |
| 74:3 82:1 | 155:25 156:6 | 109:16 | 48:5 |
| 93:23 124:3 147 | aning | method 55:7 | modifications 75:6 |
| master 24:2,5,10 | 105:17 109:9 | methodology 117:23 | modified 70:25 |
| :2,7 27:5,12,15 | 21:17 | 118:17 | 74:18 |
| 29:19,20 38:6 | 147 | mezzanine 122:10 | molded |
| 8,23 39:2,4,8 | mean | middle 143:17 | ment 45: |
| match 109:17 | 9: | 44:12 145:18 | momentum 138:24 |
| material 106:24 | cean | midnight 2:10 | monday 159:19,20 |
| 3,25 109 | mechanical 41:10 | miele 10:4 | 160:6 |
| 123:2 152:6 | 1:11 122:3,4 14 | mind 47:4 | monday's 159:18 |
| materials 108:22, | 152: | 9:23 117 | money 57:11,23 |
| :1,8,13,21,24 | mechan | :2 | 136:14 138:24 |
| :5 151:23 | 22:7 |  | month 4:12 7:1 |
| 152:8 | meet 25:15 134:11 | minimal | 5:17 |
| matter 1 | meeting | minimum 58:19 | monthly $8: 1$ |
| 76:24 77:4 81:19 | 20 7:24 8:1,6,9 | 9:18 60:10 75:2 | months 104:8 |
| 84:19 100:1 105:17 | 10:22 18:25 21 | 14 100:3 | 126:18 |
| 110:6 112:23 | 22:6, | 47:18 | moot 17:18 |
| 117:19 123:24 | 97:2,6 | m | morning 89:5 90:5 |
| 124:3 129:2 143 | 159:14,18,19,21,22 | or 39:3 | 0:11 |
| 14 | 160:2,4,7,16,19,20 | nut | mornings 88:8 |
| matters | meetings 2:7 23:14 | minutes 10:22 | mother 141:2 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }} 51: 11,15,20$ | :21 30:22 31: | 25 | motion 29:14 96: |
| maximize 27:17, | 35:12 | misleading | 142:9 145:24 146:5 |
| maximum 78:6 | 54:14 63:17 157:23 | 7:23 | 46:7,9 155:6 157:8 |
| 147:7 | melt | missed | 57:10 159:21,22 |
| mayor 1:13 2:15 |  | missing | 160:9,10 |
| 25 16:6 18:6 | member | m | motivation 28:15 |
| 30:14 37:6,22 72:23 | 5,16,16 | misstatem | move 32:3 47:16 |
| 72:25 74:15 77:11 | 22:10,21 29:18 98 | 146:13 | 103:19 111:7 |
| 115:22 117:25 | 100:25 130:4,6 | mistake | 116:19 128:3 |
| 158:10 | members 41:22 47:9 | mitchell 1:19 2:14 | movement 7:21 |
| mean 7:1 17:18 | 54:13 159:13 | 2:15,17,19,21,25 | 28:24 70:10 97:14 |
| 12 | memorialized | 3:2,4,6,9,11,13,1 | movie 40:20 89:2 |
| 43:17 49:10 56:19 | memorializing | 4:6,11 18:16,19 | 90:1,4,4,9 98:10 |
| 74:24 77:18,19 | 62:10 70:11 | 19:21 20:2,10,14,17 | 143:5,7,11,16 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { negotiate } 42: 1391: 7 \\ & 91: 8 \end{aligned}$ | notice 121:15 <br> noticed 114:9 | 28:20,23 129:6,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :25 116:3 |  |  | ,22,25 |
| 23: | negotiation 156:2 | notified 23: | 10,19 |
| multiuse 78:20 | hood 47:7 | november 8: | 33:16 135:6,14 |
| municipal 1:8 16:15 | neither 161:9 | 104•10 | 137:7 139:17,25 |
| municipality 11:10 | neon 107:14,17,24 | nowadays 108:6 | 141:11,22 143:10 |
| 3:12 16:9,10 55:9 | 6:20 | nce | 143:14,23 144:14 |
| 56:15 | never 10:21 13:25 | numbe | 44:19 145:2,25 |
|  | new 1:1,9 2:3,8,10 | 10:15 23:12 33:10 | 8:2 |
| n 1:20 |  | 34:8 76:9,10 77:1 | 150:10 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,1426: 2,6 \\ & 38: 1071: 172: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 95:7 106:16 115:15 138:20 | 58: |
|  |  | $138: 20$ |  |
|  | $5: 23 \text { 77:3 113:12 }$ | numbering 77:1 <br> numbers 76:4 <br> nyfenger $\quad 1: 173.13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { o } 1: 11 \\ & \text { o'clock } 2: 1087: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| nasty 106:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 13125: 13,14 \\ & 139: 17 \text { 160:7 161:5 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| national 25:13 | 139:17 160:7 161:5 | nyfenger 1:17 3:13 | o'clock 2:10 87:15 |
|  | nice | $30: 18,2031: 1350: 1$ | oak 127:15 <br> obfuscate 14:7 |
| natural 24.2528 .2 | 66:6 83:15 85:1,20 | 0:7,15,21 51:10,22 |  |
| 105:25 155:12 | 66:6 83:15 85:1,20 $114: 10155: 20$ |  | object 110:16 objection 16:25 17:7 |
| nature 16:23 48:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nick } 1: 1871: 12 \\ & 75: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 57:13 58:25 59:6,9 |  |
| 121:19 |  | 57:13 58:25 59:6,9 59:3, | $152: 21 \text { 160:1,18 }$ |
| :10 14 | night 87:15 90:11 | $61: 11,22 \text { 62:6,12,16 }$ | objective 29:20 <br> objector 28:13 <br> objector's 28:16,17 |
|  |  | 62:20,25 63:6,10 |  |
| sarily |  | $62$ |  |
|  | nitpick 43:23 | 66:9,13 67:12,16,22 | objectors 1:23 |
| ary | nj1772556 1:25 | $68: 1,670: 5,13,20$ |  |
| $4159:$ | non 16:25 131:17 | 70:25 71:4,9,15,19 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { obviously } 57: 8 \\ 68: 1982: 11,14 \end{array}$ |
| eed 16:12 31:21 |  |  |  |
| :23 34:5 41:25 | 32:22 | $80: 19 \text { 81:1,5,10,21 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:19 82:11,14 } \\ & \text { 84:11 94:11 138:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 57:3 5 |  | 80:19 81:1,5,10,21 | occupancy $54: 7$ <br> occur 18:2 45:3 |
| 713 85:17 99:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nonconformity } \\ & 26: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 81:25 82:3,8 83:4 |  |
| 15104 |  | 90:15,21 91:2,9,13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { occur 18:2 45:3 } \\ & 77: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | normal 89:19,24 <br> normally 86:12 <br> north 86:14 88:11 |  | occurred 10:14 occurs 18:1 |
| 6,23 129:9 |  | 91:20,24 92:6 93:1 |  |
| 13145 | 118:19 129:10,15 | $102: 8,14,17103: 3$ | occurs 18:1 <br> october 8:3 98:1 |
| :21 |  |  | 99:4,5 |
| ded | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { northeast } & 88: 11 \\ \text { northern } & 35: 16\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:13,19,24 } \\ & 105: 19 \text { 106:5,15,22 } \end{aligned}$ | offered 40:17 <br> office 122:19 <br> offsite 67:4,11 104:4 |
| 8:3 32:3,12 105:20 |  | 105:19 106:5,15,22 |  |
| ding | northvale 128:14 northwest 42:17 | 108:5,13,19 111:3,8 |  |
| needs 27:21 3 |  | $113: 6,13,20,24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:12 112:24 } \\ & \text { 117:23 } \\ & \text { oh } 8: 14 \text { 53:21 62:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 36:11 68 | notary 161:4 <br> notation 59:1 |  |  |
| 8:21 84:11 101:24 |  | 114:2 115:13,14,18 |  |
| 23 | notation 59:1 <br> notch 83.17 | $\begin{aligned} & 116: 17 \text { 117:1,5 } \\ & 119: 2,11,15: 120: 2,6 \\ & 120: 19125: 17,20 \\ & 125: 25128: 5,9,17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { oh } 8: 14 \text { 53:21 62:12 } \\ 62: 2563: 1095: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { note } 124: 19 \\ & \text { notes } 5: 741: 13 \\ & 143: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 1,17 \text { 105:16 } \\ & \text { 108:4,14 124:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| 128:20 132:23 | opportunity 12:19 | p | 127:15 132:11,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 138:2 144:2,17 | 13:14 14:8 15:22 | p 1:6,20,20 | 133:3 134:13 137:2 |
| 152:18 | 24:12 80:20 83:14 | p <br> p.c. | 143:22,24 151:1 |
| okay 5:15 6:8 7:14 | 102:2,25 | p.c. | 152:20 154:22 |
| 14:22 18:13 20:2,10 | opposed 21:17 | p.e. 1.1 | 156:2 |
| 20:11 21:9 22:20 | 22:11 45:13 82:3 | p.m. $1: 102: 9,11$ | parks 119:10 155:12 |
| 32:4 33:24 37:6 | 86:9 151:9 | p2013-03 7:18 | part 4:25 9:23 19:9 |
| 49:19 52:10 56:21 | option 89:4 148:14 | packed 103:22 | 19:20 26:9,18 27:13 |
| 62:25 63:10 64:16 | 148:24,25 149:4 | packet | 27:13 34:25 35:7,24 |
| 66:11 68:1 75:17 | order 2:1 38:24 55:4 | pa | 41:6 42:3,15 47:17 |
| 78:11 79:10 82:20 | 91:7 97:2 147:3 | page 22:19 | 47:18 49:1,6,13 |
| 83:3,11 88:10 96:17 | ordinance 10:20,23 | $154: 12,12,15$ | 50:25 58:12 61:16 |
| 96:19,23 107:6 | 12:11 13:24 14:1 | pages $40: 13,13$ | 62:5,11 63:4,13 |
| 108:15,19 111:1,5 | 33:1 52:9 100:12 | $41: 12,13154: 8$ | 64:6 73:11 81:15 |
| 121:14 122:9 | 108:11 119:14 | paint <br> 27 | 83:22 85:14,16 97:7 |
| 128:21 130:20 | 120:23 136:20 | painted | 97:21,24 98:11 |
| 132:5,20 141:23 | 153:25 | palisades 113:14 | 103:16 109:7 110:2 |
| 146:4 148:25 | ordinances 16:13 | pan 45:2 | 110:3 134:5 136:1 |
| 151:19 154:21 | 78:1,3 153:13 | panel 91:25 | 137:1 139:11 |
| 158:8 159:8 | organize $34: 22$ | panels 40:15 152 | 140:10,20 141:1 |
| old 15:5 28:8,8 | organized 47:10 | pans 44:24,25 | 142:7 144:25 |
| 31:19 38:10 72:14 | original 19:2,9 | paper 38:13 121:23 | 149:17 150:23,23 |
| 76:3,21,25 | 20:18 | paragraph 75:21 | 150:24 151:20 |
| omit 131:11 | originally $12: 2$ | paraphrasing 24:21 | 152:19,22 153:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { omland } 97: 24 \text { 98:12 } \\ & 99: 2 \text { 150:24 } \end{aligned}$ | 40:24 83:19 | pardon 53:8 | 158:6 |
| once 8:5 58:9 79:18 | outdated 24:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { park 86:24 91:5 } \\ 118: 13,23119: 17 \end{gathered}$ | particular 24:7 |
| 136:11 | outdoor 26:9 32:18 | 119:17 120:7,21 | 75:19 |
| one's 81:5,7,8 | outside 18:3 19:6 | 121:1,4 155:16 | parties 15:8 73:9 |
| ones 88:12 104:9 | 4:16 | parked 99:19 | 75:1,3 161:11 |
| 125:13,14 151:11 | overall 44:15 | parking 9:15 10:12 | parts 38:25 |
| onus 116:21 | overhangs 39:16 | 25:19 26:1,11 27:23 | party 14:10 75:3 |
| opaque 107:22 | overlaid | 32:18,23 35:4,25 | parzecki 9:13 13:17 |
| open 2:7 12:22,23 | overrule | $6: 2,3,8,1245: 22$ | 15:14 |
| 13:7 20:25 61:3 | overturn 127:21 | 45:24 46:9,11,13,18 | pass 12:11 21:7,8 |
| 73:22 75:20 76:14 | overview 32:7 41:19 |  | 23:8 66:15 74:7 |
| 101:7 158:2 | 7:22 | 86:10 90:24 93:24 | 99:8 132:8 |
| opened 21:22 | owned 133:25 138:5 | 99:21,25 100:5,6 | pathmark 10:22 |
| opening 73:20,24,24 | owner 26:22 72:22 | $03: 6 \text { 104:7 105: }$ | paths 39:8 |
| 74:2,2 | 9:7 | 05:18 106:16 | pattern 149:25 |
| openings 101:7 | owners 116:1 | 2:19 11 | paul 1:17 91:22 |
| operations 87:17 | ownership 12:15 | $117: 17,19,21$ | 120:13 144:10 |
| opinion 60:25 73:3 |  | $118: 13,15,19,24$ | 145:14 |
| 110:25 |  | $121: 2,6123: 22$ | pave 69:16 |


| paved 67:13 96:7 | 127:4 | pieces 134:7 140:22 | plans 18:9 19:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pavement 68:25 | permission 153:9 | 140:22,22 | 23:15 24:2 25:8,14 |
| 69:1 149:25 | permit 53:2,24,25 | piermont 3:25 34:3 | 25:23 26:10 55:11 |
| paver 65:12 68:14 | permitted 15:4 79:2 | 41:25 61:13 70:3 | 59:1 61:19 70:21 |
| 93:20 149:25 | 79:5 97:16 134:20 | 79:18 81:20 94:6,7 | 82:13 85:9 101:10 |
| 150:13 | 150:21 | 129:10 150:18 | 105:8 130:2 131:16 |
| pavers 31:7 38:22 | perpen | pile 114:19 116:15 | 131:16 146:17 |
| 43:10 64:22,24 65:1 | 151:12 | piles 105:13 106:23 | plant 123:20,25 |
| 65:3,4,8,10 148:9 | person 22:5 118:22 | 115:24 | planting 83:13,13 |
| 150:2,4,5,7,7,12 | 145:19 | pipe 9:9 | 125:5 149:14 |
| paving 42:8 68:6 | personal | piping 12:7 | plantings 25:25 |
| 70:14 | personally 23:20 | place 8:1 32:11 $43: 5$ | 84:12,13 124:17 |
| pay 10:7 42:3 85:25 | 42:20 | 57:3 91:15 129:11 | 125:3 |
| 88:25 116:21 | pe | 139:7 155:12,1 | plates 71:25 |
| payment 132:12,16 | perusal | 158:6 161:8 | play 36:1,13 |
| pd 68:10 | petty | places 116:14 121:4 | plays 73:4 |
| pedestrian 39:9,18 | phantom 156:7 | 155:12 | plaza 9:2,6,19 10:13 |
| 40:22 44:6 93:16 | pharmacy 128:12 | plaintiff 8:16 | 12:25 13:1 14:21 |
| 142:14,19 | phase 13:4,5 17:22 | plan 7:20 9:11,11 | 24:4,7,9,12 25:6,7 |
| pedestrians 93:12 | 17:23 41:6 44:23 | 20:18 24:2,5,10 | 27:7 30:2 31:19 |
| 150:3 | 45:3 54:8,16,22,22 | 25:3,7 26:4,25 27:5 | 32:14 36:6 37:10,15 |
| penalize 126:2 | 54:25,25 55:3,3,5 | 27:12,15,22 28:2,22 | 37:15 40:19 60:20 |
| people 25:14,17 | 55:16,18 56:2 57:6 | 28:24,24 29:19 31:1 | 68:19 69:3,20 71:14 |
| 35:15 39:18 40:21 | 132:25 135:3 136:8 | 31:5 32:10,14 35:12 | 72:14 79:20 82:19 |
| 45:24 46:13,18 | 136:13 147:14 | 38:6,18,23 39:2,4,8 | 87:22 92:24 93:7,15 |
| 71:21 79:19 80:1 | phases 55:4 | 43:6 62:7,7,14,16 | 94:23 98:5 101:3,8 |
| 81:17 87:22 105:21 | phasing 41:4 54:12 | 62:17,18 71:173:24 | 101:17 109:1 |
| 106:25 109:4 | photograph 19:11 | 78:18 99:2 132:1 | 111:20,21,21 |
| 110:16 111:11 | physical 24:17 27:3 | 136:2,3,4 137:1,6 | 112:17 114:10,10 |
| 114:14,23 115:2,21 | 99:24 | 140:10 | 116:1,2 118:17 |
| 129:9 137:21 142:2 | physically 36:19 | plan's 29:20 | 119:18 127:3 |
| 142:4,21 144:16,20 | 60:21,22 | plane 19:1 123:1,10 | 141:14 142:14 |
| 147:23,24 157:22 | pialtos 1:17 3:11,12 | 123:11,18,19 124:5 | 151:2 154:4 155:8 |
| people's 41:24 | 6:22 31:14,16 95:14 | planes 126:8,9,10 | plazas 25:14 39:15 |
| percent 75:22 78:7,8 | 105:7,12 112:7 | planned 103:4 | 155:13 |
| 78:8 94:22,22 104:7 | 114:21 115:1 118:1 | 123:22,22 | please 2:11 22:19 |
| 105:4 115:16 119:7 | 118:21 119:24 | planner 100:25 | 49:4,5 66:12 126:14 |
| 156:18,19 | 155:20 159:6,7 | 130:6 140:20 | 154:2 158:9 |
| percentage 94:23 | 160:11 | planning 1:12 2:2,9 | pleased 32:9 33:1,11 |
| percentages 78:1 | pick 69:17 | 15:2 29:23 31:9,9 | 38:3 46:5 |
| perfect 47:13 | picnic 155:18 | 32:5 36:4,16 58:2,3 | pledge 2:12 |
| perfected 7:22 | picture 74:21 | 75:13 77:10 78:23 | plow 104:5 105:3 |
| perfection 7:25 | pictures 121:15 | 79:23 101:1 102:25 | 114:11 |
| period 40:10 56:3,4 | piece 7:14 140:4,4,5 | 130:5 156:22 | plowed 104:3 |
| 84:12 89:25 115:11 | 141:5 |  |  |


| plus 25:22 26:2 | posted 54:15 147:13 | principal 28:13 | 101:23 139:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133:10 | posts 63:22 | principals 74:20 | 147:12 |
| point 21:24 22:22 | potential 6:2 52:25 | prior 14:20 54:6 | propose 127:2 |
| 29:10,11,13 43:23 | potentially 104:6 | pro 94:9,10 150:19 | proposed 10:21 |
| 47:15,15 49:20 | potholes 10:16 12:4 | probability 140:12 | 13:24 16:14 26:16 |
| 53:16 54:3 55:1,21 | 27:9 67:8 | probably 43:4 89:5 | 26:19 28:11 125:12 |
| 57:24 60:5 68:4,13 | pounds 51:21 | 104:8 119:25 | 132:12 140:7 |
| 69:1 70:11 91:12 | power 45:22 154:7 | 138:24 141:1 | proposes 8:21 |
| 92:11 94:20 97:5 | practical 120:9,10 | 148:19 | prospect 42:18 |
| 105:3 106:4 109:10 | 137:13 | problem 20:11,22 | protect 45:5 |
| 114:9 127:14 | practices 40:16 | 32:6 91:19 123:14 | protected 46:6 |
| 129:16 141:24 | precarious 158:16 | 123:15 124:7 150:8 | protection 46:9 |
| 144:10 146:21 | predicted 44:19 | problems 9:14 | 57:10 |
| 154:7 157:9 | preference 40:22 | 68:12 | provide 20:8,21 |
| pointing 147:15 | preferences 28:1 | proceed 17:19 56:1 | 126:21 129:11 |
| points 37:3 47:17 | preferred 79:21 | 138:22 | 144:6 152:23 |
| 110:15 | premium 112:20 | proceeding 17:6 | provided 84:1 122:7 |
| pole 61:8 | prepared 54:17 | 56:23 | provides 24:19 |
| poles 60:24,24 61:2 | 97:25 | proceedings 1:4 | 99:13 |
| 147:20 | present 15:22 | 16:2,21 157:23 | providing 32:12,15 |
| police 3:24 4:15,23 | presentation 22:4 | proceeds 18:5 | 150:19 |
| 5:10 6:1,16 34:9 | 38:2 43:20 151:6,21 | produce 60:17 | provisions 98:16,20 |
| 42:5 46:20 65:19 | 154:3,7 156:25 | product 27:2 150:2 | public 2:7 8:19 21:1 |
| 95:16 115:2,19 | presented 11:15 | 150:13 | 21:23,23 22:8,10,21 |
| 118:1 119:9,16 | 19:20 20:6 21:13 | professional 38:2 | 23:3 29:9 54:13 |
| 149:11 | 23:6 25:8,18 28:6 | 73:3 129:23 130:6 | 58:16,20,24 89:13 |
| policing 119:9 | 41:9 55:4 79:6 | professionals 72:12 | 89:16 98:6 159:13 |
| policy 8:23 | 109:21 121:24 | 81:16 99:21 100:2 | 161:4 |
| poll 22:10 158:9 | 122:13 137:5,6 | profit 27:18 | pull 40:13 90:17 |
| poorly 42:11,15,15 | 141:21 151:24 | prohibited 74:14 | purpose 11:23 15:15 |
| portion 9:11,25 | 152:6 153:19 | project 12:10 17:19 | 15:16 107:7 |
| 29:16 36:2 55:9 | presenting 108:24 | 29:25 35:19 36:6 | purposes 17:2,5 |
| 68:18 94:10 130:19 | 124:19 | 41:5 48:15 54:17,23 | 73:12 81:17 |
| 136:3,4 | presently 154:23 | 109:9 151:22 | put 7:3 12:19 40:15 |
| position 94:21 | preserving 125:16 | promised 109:10 | 47:4,24 50:17 54:11 |
| positive 35:12 | presumably 68:22 | proofs 14:3 | 55:22 65:13 84:7 |
| possession 18:18 | pretty 29:20 43:18 | proper 49:11 65:11 | 85:6 92:7,11 104:18 |
| possibility 123:1 | 45:25 124:16 152:3 | 65:14 85:4 | 105:25 106:3 107:1 |
| possible 13:24 37:9 | prevalent 48:10 | properly 23:4,4 | 107:3 113:6,9,17 |
| 37:16 69:12 129:18 | prevent 70:3 111:10 | 83:16,24 84:20 85:1 | 119:4 122:4,16,17 |
| 130:3 | 139:14 | properties 24:6 | 122:21 123:17 |
| possibly 27:10 | prevents 17:12 | 101:15 | 125:14,21 131:8 |
| 128:12 | 110:12 | property 10:5 12:2 | 133:4,21 136:21 |
| post 55:7 | previous 137:21 | 12:4,24 14:19 40:4 | 140:13 142:7 146:1 |
|  |  | 43:3 54:9 67:18,19 | 149:25 156:16 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { puts } 72: 17 \\ & \text { putting } 67: 8 \quad 10 \\ & 116: 21 \quad 136: 22 \\ & 158: 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | rational reached read $15: 1$ reading | ```recess 51:4 96:23,25 146:22 147:1 recommend 34:17``` | $\begin{array}{r} 10: 12,16,2 \\ 14: 11 \quad 17: 1 \\ \text { regards } 15 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | recommendation | regular 7:25 118:13 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { quality } \end{array} & 39: 561: 1 \\ 97: 18 \end{array} \text { quarterly } 4: 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ready } 145: 23 \\ \text { real } 31: 20 \quad 109: 3 \\ 123: 15 \quad 124: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 6:6 34:13,15 recommendations 4:23 | 128:13 159:22 <br> reinvent 128:1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{rr} 123: 15 & 124: 21 \\ \text { realistic } & 99: 24 \end{array}$ |  | reinvestment 24 reiterate 41:23 |
| question 17:8 34:6 | realistic 99:24 <br> reality $42: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 18 ~ 123: 17 \\ & 124: 22,23 \end{aligned}$ | reiterating 64:6 <br> rejected 11:16 |
| 49:8 62:4 69:13 | realize $47: 2$ |  |  |
|  | realized 149:1 | recommending 42:5 | relate 9:18,20 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 23 \text { 151:21 } \\ & 152: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 68:10 77:12 reconfiguration 24:22 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { related } 3: 18 ~ 38: 15 \\ 45: 19 ~ 161: 10 \end{array}$ |
| 84:15 104:22,23 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { really } 7: 616: 21,24 \\ 17: 1425: 128: 14 \end{gathered}$ |  | relates 75:19 78:15 |
|  | 17:14 25:1 28:14 | record 3:17,21 6:8 | relationship 48:19 |
| 433 : | 30:24 31:19,21,22 | 6:13 16:12 22:17 | relative $14: 17$ |
|  | $31: 23$ 32:1,2,3 $35 \cdot 13,1837 \cdot 1338 \cdot 5$ | 3:2 97:3 122:4,12 | 161:12 |
| e | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 13,1837: 1338: 5 \\ & 39: 1940: 343: 7,25 \end{aligned}$ | recreation 155:11 | relevance 8:24 9:1,3 |
| 12 | 44:1,4 46:23 57:8 |  | 9:7,9,12,22 10:2,5 |
| 95:20,22 104:4 | 70:8 73:6 77:24 | red 93:14,20 141:16 | 10:13,18,23 11:5,6 |
| 149.4 | 78:14 85:4 107:7,13 | redesign 155:24 | 13:8,11 14:19 |
| :7 149:4 15 |  | redevelopmen | relevant 11:22,25 |
| 157:1 | 115:24 123:12 | 28:2 | 12:5 14:1,2,15 16:2 |
|  | 26:1 141:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { redone } 68: 1569: 14 \\ & 153: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 16:21,24 |
|  |  |  | rely 73:13 |
|  | rear 88:7 | reduce 71:5 106:16 | remain 40:20 |
|  |  | reduced 125:6 | remainder 49:2,9 |
| $38: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 24 \text { 50:25 55:11 } \\ & \text { 56:23 57:13,20 71:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reducing } 25: 21 \\ & 26: 13 \end{aligned}$ | remaining 57:7 <br> remember 57:25 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 95:20 99:14$4: 17137: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reduction } 32: 23 \\ & 156: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 16:13 121:22 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}126: 4 & \\ \text { mind } & 30: 2 \\ 12\end{array}$ |
|  | $143: 18$ | reeves 9:13 15:14 <br> refer 38:7 |  |
|  | reasonable $50: 23$$56: 3,23115: 8$ |  | reminding 54:2 <br> reminds 42:25 |
| 152:15 |  | refer 38:7 <br> reference 13:16 |  |
| radar 51:2 | reasons $\quad 73: 7$rebuild $\quad 27: 3$rec $132: 13$recall $20: 3,4 \quad 125: 11$ | 26:18 72:9 77:13 | removal $40: 2443$ |
| oa |  | referred 66:18 | 04:11 112:16 |
| raise 42:22 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { referring } 80: 5 \\ & 125: 10 \end{aligned}$ | remove 47:16 <br> removed 105:23 |
| raised 33:793 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recall } 20: 3,4 \text { 125:11 } \\ & 132: 11140: 6 \end{aligned}$ | refers 39:2 <br> reflecting 30:21 | 112:22 enaissance 61:17 rendered 19:4,5 |
| rata 94:9,10 150:1 | receive 157:2 |  |  |
| ratio 99:25 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { received } 3: 187: 21 \\ & 7: 25 \end{aligned}$ | refresh 107:18 |  |


| renderings 43:21,24 | requiring 55:7 | 52:24 53:1 87:17 | 119:22 122:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:2 85:10 121:22 | reservation 43:1,2 | 89:9,24 90:2 97:23 | 124:20 128:2 |
| 121:23 | residential 48:16 | 101:20,21 122:20 | 134:11 136:21 |
| endition 61:5 | residents 60:4 | 134:19 | 148:4 |
| novation 24:22 | resignation 4:3 | retailers 27:1 | rise 123:11 |
| nt 27:9 85:25 | resin 150:1 | 141:15 | risk 43:20 |
| epair 10:16 54:5 | resistence 34:1 | retailing 46:12 | risky 43:23 |
| epaired 147:10 | resistent 110:12 | retaining 27:10 | river 113:16 |
| repairs 55:10 58:8 | resolution 62:3,11 | revamping 24:21 | road 1:8 34:4 39:4 |
| repaved 69:14 | 63:9 64:6 70:12 | review 5:12 17:4 | 42:10 59:15 61:14 |
| repeat 6:10 23:24 | 85:16 109:23 | 24:2 40:6 53:17 | 70:23 73:20 111:11 |
| 71:11 80:4 | resolve 63:21 | 95:18 96:10,14 | roads 70:4 |
| epeatedly 47:21 | resources 27:3 | 140:7 | roadway 59:20 |
| epeating 47:11 | respect 13:10 38:17 | reviewed 53:3 | 64:19 84:1 149:9,10 |
| 136:25 | 41:3 83:17 98:7 | 124:12 130:2 | robert 1:16 |
| eplete 24:5 | 99:23 100:4 105:19 | revised 18:21 19:24 | role 73:4 |
| report 3:25 4:1,7,12 | 107:14 109:21 | 19:25 | roof 41:10 131:12 |
| 4:16,18 5:9 6:17 7:9 | 129:22 141:15 | revision 18:24 19:3 | 131:13,15 142:7 |
| 8:25 10:19 42:5 | respected 28:5 | 19:13,14,17, 19,25 | roofline 121:17 |
| 65:19 95:16 124:14 | respectfully $11: 16$ | 20:4 | room 71:20 96:22 |
| 147:16 150:25 | respond 11:20 13:9 | revisiting 136:12 | 124:1 |
| reporter 1:24 51:4 | response 33:5 | revitalization 24:8 | roots 123:11,13,25 |
| 161:4 | responsibilities 36:4 | 38:9 | 125:5 127:17,17 |
| reports 5:8 95:25 | responsible 68:22 | revitalize 25:7 | rose 1:19 153:18 |
| representation | 95: | revitalizing 24:11 | rosenblume 8:10,17 |
| 55:24 | responsive 23:15 | ribbon 37:10 | 11:9,19,21 13:10,20 |
| representative 46:4 | rest 43:14 47:6 | rid 46:10 128:16,19 | 14:10,14,17 17:8,10 |
| 121:16 | 52:18,19 54:8 59:11 | ride 71:17 | 17:21 18:13,15 22:3 |
| represented 17:25 | 61:8 67:25 68:23 | ridiculous 67:23,24 | 23:5 |
| 18:1 28:17 | 93:15 121:11 | 68:2 | rosenblume's 72:13 |
| request 4:7,10,11 | 127:25 129:20 | right 5:21 6:7 7:3 | route 44:8 79:21 |
| 11:16 28:23 96:10 | 140:14 147:11 | 20:6 23:1 27:2 | 86:9 |
| requests 51:4 | 149:15 | 35:14 42:9,14 56:5 | routes 98:7 |
| require 11:13,14 | restaurant 48:23 | 58:13 59:9 60:16 | rpr 1:24 |
| 32:20 103:11 | 50:17 52:8,17 147:8 | 62:18 68:21,24 69:6 | rudy's 114:25 |
| 155:24 | restaurants 26:6 | 69:18,23 71:6,6,18 | 145:12 |
| required 35:25 54:4 | restrained 26:4 | 71:20 72:6 76:5 | rule 17:16 112:14 |
| 66:20 67:4,6 76:14 | restraint 55:14 | 79:12,17 81:11,12 | 120:23 |
| 78:2,2,3 94:9 97:13 | restricted 50:13 | 81:19,21 82:4,5,6 | rules 15:1 17:17,18 |
| requirement 132:15 | restriction 139:19 | 83:14 84:1 89:12 | 18:6 153:22 |
| requirements 99:22 | 139:20 | 91:2,13,24 92:6,12 | ruling 17:20 |
| 119:3 134:21 | restrictions 74:25 | 94:4,19 95:5,21 | run 25:9 88:11 |
| requires 33:1 | 139:23 | 97:1 99:9 103:18 | running 42:6,14 |
| 156:23 | retail 24:15 38:12 | 105:1 109:16 | runs 84:9 |
|  | 49:3,10,13 50:10 | 116:11 117:16 |  |


score 148:20
scored 149:7 150:13
scoring 149:5
screened 41:10 122:7
screens 122:3,4 142:6 152:13
sculpture 154:5
se 49:23
seasons 117:21,22
seating 25:16 32:18
second 6:12 22:19
36:15 46:15 54:3
55:5,16,18 75:18
78:18 79:8 92:11
154:2 157:14,15 160:13
seconded 159:23
160:11,14
secondly 73:9 139:4
secretary 1:19 157:21
section 38:11 67:20 67:21 69:2 71:3 82:21,23
sector $42: 17$
see $5: 9,127: 8,14$
12:25 13:1 28:1 31:5 32:16 35:2
39:10,16 40:11,19 41:9,14 43:8 44:23
45:19 47:13 54:6,15 57:4 58:22 59:21 65:3,4 79:24 87:21
95:19 96:7 101:8 104:3 105:12
107:12,14 108:18 108:23 109:1
112:15 116:2 117:6
128:13 129:4
131:11 132:20
133:20 134:5
135:18 155:13
156:25 157:2,10 160:1,18
seeing 5:8 20:4
seeking 76:13
seeks 25:10,11 26:6
26:8
seen 40:17 42:21
43:7 47:1
segreto 1:22,22,22
1:23 21:3,5,6 23:7
seinfeld 43:1
self 46:12
sell 137:25 138:4
139:12 140:5
senator 9:12 13:17
15:14,14
sending 95:15
sense 42:765:21
84:7 87:25 88:4
91:17 102:17,18
156:21
sensible 144:10
sent 96:13
sentence 19:10
separate 19:22
107:20 134:5
140:17,18
september 8:3 18:22
septor 10:4
sequestered 156:14
serious 9:16
seriously 113:4
serve 79:25
services 32:13
session 7:23 97:9
set 23:15 25:3 55:10
57:11 58:7 100:3
134:8 161:8
setback 71:1
setbacks 134:13
settle 150:8
sewer 71:25
sewerage 9:11,11
shade 10:19 125:1
shape 43:3
share 42:4 94:25 95:2,4
shared 32:7
sheet 8:10 18:24
19:3,5
sheets 132:2
shelter 98:9,16,18
99:15 150:24
shelters 99:13
shitolla 132:14
shoe 141:15
shoot 30:25
shop 12:14
shoppers 24:16 65:21 68:4 99:24
shopping 9:15,19,20 9:20 10:8,13,16,24
11:4 12:12 13:16,18
25:21,21,24 26:7,21
28:8,20 36:6,18
38:5 41:14 43:9
53:4,12 73:21,23
74:8 78:17 88:5,22
88:23 103:25
107:13 120:1
142:20,22 143:1
short 51:4 96:25 100:5
shortfall 132:11
shorthand 161:4
show 3:17,21 6:9,13
95:13 97:3 111:6
121:16,25 122:1,2,3 151:10
showing 131:18
shown 35:13 97:23 98:11
shows 132:1
shrubs 26:2 126:23 126:25,25 127:7
shuttle 119:20,23
sick 137:24
side 19:4,6 39:11,12
39:13 46:24 71:20
79:20 88:12 92:12
95:22 140:17
145:11 148:6 153:5

| sidewalk 36:21 46:8 | situation 15:21 | so | spelled 122:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 71:8,13,21 | 35:17 158:16 | sophistication 48:13 | spending 119:25 |
| 6:21 143:25 | six | sorry 10:4 51:3 | 136:14 |
| ewalk | sixt | 22 62:12 68:8 | spent 29:3 138:13 |
| 3:19 125:4 | size 25:22 | 88:11 109:16 | spino 9:2 |
| 44:13 | 9 | 32:3 149:12 | spots 60:2 113:20 |
| n | 12 | sort 45:20 155:24 | prinkler 84:17 |
| gnage 26:3 107:4,8 | sizes | ,10 26: | quare 25:22,23 |
| 151:19,21 153:4,12 | slapping | 7:15,16 | 48:24 50:18 52:7,17 |
| 154:11 | slice 117:19 | unds 95: | 53:7,10,14 78:24 |
| nal | slid | source 147:22 | 147:7 |
| ned 157:2 | slide | south 86:14 88:11 | stand 47:25 |
| significant 38:10 | 12 | pace 11:3 12:13,21 | andard 58:19 |
| 140:9 | 122:1,2 | 32:14,15,24 46:14 | standing 111:11 |
| signs | St | 3:175:20 76:14 | tands 44:13 |
| 108:2,17 151:5 | small 102:9 | 8:25 98:24 99:14 | start 13:1 30:1 |
| 153:9 154:16 | small | 00:13,14,22 | 30:14 33:23 35:5 |
| similar 105:20 | snow | 18:24 150:2 | 37:5 56:16 101:19 |
| 154:18 | 04:3,5,11 105:1 | 155:8,8,17 | 114:14 |
| simple | 5:23 106:15,17 | spaces 25:19 26:1 | started 24: |
| simply 27:17 | :19 | 32:23 46:9 53:12 | starting 17:12 22:20 |
| simultaneously | 6,24 116:4 | 100:5,15,20,22 | 30:10 57:24 |
| $4 \cdot 25$ | 15,19 146:1,1 | 2:4,7 103:6,12,15 | starts 8:22 114:13 |
| single 42:12 | snowfall 114:11 | 104:7 105:4,18,21 | state 1:1 2:7 44:17 |
| 62:13 | sn | 6.16 115.15,21 | 161:4 |
| singling | soil | 5,17 132: | stated 18:24 146:16 |
| siniowitz 110: | 70:10 97:14,16 | 132:17 151: | 149:16 |
| 113:15 115:17 | 125:2, | spar | statement 137:13 |
| 134:18 159:1,17 | solar | Sp | statements 36:17 |
| sinowitz 1:15 3:6,22 | sold | sp | 157:22 |
| 35:21,22 49:22 50:3 | 39:5,9,1 | 4:12 64:9 75:2 | states 19:12 20:19 |
| :7,24 52:21, | So |  | status 4:1 159 |
| 53:8,11,20,23 55:13 | solution | special 1:2 2:2 3:19 | stella 1:15 2:22,23 |
| 55:17 56:12 63:15 | solve | 8:6 | 46:3,4 65:7 86:18 |
| 63:16 64:7,16,23 | someb | special | 86:22 92:15,20 |
| 65:5 87:13 88:3,12 | 4:5 85:24 95:25 | specific 32:5 33:18 | 93:22 112:2 151:13 |
| 89:23 158:25 | $33: 25137$ | 3:22 37:5 58:15,21 | 54:17 |
| 159:16 | 8:1,5 139:5 | 82:18,21,22 130:19 | stenographer |
| site 7:20 15:23 26 | 15 | 147:8 153:7,9 | 146:20 |
| 28:24 29:21 57:9 | someday 37:10 | specifically $24: 6$ | stenographer's |
| 58:10,12 67:10 79:5 | somewhat 24:19 | 35:3 53:4 73:18 | 147:16 |
| 83:20 97:16 106:18 | 12 | 108 | step 35:1 |
| 111:21 113:10,10 | soon 37:11,12 | specify 63:6 | stepped 96:20,2 |
| 136:2,3,4 137:1,6 | soothsayer 112:18 | speed 97:22 | 97:4 98:9 |
| 139:5,12 140:14 |  | 50:22 |  |



| talking 9:22 11:3 | thank 2:13 3:15 | 47:17 48:5 49:4,5 | 63:18 85:20 99:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:19 53:16 67:2 | 9:14 14:22 18:14,15 | 49:13 50:1,5,7 | 100:18 117:25 |
| 74:6 75:23 80:15 | 20:23 22:20 23:10 | 51:21 55:20 56:5 | 118:5 123:7 124:20 |
| 84:16,17 90:9,16 | 23:12 29:7,8 30:20 | 58:5,6 61:18,20 | 124:23 125:13 |
| 93:17,22 105:2 | 36:23,23 37:22,25 | 63:12 65:18,19,20 | 128:17 140:3 |
| 108:1 120:2,4 | 41:17 43:14 46:2 | 65:23 68:9,11,15,18 | 155:23 156:17 |
| 149:21 | 47:8,8,22,23,24 | 69:4,7,11,16,18,21 | thoughts 38:3 |
| talks 12:5,6 | 54:1,1 80:17,18 | 69:25 77:2,23 79:16 | thousand 99:25 |
| tapes 157:24 | 107:25 109:18,22 | 79:25 83:18 84:4,10 | 104:2 |
| teaches 50:4 | 157:3,4 159:11 | 85:24 86:1,6 87:4 | thread 40:12 |
| tell 30:1 48:1 88:22 | thanks 23:19 | 87:14,20,21,21 88:1 | three 5:18 42:6 |
| 112:17 127:24 | thanksgiving 118:7 | 88:3,16,25 89:4,5 | 68:10 69:5 71:5,9 |
| 133:1 141:20 | theater 26:8 40:20 | 90:9 91:16,18 92:13 | 71:16 83:5 93:10 |
| teller 112:19 | 90:2,4,9 98:11 | 93:5,7,8,8 94:6 | 126:20,22 127:2 |
| telling 80:24 90:22 | 143:5,7,11,16 | 95:24 96:12,21 98:3 | threshold 104:14 |
| 114:5 128:15 129:8 | 145:13,15 151:1 | 99:1,3,17,18 100:11 | thrives 88:24 |
| ten 12:18 50:16 | theaters 90:5 | 100:24 101:1,2,16 | throw 155:14 |
| 90:14 124:4 145:7 | their's 148:1 | 101:18,19,21 103:1 | throwing 114:14 |
| 152:15 | thing 18:11 21:21 | 103:10,13 104:10 | thru's 128:13 |
| tenant 27:17 87:1,7 | 40:23 62:14 64:15 | 104:22 110:2 111:4 | thursday 2:4 22:24 |
| 120:19,20 128:12 | 64:21 72:20 77:8 | 111:8,10,15,22 | tie 36:1864:10 |
| tenants 25:13 27:21 | 82:12 87:21,22 | 113:11 114:6 115:8 | tiger 51:16,17,18 |
| 105:22 112:8 | 92:15,17 93:18 | 118:17 119:5 120:9 | tight 71:21 117:19 |
| 116:21 | 106:6 110:9 116:5,7 | 120:10,17 124:20 | tile 152:5 |
| tend 104:8 | 132:9 145:22 | 125:15,18,24 | time 6:25 10:9 11:24 |
| term 14:25 | 155:23 156:7 | 127:25 130:20 | 12:17 13:4 16:15,16 |
| terms 15:3 42:16 | things 15:4 38:8 | 132:24 133:2,9,12 | 16:19 23:7 25:20 |
| 44:19 87:14 | 39:25 40:7,18 41:12 | 134:17,24 135:2 | 29:2,3,23 30:3,7 |
| terrible 116:16 | 41:20 45:1 62:1,23 | 140:15,15,25 144:9 | 37:21 40:10 41:15 |
| testified 14:4 129:12 | 72:19 77:12 101:2 | 144:10 146:21 | 41:16 46:15 48:4 |
| testify $15: 10$ | 110:7 117:10,12,20 | 148:14,18 149:6,9 | 54:22 55:14,18,19 |
| testimony 11:14 | 121:14,19 128:5,24 | 151:18,24 152:7 | 56:2,3,4,7 63:5 69:2 |
| 14:3 15:16,21 16:20 | 133:25 135:7 | 153:10 154:6 | 78:1 89:21 90:13 |
| 18:9 22:4,14,23 | 137:22 138:20 | 155:23 156:24 | 96:24 97:2 107:13 |
| 25:25 34:23 35:13 | 140:20 146:14,16 | 157:1 | 109:3 117:22 |
| 37:18 47:21 58:14 | 146:18 156:4 157:1 | thinking 5:10 91:22 | 118:11 133:17 |
| 58:21 59:2 62:23 | think 21:6,25 22:3 | 103:25 118:4 | 138:14 141:17 |
| 73:8 78:14 99:20 | 29:19 30:25 32:1 | 140:18 | 145:12 146:22 |
| 100:2,23 122:8 | 33:12,17 35:11,13 | thinks 95:13 103:21 | 150:8 |
| 129:14 144:25 | 35:18 36:1 37:13,17 | 155:15 | times 37:18 114:22 |
| 149:17 151:20 | 37:18 39:15,17 40:3 | thirdly 139:10 | 118:5 138:21 |
| 152:14,19,22 153:2 | 41:16,22,24 42:2,7 | thoroughly 18:20 | tired 24:19 137:24 |
| 153:12 | 42:25 43:3,7,17,20 | thought 16:17,18 | today 12:14 18:20 |
| testing 97:17 | 43:24 44:18,21 45:4 | 27:11 39:11,12,13 | 37:14 48:10 57:25 |
|  | 45:17,18 46:19 | 39:14 40:7 42:22 | 65:25 66:1,7 76:15 |


| 103:21 109:11 | trees 26:2 122:25 | 149:14 152:15,19 | usable 78:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116:17 140:25 | 123:1,10,11,18,19 | 152:23 157:19 | use 15:19 16:15 |
| token 136:16 | 124:8,10 125:11,22 | type $62: 1084: 17$ | 42:12 45:2 48:23 |
| told 7:7 17:22 | 126:20,25 127:2,3 | 92:4 107:6 112:8 | 49:11,13 52:17,20 |
| tomorrow 116:18 | 127:15,24 | types 147:19 151:11 | 61:6 64:10 81:18 |
| 116:24 139:1 | trend 141:4 144:22 | 153:17 | 86:15 89:23 91:7 |
| tonight 17:6 30:4 | trenton 17:3 | u | 101:14 109:25 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { top } 41: 1165: 13 \\ 83: 17113: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { tress } & 126: 23,24 \\ \text { tried } & 30: 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { ultimately } 30: 5 \\ 94: 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 6,8 \text { 113:1 } 124: 6 \\ & 125: 7126: 6 \quad 134: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| total 75:22 | triple 116:20 | unable 55:12 | 134:19 135:1 |
| totality 109:9 | trouble 57:15 73:5 | unanimous 159:9 | 140:14 |
| tough 109:3 | 115:20 | unanimously | users 98:5 |
| town 25:2 29:22 | truck 69:25 83:23 | 159:10 | uses 52:7,15 |
| 31:20 32:1,3 41:15 | 86:11,11 87:24 88:6 | unattractive 115:25 | usually 91:2 108:11 |
| 44:7,12,14 45:6,11 | 91:25 92:1,1,16,19 | unaware 66:19 | 150:1 |
| 57:10 70:5 80:3 | 92:20 113:7,9 | unclear 34:11 | utilization 52:25 |
| 84:4 101:20 115:21 | trucks 70:2,3 92:9 | uncomfortable | utilize 80:2 |
| 116:14 144:15 | true 26:9 51:19 | 58:14 | utilized 127:3 |
| 145:11,13 156:21 | 74:21 161:5 | understand $17: 10$ | utilizing 6:3 |
| 157:3 158:15 | truly 24:11 109:18 | $21: 231: 1032: 19,24$ | v |
| towns 108:9,10 | 156:15 157:4 | 4:4 51:7 52:24 | vague 153 |
| tor |  | 60:20 62:21 69:15 | valen 1:6 |
| $47: 1,3 \text { 48:2 60:24 }$ | try | 79:19 90:21 130:8 | valley 35:16 |
| traffic 7:9 9:15 | trying 30:22 38:22 | 1:22 | value 139:6 |
| 33:25 34:2 35:3,16 | 62:20 86:5 111:10 | understand | vandal 110:12 |
| 41:23,25 42:16 | 130:9 141:12 | .11 33.20 50.24 | e |
| 44:17,17,19 46:11 | turn 5:20,21 34:15 | understood 21:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 21,25 \\ & \text { various } 8: 17 \quad 11: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 46:21 68:12 69:7 | 42:6 68:5,5 79:22 | 51:1 110:11 | 26:15 |
| 70:1 73:22 79:14,19 | 84:6 | undue 107:1 | vary 151:25 152 |
| 80:2 87:24 88:6,6 | turned 84:8 | undura 10:11 | 152:7 |
| 94:22 101:17 | turnkill 113:18 | union 10:7,7 12:15 | varying 152:9 |
| 112:20 149:12 | turnoff 69:5 | 12:18,23 13:7 16:4 | vastly 26:22 |
| trailer 90:17,22 92:1 | turns 114:16 | 72:14 74:3 | vehicles 6:3,2 |
| 92:9 | twice 132:1 | unirrigated 84:12 | vehicular 73:22 |
| trailers 90:20 | two 5:18 26:16 | unlimited 150:23 | vendor 87:2,8 |
| trained 48:13 | 28:12 33:4,4 35:22 | unnecessary 111:4 | vendors 119:6 |
| transcript 1:3 161:6 | 56:2,5 64:10,10,11 | unpleasant $41: 2$ | $\text { ver } 1: 5$ |
| transcripts 157:24 | 71:16 73:7 78:20 | unpleasing 33:13 | verderosa 161:3,16 |
| transformers 45:18 | 79:2,4,15 80:6 84:9 | unusable 115:16 | verified 58:18 |
| transit 99:16 | 84:12 101:15 | unusual 96:4 | vernacular 38:1 |
| translate 72:19 | 110:17,17 113:8 | unusually 24:5 | $48: 12$ |
| tree 10:19 106:20 | 114:20 119:10,25 | urban 38:14 | vervalen 3:25 5:18 |
| 123:12,20 124:5,6 | 121:5 127:16 129:5 |  | 6:4 7:17 34:3 39:13 |
| 125:1,5 | 131:1 142:4 145:5 |  | 6.47 .1734 .3 39.13 |


| 42:1,8 60:15 61:9 | waiver 76:13 | 156:18,19,20 | welcome 37:15,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63:24 64:18 65:2 | waivers 28:25 35:25 | war 31:22 | wendy's 137:19 |
| 69:12 70:22 73:23 | walk 90:24 91:15 | warming 116:24 | went 5:6,7,11 8:21 |
| 83:25 84:10 87:5 | 118:24 142:21,24 | warranted 49:6 | 12:9 14:12 18:19 |
| 94:6,7 111:16 123:6 | 143:15 144:20 | 50:9 94:8,17,19 | 23:17,24 154:4 |
| 125:3,11,19 133:6,8 | 145:10,13,15 | 95:6,7,9 96:5 | west 42:6,9,14 80:3 |
| 137:16 142:20,21 | walked 143:11 | 132:17 | 145:10 149:13 |
| 143:15,17 147:20 | walking 71:21 | washington 156:13 | westbound 5:19,21 |
| 148:8,10 149:15 | 111:17 116:14 | waste 39:3 84:25 | 5:23 |
| 150:18 | 144:11,15,23 | watch 59:25 118:25 | western 80:16 145:6 |
| viable 25:10 28:10 | 145:19 | 119:1 138:9 | whatsoever 9:7 10:2 |
| 29:25 | walks 125:6 | water 83:22 84:25 | 11:6 13:18 |
| vicky 93:7 109:10 | walkway 92:25 | waviness 148:11 | whichever 89:22 |
| 123:9 131:25 | walkways 39:9 93:6 | way 12:19 17:16 | white 131:23 |
| 141:25 | 142:14 | 27:9 28:10,10 30:16 | wide 91:5 101:9 |
| vicky's 142:5 | wall 149:16 | 31:8 43:7 47:10,21 | 102:5,7 124:4 |
| victoria 1:13 | walls 41:7,8 85:9,13 | 48:14 54:23 57:8,23 | widened 67:3 |
| view 27:7 43:23 | want 11:20 22:10 | 60:12 61:4,13 63:24 | widening 67:2,2,7 |
| views 132:2 | 23:24 28:1 30:13 | 64:7,18 65:1,12,14 | width 69:12 |
| vinyl 109:15 | 31:15,25 34:24 | 65:18,20 68:11 | williamsburg 65:6 |
| virginia 65:6 | 35:23 36:14 37:8 | 69:12,17,25 74:9 | willing 156:4 |
| visavee 43:10 | 47:3 49:20 50:17 | 80:18 81:2,6,7,8 | window 45:23 |
| visibility 144:7 | 57:17,23 59:21 62:2 | 87:2,9,11,19 89:22 | wings 137:22 |
| visible 26:4 | 63:1 66:3,10 68:13 | 93:10 95:8 99:19 | winne 1:20 |
| vision 24:10 47:24 | 69:15 72:5,16 77:7 | 102:23 103:8 106:1 | winter 104:8 |
| visit 145:12 | 80:1 81:10,21,25 | 109:18 111:7,9,10 | wish 23:12 89:22 |
| visitors 26:4 | 84:24 85:12,15 92:8 | 117:7,8 130:15,16 | 159:12 |
| visual 24:19 39:6 | 93:4 96:20 97:20 | 131:1 134:22 | witness 161:7 |
| 64:11 93:13 | 98:4 101:5 103:10 | 136:10 140:11 | witnesses 15:22 29:4 |
| visualize 43:22 | 105:11,12 107:1,5 | 145:16,16 149:14 | wonder 114:23 |
| visually 48:17 64:8 | 107:14 109:5,6,19 | 157:4 | wonderful 35:20 |
| 4:9 133:13 | 111:6 116:2 119:2,3 | ways $37: 19,1938: 21$ | 48:2 108:21 126:17 |
| voiced 33:10 44:5 | 120:24 126:2,11 | 40:15 113:8 | 157:2 |
| 60:25 | 127:14,25 128:7,9 | we've 22:22 23:11 | wondering 103:23 |
| volume 1:10 | 128:20 131:8,11,17 | 30:2 35:13 138:12 | 104:1 |
| volumes 125:3 | 131:17 133:18 | weak 24:17 | wood 31:6 |
| vote 117:4 135:21 | 134:5 135:3 141:17 | web 40:1 | woods 112:2 |
| 141:21 157:7,20 | 146:13,17 152:8 | website | word 64:10 89:24 |
| voting 158:17 | 153:17 157:3,4 | week 4:8 5:87 | 100:7 109:3,4 |
| w | wanted 4:6 8:11,15 | 21:20 34:21 45:15 | wording 77:5 |
| 29:10 16 | 11:25 12:1,21 33:15 | 5:15 96:1 114:20 | words 67:7 83:1 |
| $\text { iting } 51: 5 \text { 137:22 }$ | 87:17 97:6 144:4 |  | 85:11 |
| waive 56:25 125:22 | wanting 22:22 81:17 | weekend 45:1 | work 7:23 31:25 |
| waive 56.25125 .22 | wants 21:1674:14 | weekends 121:6,7,7 | 39:4 57:5,10,12 |
|  | 79:7 112:14 129:17 |  | 61:3 121:18 130:12 |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 133:25 } \\ \text { worked } 36: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|lc} \hline \text { york } & 113: 12 \\ \text { yup } & 132: 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| working 89:2 | z |
| 118:23 128:25 $129: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zion } 1: 57: 1926: 11 \\ & 46: 6 \\ & \text { zone } 31: 2250: 2,14 \\ & 134: 12141: 8 \\ & \text { zoning } 52: 9,2053: 2 \\ & 53: 19,21,24,25 \\ & 99: 19134: 22 \\ & 135: 15,17136: 20 \\ & 153: 6,6 \end{aligned}$ |
| works 131:4,8 |  |
| world 47:13 |  |
| worried 45:10 |  |
| 111:19 140:1 |  |
| worth 125:16 |  |
| worthwhile 36:7 |  |
| wow $43: 17$ |  |
| writing 9:14 |  |
| wrong 31:5 81:2 |  |
| 116:3 118:10 |  |
| wrote 15:8 124:24 |  |
| x |  |
| x 1:7 104:14 106:16 |  |
| xi2043 161:17 |  |
| xiv 1:10 |  |
| y |  |
| yeah 5:6 6:1 11:19 |  |
| 17:21 19:23 33:21 |  |
| 41:20 50:12 55:15 |  |
| 55:17 66:5 68:8 |  |
| 72:1 77:7 84:5,24 |  |
| 86:20 93:5,7 99:5 |  |
| 102:6,25 105:16 |  |
| 108:7 114:24 129:7 |  |
| 130:4,23 131:14 |  |
| 132:24 133:24 |  |
| 134:16,24 135:25 |  |
| 137:9 138:6 139:25 |  |
| 141:19 148:13 |  |
| 151:18 153:16 |  |
| year 2:4 12:4 28:8 |  |
| 56:2,6 138:13 140:7 |  |
| 159:15 160:7,16 |  |
| years 10:14,17 |  |
| 12:18 30:1 37:20 |  |
| 48:9 50:16 58:1 |  |
| 84:12 140:19 141:2 |  |
| yellow 114:16 |  |

